

A Comparative Study of the Antibacterial Activity of *Cyndon dactylon* (L) pers ; its Synergic Effect with Some of the Standard Antimicrobs and Extracts of Some Medicinal Plants

ABDELAALI ATMANI and LAKHDAR SEKHRI*

Laboratoire de Dynamique Interaction et Réactivité des Systèmes, Process Engineering Department, Faculty of Applied Sciences, University Kasdi Merbah, Ouargla 30000, Algeria.

*Corresponding author E-mail : sekhril@yahoo.fr

<http://dx.doi.org/10.13005/bpj/904>

(Received: December 11, 2015; accepted: January 10, 2016)

ABSTRACT

The antibacterial effect of some selected algerian plants like *Cyndon dactylon* (L) pers were evaluated on several bacterial strains : *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Staphylococcus Coagulasse* (ATCC 5118), *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Enterococcus faecalis*. The in vitro antibacterial activity was performed by agar disc diffusion method. The combination of *Cyndon dactylon* (L) pers with each of the standard antimicrobs E (Erythromycine), C (Chloramphenicol), CTX (Cefotaxime), AMX (Amoxicillin), CZN : (Cefazoline), CXN (Cefalexine) were most active and showed significant synergic effects. Moreover, *Cyndon dactylon* (L)/ other extracts of screened medicinal plants showed also high synergic effects. The results obtained in the present study suggest that *Cyndon dactylon* (L) pers can be used in treating diseases caused by the tested organisms. Further chemical and pharmacological investigations may be carried out to isolate and identify the chemical constituents in the selected plants responsible for the antimicrobial activity.

Key words : *Cyndon dactylon* (L) pers, bacterial strains, synergic effect, extract.

INTRODUCTION

Since the 1940's, chemists have developed all sorts of highly effective antibiotics (Sulfa drugs, penicillins, tetracyclines, and others that are effective) against bacterial and viral infections. In recent years there has been a flood of papers describing the synthesis of new antibacterial compounds and isolation of some natural products and study of their biological antimicrobial activities.¹⁻⁶

Today there is an imperative necessity to find out new antibacterial compounds with various chemical structures and new mechanisms of action for new and re-emerging contagious syndoms.⁷ Therefore, researchers are increasingly turning their

attention to folk medicine, looking for new leads to develop better drugs that are effective against bacterial infections and viral infections from influenza and the common cold and even the more serious herbs infections and AIDS (Acquired Immune Defectious Syndrome). The viral infectious account for about 60% illnesses, contrasted with about 15% for bacterial infections. However, due to the appearance of new strains of the bacteria and the weakness of chemotherapeutics and antibiotic resistance exhibited by pathogens has led to the screening of several medicinal plants for their potential antimicrobial activity.⁸⁻¹⁰

The medicinal plants have been used for ages as remedies for human diseases. Plant derived compounds are getting more and more

interest owing to their adaptable applications. An increasing number of reports dealing with the assessment of antimicrobial effects of different extracts of various medicinal plants are frequently available.¹¹⁻¹⁴

The aim of this study was to evaluate the activity of extracts from 9 plants against several Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains in vitro.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and methods

Fresh plant/plant parts : *Cynodon dactylon* (L) Pers, *Malva parviflora*, *Mentha viridis* Hort, *Mentha pulegium* L, *Artemisia*, *Rosmarinus Officinalis* were collected randomly from the mountain of Batna-Algeria in November 2013. The plants were deposited at Lab. Dynamique, Interaction et Réactivité des Systèmes, Department of Process engineering, Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of Kasdi Merbah-Ouargla, Algeria. Fresh plant material was washed under running tap water, air dried under dark and then homogenized to fine powder and stored in closed container away from light and moisture.

Preliminary Phytochemical Analysis

Qualitative Phytochemical analysis of the crude powder of the 9 plants collected was determined as follows : Resins,¹⁵ Coumarins,¹⁶ Terpenes and Steroids (Liebermann-Burchard reaction),¹⁷ Phenols,¹⁸ Tannins, Alkaloids, Saponins, Cardiac glycosides, Flavonoids.¹⁹

Extraction of plant material

The extracts were prepared by soaking 200g of the plant powder in a mixture of EtOH/H₂O (70/30) evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting extracts were diluted with distilled water and left overnight. The filtrates were subjected to extraction by various solvents with increasing polarity (petroleum ether, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, and butanol). The organic phases were separated and evaporated. The resulting residue was stored at 4°C.

