Chronic pain occurs as a result of several diseases and ailments. The problem of improper utilization of vital opioid medication has been a topic of substantial discourse during the last two decades, in conjunction with its application for the extended-term control of persistent pain. Abuse-deterrent formulations play a crucial role in comprehensive methods to manage the risks associated with opioids. These formulations diminish the allure and narcotic properties of opioids by restricting their capacity to be assimilated by the body. This diminishes the appeal and incentives for misusing altered opioid prescriptions, and also poses challenges in extracting the opioid substance for utilization in alternative manners. This article examines various regulatory measures, projected prerequisites for the licensing of abuse-deterrent formulations, and current activities aimed at producing opioid abuse-deterrent formulations as potential remedies to combat the opioid abuse pandemic. Considering the seriousness of the global opioid problem, it is crucial for various regulatory entities to come together to safeguard society from the opioid pandemic. This involves implementing a thorough policy on prescribing opioid medications to patients, conducting evaluations to determine the likelihood of addiction, and increasing efforts to approve only opioid drugs that are specifically tailored to prevent abuse.
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years, alongside its use for long-term management of chronic pain. This discussion was initiated due to concerns regarding the potential adverse impacts of opioids, a lack of comprehensive information on their long-term consequences, and the risk of their improper use and abuse. Specifically, the expansion of the opioid market has resulted in escalating health issues and significant socio-economic challenges worldwide. Drug misuse erodes the fundamental elements of society, resulting in mortality, mistreatment of children, sexual and domestic aggression, heightened criminal activity, and a dearth of tranquility and safety for women and children [Figure 2].

The Extent of the Problem

An appreciable surge in the prevalence of individuals misusing opioid prescription medications and succumbing to fatal overdoses has been noted. The list Between 1997 and 2007, the average dosage of prescription opioids consumed by persons in the United States increased by 402%, going up from 74mg to 369mg. In 2009, retail pharmacies filled 257 million opioid prescriptions, which is a 48% increase compared to the 174 million prescriptions filled in 2000. Surveys undertaken at the national level over the past decade have revealed that the misuse of prescribed opioid formulations has exceeded the misuse of heroin and cocaine. This indicates a significant rise in opioid misuse over the same period. The given text is a list containing the elements 5 and 6. Between 2002 and 2012, there was a more than fourfold increase in hospital admissions related to opioid prescriptions. Similarly, between 2000 and 2014, the number of deaths caused by overdoses of these medications climbed by about fourfold. Over ninety individuals in the United States perish everyday as a result of opioid overdoses.1,8

Worldwide, an estimated 33 million individuals, constituting roughly 0.7% of the global adult population, engage in the misuse of opioids, either through prescribed use or without a valid prescription. In 2014, over 4.30 million individuals aged 12 years or older in the USA
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engaged in the nonmedical use of prescription pain drugs, accounting for roughly 1.6% of the overall population. Following marijuana, the opioid analgesic that was prescribed was the most commonly abused. While the misuse of opioid formulations obtained through a doctor’s prescription is mostly observed in the USA, it is also recognized as a significant issue in other countries of the world, such as Europe, Canada, India, Australia, and Japan. In Ontario, Canada, during the 2010-2011 school year, 15.5% of secondary school students and 6% of the adult population reported using opioid analgesics prescribed by a doctor for non-medicinal purposes. Approximately 7.7% of individuals polled in Australia acknowledged non-medical use of opioid analgesics at some point in their lives, deviating from the prescribed usage by a doctor. In addition, regulatory bodies such as the European Medicines Agency and the European Monitoring Centre on Drugs and Drug misuse specifically prioritize monitoring rates of misuse related to heroin, rather than abuse rates of prescribed opioid analgesics. It is acknowledged that there is limited evidence available regarding the misuse of prescribed opioid analgesics in the European region. However, the latest data suggest that the recreational consumption of opioid analgesics acquired with a medical prescription is increasingly worrisome in this area. According to reports, 2.4% of Japanese individuals have engaged in non-medical use of opioid analgesic prescription medications at some point in their lives. The estimated yearly societal cost of abuse, misuse, and diversion of prescribed opioid analgesics in the United States is between $55.7 billion and $72.5 billion.9-18

Hence, regulatory organizations and pharmaceutical industries face a significant task in addressing the opioid misuse issue. This article discusses several regulatory measures, expectations for the approval of abuse-deterrent formulations (ADF), and developing tactics for opioid abuse-deterrent formulations as viable solutions to address the opioid abuse issue.

