Cytotoxic and Antiproliferative Testing of HeLa Cervical Cancer Cells Using Seagrass Ethanolic Extraction (Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides)
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Many treatments of cervical cancer leave some side effects. Therefore, other cancer treatment which can reduce the side effects must be elaborated, one is by searching of some natural products. Some of the natural products could be obtained from the marine biota such as seagrass, Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides. Most of seagrass have bioactive compounds such as alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins and steroids which have antioxidants, antitumor and anticancer activity. The purpose of this study was to determine the activity of the ethanolic extraction of C. rotundata and E. acoroides against HeLa cells. To determine their cytotoxic and antiproliferative activity, we used the CCK-8 assay, with series concentrations of 62.5 ppm, 125 ppm, 250 ppm, 500 ppm, 1000 ppm and 2000 ppm, and doxorubicin as control drug (with incubation times of 24, 48, 72 hours). The results indicated that both extracts had cytotoxic effect on HeLa cell line, with IC50 values of 856.65 ppm (C. rotundata) and 645.96 ppm (E. acoroides). While their anti-proliferative activity indicated by the doubling time of HeLa cell lines, reached 297 hours for C. rotundata at 1000 ppm, and 370 hours for E. acoroides at of 500 ppm. It was concluded that the ethanol extracts of C. rotundata and E. acoroides were cytotoxic and had antiproliferative activity against HeLa cells.

Keywords: Antiproliferative activity; Cytotoxic; Cymodocea rotundata; Enhalus acoroides; HeLa cells.

Occurrence of cancer cases tends to increase throughout the years. The highest incidence of cancer cases is in Asia countries, from which in Indonesia itself has a total of 398,914 cases with the highest category is breast cancer at 16.6% and followed by cervical cancer at 9.2%1. From Ministry of Health of Indonesian Republic, Cervical cancer is a type of cancer that develops in the cervix which is in the lower third of the uterus and is connected to the vagina through the external uterine ostium2. This happens because of the presence of the HPV virus (Human Papilloma Virus). HPV 16 and 18 are oncogenes which are responsible for 70-80% of cervical cancer cases in the world3. Currently there are several ways of treating cervical cancer such as removal of localized cancer tissue (surgery), irradiation of radiation, and chemotherapy. Each of these treatments is carried out according to the type and stage of
cancer when diagnosed. However, this therapy has side effects such as scarring after surgery, experiencing alopecia, nausea, emesis, anemia, fatigue, infection, infertility, menopause, weight changes, hepatotoxicity and triggers cancer in other organs. Therefore, searching for alternative treatments must be conducted, one of which is by utilizing natural ingredients such as sea grass *Cymodecea rotundata* and *Enhalus acoroides* which have been known to contain some bioactive compounds such as alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins and steroids as drugs, antioxidants, antitumor and anticancer. Previous study indicated that methanolic extraction of *Enhalus acoroides* had potential as an anticancer by performing cytotoxic anti-proliferative activity on HeLa cell line. Based on that previous study, the aim of this study was to further analyze the action of cytotoxic and antiproliferative activity of other sea grasses, namely *Cymodecea rotundata* as well as *Enhalus acoroides* against HeLa cell line. But at this study ethanol was used to extract some bioactive from two different sea grasses, since ethanol is the most commonly compound used for bioactive extraction of many plants.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This research was conducted from September to June 2023. *Cymodecea rotundata* was obtained from the Tegal Perak beach, Pesawaran Regency of Lampung Indonesia and *Enhalus acoroides* was obtained from Dollar Beach Padada beach, South Lampung Regency of Lampung Indonesia. The seagrass obtained was cleaned with running water, dried, extracted by maceration method using 96% ethanol with a ratio of 1:10 for 24 hours. The obtained extract then were evaporated by a rotary evaporatory with a temperature of 50°C until a thick extract was obtained. Then the phytochemical determination was carried out with the following procedure:

HeLa cell cultures were taken from liquid nitrogen tanks and then thawed in a water bath at 37°C for 3 minutes. By using a sterile conical tube containing 10 ml of DMEM culture medium, cells were placed and incubated for 4 hours at 36°C. The cancer cells then were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1500 rpm to separate from the medium. The obtaining supernatant was removed and HeLa cells were grown in 4 tissue culture flasks containing 10% FBS dissolved in DMEM. The flasks were kept at 36°C with 5% CO2 flow and lid flask loosened to optimize aeration for cell growth. The media was replaced after 3 days and the cells were grown again until 80% concentration was sufficiently for treatments.

HeLa cell harvesting was carried out after the cells reached 80% confluence as indicated by the cells filling the tissue culture flask, then the cells were released from the flask wall by aspirating the media using a sterile Pasteur pipette. Five (5) ml of PBS was used to wash cultured cells and it was repeated twice. Cells then were added with 0.25% trypsin EDTA solution to release cells and again they were incubated in a CO2 incubator at 36°C for 5 minutes. The cells then were added by 5 ml of media which had been mixed with 10% FBS and Pensterp, and then re-suspended again with a pipette until it did not show any clotting. The re-suspended cells were then put into a sterile conical tube.