Microorganisms

All bacterial standard strains : *Escherichia*

coli ATCC 25922, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Staphylococcus Coagulans* (ATCC 5118), *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterococcus faecalis*.

Preparation of the bacterial culture media

3.7 of Muller-Hinton agar was mixed with hot distilled water and autoclaved at 121°C and 2 atm for 15 minutes. After autoclaving it was allowed to cool to 45°C in a water bath. Then the medium was poured into sterilized petri dishes with a uniform depth of approximately 5 mm.²⁰

Preparation of plant extract impregnated discs

Whatman N°1 filter paper was used to prepare discs of 6 mm in diameter. They were sterilized by autoclaving and then dried during the autoclaving cycle. The discs were then impregnated with extract of the plants.²¹

Disc diffusion method

Disc diffusion method for antimicrobial susceptibility test was carried out according to the standard method by Kirby-Bauer to assess the presence of antibacterial activities of plant extracts.²² A bacterial suspension adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard (1.5x10⁸ CFU/ml) was used to inoculate Mueller Hinton agar plates evenly using a sterile swab. The discs impregnated with the plant extracts were placed individually on the Mueller Hinton agar surface. The discs were spaced far enough to avoid both reflection waves from the edges of the petri dishes and overlapping rings of inhibition. The plate was then incubated at 37°C for 18 hours in inverted position to look for zones of inhibition. Zones of inhibition produced by the sensitive organisms were demarcated by a circular area of clearing around the plant extract impregnated discs. The diameter of the zone of inhibition through the center of the disc was measured to the nearest millimeter.

The resulting residue of all extracts stored at 4°C were tested at a concentration of 10⁻³ g/ml and were prepared in DMSO.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The antibacterial activity of 9 species extract tested in vitro by agar disc diffusion against

Table 1: Antibacterial activity of extracts of screened medicinal plants

Bacteria strains	Diameter of inhibition zone (mm)					
	<i>Escherichia coli</i> (ATTC 25922)	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (ATTC 27853)	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (ATTC 25923)	<i>Staphylococcus coagulasse</i> (ATTC 5118)	<i>Klebsciella pneumoniae</i>	<i>Enterococcus faecale</i>
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers	11	7	16	12	10	8
Juncus.maritimus ,Asch	11	12	13	10	10	7
<i>Nigella sativa</i>	9	7	16	10	11	7
camellia,sinensis	8	15	16	12	14	12
Maiva parviflora	10	9	5	10	10	8
Mentha viridis Hort	5	9	11	11	8	8
Mentha,pulegiumL	6	7	9	9	8	7
<i>Artemisia</i>	8	11	11	12	10	8
Rosmarinus officinalis	9	12	14	9	8	7

Table 2: Antibacterial activity of some antibiotics (standard antimicrobs)

Bacteria strains	Diameter of inhibition zone (mm)					
	<i>Escherichia coli</i> (ATTC 25922)	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (ATTC 27853)	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (ATTC 25923)	<i>Staphylococcus coagulasse</i> (ATTC 5118)	<i>Klebsciella pneumoniae</i>	<i>Enterococcus faecale</i>
E (15µg)	-	-	26	-	-	-
C (30µg)	29	-	23	28	23	11
CTX (30µg)	30	20	25	24	27	-
CZN (30µg)	29	-	26	-	22	15
CXN (30µg)	24	-	27	-	26	-
AMX (25µg)	27	-	30	-	15	28

E : Erythromycine ; C : Chloramphenicol ; CTX : Cefotaxime ; AMX : Amoxicillin ; CZN : Cefazoline ; CXN : Cefalexine

Table 3: Antibacterial activity of Cynodon dactylon (L) pers with some antibiotics