Potential Approach Of The Durg Abuse

Figure 3 illustrates the various mechanisms by which drugs are misused, including oral, intranasal, intravenous intake, and additional routes, such as rectal administration.

Fig. 2. Societal Impact of Drug Abuse Crisis on Society9[1]
The most straightforward and prevalent way of drug addiction involves ingesting many pills simultaneously through oral administration. In order to achieve the ‘dose-dumping’ effect of the extended-release medication, individuals who abuse it typically crush and ingest the extended-release (ER) version, leading to a rapid onset of intense euphoria. This is achieved by maximizing the concentration of the opioid in the brain’s reward circuit as quickly as possible, resulting in a higher maximum concentration (Cmax) in a shorter amount of time (Tmax). Substance abuse can occur through various methods, such as crushing and consuming a larger amount than prescribed, inhaling the drug through smoking or snorting, or injecting it directly into the muscles, veins, or under the skin after extracting it from its original form. Manipulation methods include grinding or crushing the entire dosage form into minute particles or a powder, dissolving it in a solvent (such as alcohol or water), and extracting the medication by exposing it to hot or cold temperatures. According to multiple sources, the primary method of misusing prescription opioid painkillers is through oral consumption. This is followed by inhalation (smoking or snorting), ingestion through the mouth (either in its original form or after being altered by chewing, crushing, or dissolving), and finally, injection. Nevertheless, the manner in which prescription opioid analgesic formulas are abused varies significantly. For instance, the chosen manner of abuse is likely influenced by the extent to which each abuser experiences a desirable or undesirable impact from

Fig. 3. Potential Approaches of The Drug Abuse

Fig. 4. Methods to Fabricate Abuse-Deterrent Formulations
Table 1. Marketed ADF Formulation Based on Physical Barrier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>FDA Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OxyContin® (Oxycodone HCl Extended-Release Tablets)</td>
<td>Fabricated using proprietary thermal processing of high-molecular-weight polyethylene oxide (PEO): Processing Steps: Compression – Coating – Curing at 75°C for at least 60 minutes</td>
<td>• It resists crushing, grinding, and chewing of the dosage form. • When attempted to dissolve with a small amount of water, the manipulated product will form a highly viscous hydrogel that will be difficult to inject IV.</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysingla ER®(Hydrocodone Extended-Release Tablets)</td>
<td>Fabricated using a proprietary thermal manufacturing process (Hot Melt Extrusion) using high-molecular-weight polyethylene oxide (PEO): Processing Steps: Hot Melt Extrusion of a mixture of API with PEO at &gt; 75°C – Cutting of Extrude – Shaping of extrude to form dosage form</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPANA ER(Oxymorphone Extended-Release Tablets)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCYNTA®(Tapentadol HCl Extended-Release Tablets)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arymo ER®(Morphine Sulfate Extended-Release Tablets)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A specific opioid formulation. This can proceed in any direction. Due to the increased concentration of opioids in extended-release (ER) formulations compared to immediate-release (IR) formulations, these medications are more attractive to persons who engage in substance abuse. The method of substance usage that is most strongly correlated with elevated morbidity rates is the act of injecting and breathing the substance.19–24

**Regulatory action**

In July 2012, the US Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) implemented a new Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) for long-acting (LA) and extended-release (ER) opioid formulations. This was done because these formulations have a higher risk of being misused compared to short-acting opioid formulations (immediate release). The LA and ER formulations contain larger amounts of the drug in each dose, making them more dangerous when abused or misused compared to the shorter-acting formulations. This action was taken in response to the escalating issue of opioid misuse and abuse in the United States. It was implemented as part of a 2011 initiative by the Obama administration, which aimed to address the national crisis of prescription opioid abuse. REMS is a risk management method that focuses on monitoring beyond the typical drug prescribing information in order to address structural risks. The main objective of the program is to ensure that patients who genuinely require opioid medicine can obtain access to these opioids (extended-release and long-acting), while simultaneously providing education to healthcare providers and patients regarding the appropriate and safe utilization of opioids classified as extended-release and long-acting. Manufacturers are responsible for the creation of instructional programs and materials aimed at all prescribers registered with the DEA (Drug Enforcement Administration).27,28

The US FDA modified safety labeling as a component of its continuous endeavors. The revised labeling will incorporate the updated indication that highlights the use of LA/ER opioids exclusively in patients with sufficiently severe pain necessitating continuous, long-term opioid drug treatment, when alternative therapies are insufficient. This update will be incorporated as a component of the labeling modifications. Furthermore, the US Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) has recently issued a requirement for a new boxed warning to be
included on all long-acting/extended-release opioid pain relievers. This warning is intended to inform consumers that extended use of these medicines by pregnant women can result in neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome (NOWS).28

**Abuse-deterrent formulation methods**

The process of creating a novel drug abuse-deterrent formulation (ADF) is akin to the development of a new opioid chemical entity. The main objectives of creating novel Abuse-Deterrent Formulations (ADF) of opioids are to produce opioid drugs that are both therapeutically safe and efficacious in treating the targeted therapeutic condition within the intended population. Moreover, it does not inflict any significant damage on any potential addict, and it is crucial for an opioid drug to be cost-effective. Generally, Abuse-Deterrent Formulations (ADFs) are modified versions of opioid drugs designed to reduce the appeal and rewarding effects of the drug. This is achieved by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Marketed ADF Formulation Based on Chemical Barrier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XTAMPZA ER® (Oxycodone HCl) Extended-Release Capsule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remoxy® (Oxycodone HCl) Extended-Release Capsule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OxyContin® (Oxycodone HCl) Extended-Release Tablets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysingla ER® (Hydrocodone) Extended-Release Tablets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPANA ER (Oxymorphone) Extended-Release Tablets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCYNTA® (Tapentadol HCl) Extended-Release Tablets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arymo ER® (Morphine Sulfate) Extended-Release Tablets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Marketed ADF Formulation Based on Aversion Agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXAYDO® (Oxycodone HCl Immediate Release Tablet)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
limiting the amount of drug that can be absorbed by the body, making it less attractive to abuse or tamper with. ADFs also make it difficult to extract the opioid drug substance, thereby preventing alternative methods of administration. ADFs diminish the allure or drug-liking characteristics of drugs, therefore restricting one or more types of drug abuse by.

a) Impeding the extraction of opioid substances,
b) Impeding administration via alternative routes,
c) Retard the bioavailability of the opioid, thereby reducing the euphoric effect, and
d) Making abuse of the manipulated opioid formulation less attractive or rewarding.

As shown in Figure 4, the ADFs product can be formulated using any of the following types of drug abuse-deterrent methods.

**Implementing Physical Barrier**

Integrating physical barriers inside the ADFs would effectively prevent tampering with the opioid formulation, hence prohibiting actions such as crushing, chewing, grinding, or extracting the medication. Polyethylene oxide (PEO) is the most frequently employed polymer for providing a physical barrier that effectively prevents tampering with the dosage form. The tamper-resistant characteristic is attained by subjecting the dosage form (such as a tablet) containing PEO to a high temperature, specifically above 75°C (which is higher than the polymer’s melting point), for a minimum duration of 60 minutes. Table 1 provides a concise overview of abuse-deterrent formulations that are designed to prevent misuse, focusing on those that utilize a physical barrier.