Bacteria strains	Diameter of inhibition zone (mm)					
	<i>Escherichia coli</i> (TTC25922)	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (TTC 27853)	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (ATTC 25923)	<i>Staphylococcus coagulasse</i> (ATTC 5118)	<i>Klebsciella pneumoniae</i>	<i>Enterococcus faecale</i>
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ E (15µg)	10	16	9	6	20	9
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ C (30µg)	27	12	16	10	14	12
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ CTX (30µg)	32	21	25	23	20	10
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ CZN (30µg)	27	6	7	6	8	8
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ CXN (30µg)	23	7	6	5	10	8
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ AMX (25µg)	29	5	5	5	5	9

Table 4: Antibacterial activity of a mixture of Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers and the other extracts of screened medicinal plants

Bacteria strains	Diameter of inhibition zone (mm)					
	<i>Escherichia coli</i> (TTC25922)	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (TTC 27853)	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (ATTC 25923)	<i>Staphylococcus coagulasse</i> (ATTC 5118)	<i>Klebsciella pneumoniae</i>	<i>Enterococcus faecale</i>
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ Juncus.maritimus,Asch	13	23	11	12	7	15
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ Nigella sativa	11	13	8	10	13	8
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ camellia,sinensis	11	15	7	11	9	12
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ Malva parviflora	12	10	8	7	10	9
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ Mentha viridis Hort	10	10	5	8	9	11
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ Mentha,pulegiumL	5	9	6	7	9	7
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ Artemisia	5	10	9	9	10	9
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers/ Rosmarinus officinalis	10	13	10	12	9	10

6 bacterial species. Table 1 summarizes the microbial growth inhibition of these extracts of the screened plant species. These extracts of nine plants showed significant bacterial activity against all the bacteria tested (*Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus Coagulasse*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterococcus faecalis*). The maximum antibacterial activity was recorded against *Staphylococcus aureus* and a maximum inhibition diameter of 16 mm with *Cyndon dactylon* (L) Pers, *Nigella sativa* and *Camilia sinensis*. Weak inhibition was recorded with the extract of medicinal plant *Mentha viridis* Hort against all the bacteria tested. As far as *Rosmarinus officinalis* is concerned, the maximum antibacterial activity was recorded against *Staphylococcus aureus* and a maximum inhibition diameter of 14 mm. Similar results were obtained with *Artemisia* with a maximum inhibition diameter of 12 against *Staphylococcus Coagulasse*.

As far as the synergic effect is concerned the combination of *Cyndon dactylon* (L) pers with each of the standard antimicrobics, E, C, CTX, CZN and AMX were most active and showed the synergic effect. The maximum antibacterial activity was recorded against *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus coagulasse* and *Enterococcus faecale* with a maximum synergic effect of "R = 02-04 mm, whereas *Cyndon dactylon* (L)/CXN Showed no synergic effect against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Staphylococcus coagulasse*. **Table-3** summarizes the microbial growth inhibition of *Cyndon dactylon* (L)/standard antimicrobics.

The maximum antibacterial activity was recorded with *Cyndon dactylon* (L) Pers/ *Nigella sativa* and *Cyndon dactylon* (L) Pers/ *Juncus maritimus* asch against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Enterococcus faecal* with a maximum synergic effect of "R = 6 mm and 5 mm respectively. **Table-4** summarizes the microbial growth inhibition of *Cyndon dactylon* (L)/ other extracts of screened medicinal plants.

In conclusion, *Cyndon dactylon* (L) pers with each of the standard antimicrobics, E, C, CTX, CZN and AMX were most active and showed the synergic effect against *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus coagulasse* and *Enterococcus faecale*. Antibacterial activity of mixture of *Cyndon dactylon* (L) Pers and other extracts of screened medicinal plants possess a broad spectrum of activity against a panel of bacteria responsible for the most common bacterial diseases. These promissory extracts open the possibility of finding new clinically effective antibacterial compounds. Further chemical and pharmacological investigations may be carried out to isolate and identify the chemical constituents in the selected plants responsible for the antimicrobial activity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to Dr. Ouassila Mokhtari, Aris Hospital, Batna ; Dr. A. Khalil, Hakim Saadan Hospital, Biskra ; Dr. Ounissi and Mr. Berrah, Mohammed Bouthiaf Hospital, Ouargla 30000, Algeria for their assistance and providing the necessary facilities to carry out this work.