**Implementing Chemical Barriers**

By introducing chemical barriers into ADFs, the extraction of pure opioid compounds from the dosage form using commonly accessible solvents like water, ethanol, or other organic solvents and chemicals would be restricted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>FDA Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMBEDA® (Morphine Sulfate / Naltrexone HCl) Extended-Release Capsule</td>
<td>Opioid agonist pellets are surrounded with sequestered naltrexone, which will release only upon tampering with the dosage form.</td>
<td>• If the dosage form is chewed, crushed, or otherwise altered, the orally bioavailable naltrexone will be released, reducing the euphoria expected from an opioid agonist.</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TROXYCA® (Oxycodone HCl / Naltrexone HCl) Extended-Release Capsule</td>
<td>Due to significant first-pass hepatic metabolism, the oral bioavailability of naloxone is extremely low, resulting in a negligible effect when taken orally as prescribed. However, it becomes active only if the dosage form is tampered with for administration via an altered route, such as parenterally.</td>
<td>• It limits tampering of dosage form for administration via altered routes such as parenterally.</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBOXONE® (Buprenorphine / Naloxone) Capsule Targimiq®(Oxycodone / NaloxoneHCl) Extended-Release Capsule</td>
<td>Due to significant first-pass hepatic metabolism, the oral bioavailability of naloxone is extremely low, resulting in a negligible effect when taken orally as prescribed. However, it becomes active only if the dosage form is tampered with for administration via an altered route, such as parenterally.</td>
<td>• It limits tampering of dosage form for administration via altered routes such as parenterally.</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Marketed ADF Formulation Based on Agonist / Antagonist Integration**
The primary materials commonly employed to withstand extraction are high viscosity water soluble but alcohol-insoluble polymers, such as PEO, water and alcohol-insoluble compounds like fatty acids and waxes, or chemicals with wax-like properties, as well as ion-exchange resin complexed with medicinal molecules. Table 2 provides a summary of abuse-deterrent formulations that are currently being marketed, which are designed to prevent misuse through the application of a chemical barrier.¹,³²,³⁵

Table 5. FDA Guidance on Requirements of Premarket and Postmarket Study

| Category 1 (Pre-market Studies) - Laboratory-based in-vivo manipulation and extraction studies | At this stage of the evaluation process, the FDA may ask the drug product manufacturer to alter the drug formulation to the point where its abuse-deterrent properties are rendered ineffective and then compares the ADF version of the drug to non-ADF versions of the same drug.  
- The syringeability of the formulation, which refers to how tampered drug formulation can be quickly drawn into a syringe and injected for intravenous use, is evaluated after the integrity of the formulation has been defeated or compromised.  
- Grinding, crushing, cutting, or grating are some of the methods that can be used, as well as employing readily available various devices (like coffee grinders) at varying temperatures and employing readily available solvents under different conditions of temperature for variable time periods at varying pH and agitation. |
| --- | --- |
| Category 2 (Pre-market Studies) - Pharmacokinetics studies | At this stage of evaluation, in vivo pharmacokinetic properties of a newly developed ADF will be compared with its identical non-ADF opioid product under both intact and manipulated conditions, as well as for various routes of administration. Studies on the oral formulation are conducted with healthy volunteers who are given naltrexone HCl to block the pharmacodynamic effects of the opioids. These studies also occur under conditions where participants simultaneously consume food and alcohol. In-vivo studies on the administration of nasal drugs can be carried out on volunteers who have a history of abusing nasal drugs in the past.  
- During these studies, the main pharmacokinetic parameters to be monitored are:  
  - Cmax  
  - Tmax  
  - AUC  
  - Half-life  
  - Adverse Event |
| Category 3 (Pre-market Studies) - Clinical potential studies | The likeability of a manipulated ADF is determined in this study by enrolling experienced recreational opioid abusers in randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, and positive-controlled crossover studies. These studies are conducted before the drug is available on the market. A comparison is made between the ADF and the non-ADF of the identical opioid drug at the same dose (and if the non-ADF does not exist, then using an opioid having similar pharmacologic properties), which is then compared with the placebo. These studies are carried out on participants who have already been prequalified to determine whether they can distinguish between the active drug and the placebo in a reliable manner. Those who have used drugs before and are familiar with their effects are in the best position to distinguish between them. The methods of substance abuse that will be investigated have been historically significant in terms of how the non-ADF has been used. These methods will almost always include inhalation through the nose and intravenous administration of the substance. The outcome measures include visual analog scales that assess how much a person likes the drug, as well as evaluations of whether or not they want to use it again. |
| Category 4 - A post-market assessment | A post-market assessment is obligatory in addition to the three forms of pre-market research. Studies in the fourth category, “postmarketing,” will examine how the drug performs in the real world. Studies conducted after a drug has been approved are called postmarketing studies, and their purpose is to “determine whether the marketing of a developed opioid ADF reduces the meaningful abuse potential, misuse, and also related adverse clinical outcomes, e.g., overdose, addiction and any death of abuser in the post-approval. |
Integration of Aversion Agent