REFERENCES

1. Sekhri, L., Kadri, M. L., Chaoula, S. and Snigra, M., *Biomedical & Pharmacology Journal*, **1**(2) : 257. (2008).
2. Babarbi, E., Haffas, M., Guerri, M. and Sekhri, L., *Biomedical & Pharmacology Journal*, **3**(2): 277 (2010).
3. Thirupathaiiah, Yoshihisha Takaishi and Venkateshwar Rao, G., *Biomedical & Pharmacology Journal*, **1**(2) : 331 (2008).
4. Jayashree, B. S., Sahu, A. R., Srinivasa Murthy, M. and Venugopala, K. N., *Asian J. Chem.*, **19**(1): 73 (2007) .
5. Singh, K., Gautam, J., Jain, A. K. and Mishra, P. K., *Oriental Journal of Chemistry*, **23**(2): 641 (2007).
6. Suresh Kumar, S., Rajesh, R., and Siddiqui, Annes A. *Oriental Journal of Chemistry*, **22**(3): 641 (2006).
7. Rojas, R., Bustamante, B., Bauer, J. et al., *Antimicrobial activity of selected Peruvian medicinal plants*, *J Ethnopharmacol*, **88**: 199 (2003).

8. Colombo, M. L., Bosisio, E., *Pharmacological activities of Chelidonium majus L (Papaveraceae)*. *Pharmacol Res.*, **33** : 127 (1996).
9. Iwu, M.W., Ducan, A. R., and Okunji, C. O., *New antimicrobials of plant origin*. In ; Janick J. ed. *Perspectives on new Crops and new Uses*. Alexandria, VA ; ASHS Press, 457 (1999).
10. Benkeblia, N., *Antimicrobial activity of essential oil extracts of various onions (allium cepa) and garlic (Allium stivum)*. *Lebensm-Wiss u-Technol*, **37**: 263 (2004).
11. Babamer, Zohra Y., Sekhri, L., Al-Jaber, Hala I., Al-Qudah, Mahmoud A., and Abu Zarga, Musa H. *Journal of asian Natural Products Research*, **1** (2012).
12. Ashakkumar, R., and Ramaswamy, M. J., *Chem Bio Physci Sec.*, **3**(2) : 1279 (2013).
13. Bindu. J., Anjali. K., and Vibhor K.j., *Asian Journal of Biochemical and Pharmaceutical research*, **2**(1) : 437 (2011).
14. Mukundam, B., Shagufa, A., and Swarnamoni, D. A., *Asian J Pharm Bio res.*, **2**(3): 183 (2012).
15. Handa, Sukhder S., Decpak Mundkinajeddu, G. V. R., Joseph, J. and Gajendra, N., *Indian Herbal Pharmacopoeia, Ajoint publication of Regional Research Laboratory and Indian Drug Manufacturers Association, India*, **2**: 137-145 (1999).
16. Geisman, T. A., *Chemistry of Havonoids compounds*. Macmillan Co. New York. 90-10 (1962).
17. Al-Abid, M. R., *Zurzusamme mse turungder Abschla B membrane in Phoenix dactylifera*. Wurzburg University. Wurzburg, F. R. of Germany, 153-140 (1985).
18. Winberg, J., *Urinary tract infections in children curr. Opin. Inf. Dis.*, **3** : 55-6 (1990).
19. Oguyemi AO. In : Sofowora A. ed. *Prodeedings of a Conference on African Medicinal plants*. Ife-Ife : Univ Ife, 20-22 (1979).
20. Cappuccino, J. G., and Sherman, N., *Micro-A Laboratory manual*. Addison Wesley Longman Inc, 254-256 (1999).
21. Swarnamoni, D., Mukundam, B., and Shagufa, A., *Asian PharmClin Res.*, **6**(4) : 136-139 (2013).
22. Bauer, A.W., Kirby, W.M.M., Sheris, J.S., and Turck, M., *Amer J Clin. Pathol.*, **45** : 493-496 (1966).