By including aversion chemicals in the ADFs, the tampered opioid medications will cause an unpleasant reaction in individuals who abuse them, therefore decreasing the probability of abuse. For example, the presence of sodium lauryl sulfate and docusate sodium reduces the likelihood of nasal abuse by causing nasal irritation when...
crushed tablet particles are inhaled through snorting or sniffing. This irritation can result in symptoms such as tearing, nasal congestion, dryness, throat irritation, and excessive nasal discharge, which effectively discourages the abuse of drugs through the nasal route. Table 3 provides a summary of abuse-deterrent formulations that are marketed and rely on aversion agents.39

**Delivery System**

Opioid formulations can be developed in innovative formats that discourage misuse, such as depot injectable formulations and subcutaneous implants. Manipulating these drug delivery systems can be hard because to their deliberate design for gradual release of opioids over a period of time. This unconventional technique of delivering medication is difficult to regulate once it has been delivered into the body only by medical experts. A significant benefit of this distribution system is its restricted availability to patients for home usage; it necessitates in-person deposit only by medical personnel. Currently, there is no officially approved ADF that utilizes this delivery mechanism.1,31

**Agonist / Antagonist Integration**

Opioid agonists and opioid antagonists engage in competitive binding to the opioid receptor. Due to its high affinity for binding to the opioid receptor, the opioid antagonist will take precedence in binding to the receptor over the opioid agonist if both substances are released simultaneously. Consequently, opioid formulations could incorporate an opioid antagonist that is inactive and cannot be released, so that the antagonist only becomes clinically effective when the abuser tries to manipulate the opioid dosage form. Table 4 provides a summary of abuse-deterrent formulations that are commercialized and are based on a combination of agonists and antagonists.36,37,38

**Prodrug**

Prodrugs are inert compounds that can undergo in vivo metabolism to generate the pharmacologically active form of the drug. Typically, this can be accomplished by hydrolyzing a group composed of either an amide or an ester. Prodrugs can be classified into two primary categories: (1) type I, where the biotransformation occurs intracellularly, and (2) type II, where it occurs extracellularly. Additional subgroups can be identified based on the specific extracellular location. For instance, the gastrointestinal (GI) tract is categorized as Type IIA. If a drug formulation is required to be in the gastrointestinal tract in order to become active, then ideally, this should decrease the incidence of misuse when the intranasal or intravenous routes are utilized. While the issue of opioid abuse through multiple doses is not explicitly discussed, the rate at which the gastrointestinal system transforms the drug will be the limiting factor. This will result in a reduced increase in the proportion of the drug that is available for absorption. This is because the enzymes in the gastrointestinal system become overwhelmed when a large dose of opioids is administered in a short period of time. As a result, the absorption of the drug will be delayed, potentially leading to a decrease in the maximum concentration of the drug in the body (Cmax) and an increase in the time it takes to reach that maximum concentration (Tmax). This may diminish the intense feeling of happiness that strengthens the activity. At present, there is no authorized ADF that utilizes a prodrug.

**Ensysce Biosciences**, a company headquartered in California, is currently developing prodrug technology that utilizes trypsin-activated abuse protection (TAAP). The PF614 NCE is an inactive form of oxycodone that can only be converted into its active form when taken orally. Trypsin is a proteolytic enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of proteins by cleaving the peptide links between amino acids. Trypsin is synthesized in the pancreas as an inert proenzyme and subsequently released into the small intestine, where it is located and carries out its activity. Following ingestion, the TAAP-based opioid prodrug PF614 is activated and released in the gastrointestinal system through trypsin hydrolysis. In order to access the oxycodone product, PF614 must undergo metabolic transformation by trypsin in the gastrointestinal system, resulting in the formation of an intermediate prodrug. This prodrug then undergoes a self-catalyzed chemical modification process, which occurs over a specific period of time. The activation of the substance is not possible through injection, chewing, or sniffing due to the absence of the initial activating enzyme (trypsin) in the bloodstream or saliva. PF614 was granted fast-track development approval by the FDA in January 2018. Ideally, this medication should possess
resistance against misuse through all possible routes of administration, including chewing, crushing, injecting, and breathing. It is crucial to acknowledge that PF614, similar to oxycodone and other abuse-deterrent opioid formulations, does not release an active medication when exposed to standard or sophisticated extraction techniques. Extraction alone will not produce the intended opioid product. PF614 exhibits resistance to typical kitchen chemistry methods frequently employed to abuse prescription opioids. 40,45

**Implementing pH-Modulating Release Properties**

To incorporate self-release retarding qualities in overdose settings, one can add a pH-elevating feature and a pH-dependent release feature to the dosage form. A pH-dependent release mechanism can be achieved by incorporating an opioid agonist into a matrix made of a pH-dependent release polymer (such as Eudragit EPO, which dissolves at pH levels below 5), or by applying a pH-dependent release coating (such as Eudragit EPO, which dissolves at pH levels below 5) around an inert core containing the opioid agonist. Incorporating pH-elevating components such as sodium bicarbonate and magnesium oxide into the dose form can introduce a pH-elevating characteristic. The dosage unit is carefully formulated to contain a specific amount of pH-elevating ingredients. This amount is adjusted to ensure that the dosage unit does not contain enough pH-elevating components to raise the pH of stomach fluid above six. This is done to facilitate the solubilization of a pH-dependent soluble polymer called eudragit EPO, which in turn enables the release of the opioid agonist present in the dosage unit. However, when a large number of dosage units (such as four or more) are taken at once in an overdose situation, the combined pH-raising substances in these dosage forms will counteract the acidity of stomach fluid. This will result in an increase in the pH level of the stomach fluid to above 5 or 6. As a consequence, the solubility of the pH-dependent soluble polymer (eudragit EPO) will be affected, leading to a delayed release of the opioid agonist contained in the dosage units. 33,35

**Regulatory Considerations and Expectations in ADF Approval Process**

The FDA guidance document (Tablet 5) provides a comprehensive explanation of the three premarket studies that a manufacturer must carry out in order to demonstrate the abuse-deterrent properties of a formulation. It also offers recommendations on the methodologies for conducting and evaluating these studies, as well as guidance on how to accurately describe the results of the studies and their implications for labeling. Upon successful completion of the three premarket studies, the FDA will grant approval for the ADF, thereby obligating manufacturers to establish a REMS system. The Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) program, mandated by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Amendments Act of 2007, guarantees that the advantages of an opioid agonist surpass its hazards. In addition to the three modes of pre-market research, a post-market assessment is mandatory to evaluate the drug’s performance in real-world conditions. 45

**Impact of ADFS on misuse of prescription OPIOIDS**

After the new formulation of oxycodone was approved by the FDA, a study was conducted to examine the impact of the abuse-deterrent formulation (ADF) on the use of both OxyContin and other opioids. The data indicate a significant decrease in the prevalence of oxycodone use as the main substance of abuse. Conversely, there was a substantial rise in the inclination towards other opioids such as hydrocodone, various oxycodone derivatives, hydromorphone, and fentanyl. Prior to the approval of the new OxyContin formulation, Oxycontin was among the opioids most abused for recreational purposes. However, the prevalence of heroin use more than doubled following the introduction of the new formulation. Despite 24% of patients admitting to bypassing the abuse-deterrent feature, the majority of patients transitioned to a different opioid. While there was limited evidence suggesting that the ADF effectively reduced the use of the specific drug it targeted, there was no conclusive evidence that users completely stopped using opioids for abuse after switching to ADFs. Instead, they often switched to a different substance. In comparison to traditional opioid formulations that lack measures to prevent misuse, the availability of abuse-deterrent dosage forms (ADFs) is likely to have a significantly greater impact. Recently, legislation has been introduced to tackle the opioid issue, and the FDA is currently granting approval
exclusively to opioid formulations that have a lower susceptibility to abuse.48,49,50,51

**Limitation of ADFs**

Even opioids possessing characteristics that decrease the probability of misuse can still be subject to abuse. The federal regulators acknowledge the advancing scientific comprehension in this domain and the unresolved challenges that persist. Lately, there have been several comments on YouTube videos that provide instructions to viewers on various methods to manipulate abuse-deterrent formulations (ADFs) of opioid medicines. The modified ADFs (Abuse-Deterrent Formulations) of Opana ER (oxymorphone) extended-release tablet, which prevented nasal inhalation but still allowed for injection, were associated with an HIV outbreak in southern Indiana in 2015. The outbreak occurred in the year 2015. Despite a decline in the misuse of the opioid formulation following the introduction of the reformulated OxyContin (oxycodone) ADF, a research involving individuals who had previously misused OxyContin (oxycodone) and were enrolling in treatment programs found that 25 to 30 percent of participants persisted in using the new OxyContin ADF. This may be attributed to their discovery of a method to overcome the abuse-deterrent characteristics or their consumption of the tampered OxyContin pills orally. Moreover, abuse-deterrent compositions do not provide protection against theft or unintentional consumption by infants or children. Notably, a significant number of individuals who were dissuaded by the new ADFs disclosed that they transitioned to using non-ADFs or heroin.1

**CONCLUSION AND AUTHOR’S PERSPECTIVE**

The escalating fatality rates stemming from the rapidly growing opioid epidemic need the development of abuse-deterrent opioid formulations. The abuse-deterrent platform technologies used in the commercial development of abuse-deterrent opioid formulations are now being extensively studied, and several sophisticated technologies are close to receiving regulatory approval. Post-marketing statistics on currently approved Abuse-Deterrent Formulations (ADFs) show unfavorable outcomes for ADF opioid formulations. This indicates that ADFs have the potential to be a crucial element in ongoing and comprehensive initiatives aimed at reducing the hazards linked to opioid consumption.

Although the US FDA has approved several tamper-resistant opioid formulations that effectively prevent abuse through nasal and injection routes, the most prevalent method of drug abuse, known as “oral overdose” (taking multiple units of the drug at once), remains an unresolved issue in the field. The prodrug strategy has recently received significant attention in addressing the problem of opioid overdose, while its effectiveness is still being scrutinized. Oxycodgel, also known as NKTR 181, is an Oxycodone prodrug that was declined by the USFDA committee, namely the AADPAC (Anesthetic and Analgesic Drug Products Advisory Committee) and DSaRM (Drug Safety and Risk Management Advisory Committee). Despite Nektar’s claim that NKTR 181 is a specific mu-opioid agonist with prolonged pain-relieving effects and reduced risk of abuse due to its slower entry into the brain, the FDA committee voted against approving NKTR 181 due to concerns about potential drug abuse through injection or snorting.

Figure 5 illustrates the efficacy of existing abuse deterrent tactics against different forms of abuse. Every abuse deterrent strategy possesses distinct abuse-deterrent attributes and constraints when it comes to various techniques of drug abuse or different routes of administration. We suggest combining a minimum of two or more techniques to create a potent opioid abuse-deterrent formulation that targets the primary route of administration. Figure 6 demonstrates that a more realistic technique to effectively reduce opioid addiction, including overdose situations, is to combine two approaches: adding pH modifying release qualities and using an agonist-antagonist combination to create abuse-deterrent technology. Researchers must explore the potential for creating sophisticated formulations that can delay or reduce the speed at which drugs are released, depending on the dosage, by integrating qualities that modulate the pH of the environment. To effectively decrease the likelihood of overdose cases, it is not necessary to completely stop the drug from being released from the dosage form. Slowing down the release rate of the opioid from the dosage form, either by delaying it or extending the slower release, can also
reduce the maximum concentration of the drug in the blood in a shorter time. This may be enough to prevent the harmful or deadly side effects of an opioid overdose, even when the same amount of the drug is consumed in its immediate release form. Incorporating non-releasable opioid antagonists with opioid agonists will additionally diminish the abuser’s inclination to manipulate the dosage form for administration through a modified pathway.

While it is true that the use of opioid ADFs does not completely eliminate the risk of the opioid crisis, it is important to note that it significantly reduces the risk of abuse compared to conventional non-ADF products that lack abuse-deterrent properties. This, in turn, decreases the likelihood of misuse of opioid products. Hence, the development of safer, more diversified, cost-effective, and stronger abuse-deterrent technology is imperative to improve opioid ADFs. To address the seriousness of the global opioid crisis, it is advisable to adopt a “universal-precaution” strategy. This involves bringing together different regulatory agencies to protect society from the opioid pandemic. The goal is to establish a policy that outlines when and how opioid formulations should be prescribed to patients who genuinely require them. Additionally, efforts should be made to assess the risk of abuse and to approve only abuse-deterrent opioid medications for therapeutic purposes.
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