**Cell count**

The cells in the conical tube were then filled with 3 ml of media. Then the cells were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1500 rpm. The supernatant was discarded and 10 ml of fresh media was added to the precipitated formed nathan. Cells as much 10 il was pipetted into the well plate and was added by 10 il of trypan blue. The number of cells was calculated, 10 il of the mixed cells was pipetted into a hemocytometer. Live cells were colorless or clear, while non-living cells were blue. Cell count with a hemocytometer was carried out by selecting 4 counting chambers under a microscope. A series of calculations for determining the number of cells to be cultured was followed.

\[
\text{Cell mean} = \frac{\text{number of cells in all counting chambers}}{4}
\]
Number of cells counted/ml = mean cells x dilution factor x 10^4
Total number required cells = number of wells x number of cells per well

Cell harvest transfer volume = (total number needed cells)/(number of counting cells/ml)

The cell harvest volume calculations then were transferred into the conical tube and media was added.

**Stock solution preparation**

The ethanol extracts of *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Enhalus acoroides* were performed prior to the cytotoxicity and antiproliferation tests. The stock solution was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of the extract with 1 ml of 5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Then, it was put in a bath at 30°C until the extract dissolves. The stock solution was put into closed sterile microtubes and stored in the refrigerator prior to use. For the study treatments, the stock solution was diluted again to concentrations of 2000 ppm, 1000 ppm, 500 ppm, 250 ppm, 125 ppm and 62.5 ppm, either for *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Enhalus acoroides*. As for Doxorubicin (used as control treatment), we used concentrations of 20 ppm, 10 ppm, 5 ppm, 2.5 ppm, 1.25 ppm, and 0.625 ppm. The prepared Doxorubicin and extract solutions in various concentrations were then tested on HeLa cells in a Laminar Air Flow Cabinet.

**Cytotoxic activity**

For the cytotoxic evaluation, the final harvested volume of cells was used and affixed to the medium. They were loaded into each well plate as much as 100 µl followed by incubation in a 5% CO₂ incubator for 24 hours at 36°C. After incubation time, medium was removed from each well plates and each well plates was rinsed using PBS and given 50 µl of CCK-8 reagent and reared again in a CO₂ incubator at 36°C for 2 hours. After incubation for 2 hours, viability of the cells of each well plates were determined by using ELISA reader at a wavelength of 450 nm^{13,14}. To obtain HeLa cell viability (in percent), the following formula was used^{13}.

\[
\text{Percent of Cell Viability} = \frac{(\text{treated absorbance} - \text{control media absorbance})}{(\text{control cell absorbance} - \text{control media absorbance})} \times 100
\]

The percentage value of cell viability was then converted into a probit value to determine the IC₅₀ value using the *Microsoft Excel* program.

**Antiproliferation activity determination**

A 100 µl of cell suspension was filled to each well plates followed by incubation in a CO₂ incubator 24 hours at 37°C. After 24 hours of incubation, the cells were removed from the incubator and then observed under a microscope. After discarding culture media, cells were washed with PBS solution. With the same procedure as those of cytotoxic activity determination, each well plates were incubated a 5% CO₂ incubator at 36°C within different time treatments, namely 24, 48 and 72 hours. After the incubation time was attained, the test solution was removed, and the well plates were washed again with PBS solution and given with 50 µl of CCK-8 reagent and again incubated in a CO₂ incubator at 36°C for 2 hours. After incubation for 2 hours, viability of the cells of each well plates were determined by using ELISA reader at a wavelength of 450 nm^{13,14,15}. In the anti-proliferation test, data processing was carried out to determine the difference in the number of living cells from extract treatment with numerous concentrations. Meanwhile the different incubation times was used to determine the doubling time value for each treatment using the following formula^{16}:

\[
\text{Double time} = \frac{(\text{Y} - \text{A})}{\text{B}} \times 100\%
\]

In which \( Y = \log (2 \times \text{Number of initial living cells}) \), \( A = \text{Intercept} \), \( B = \text{Slopes} \).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The weight of simplisia and the pasta of the sea grasses, Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides, extraction could be seen in Table 2. This result was needed to show how much percentage that we could gain from 100 gram of simplisia (dry mass of both sea grasses) which seemingly that both had similar percentage of less than 1%.

While the phytochemical tests (qualitatively, just to indicate that some bioactive was visible) on the extracts of Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides was presented in table 3 as follows:

The cytotoxic activity of the ethanolic extraction of sea grasses, Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus rotundata by using CCK-8 assay could be seen in Fig 1 and 2 as follows.

Percentage of HeLa cell viability was reduced in treatment using the ethanol extract of Cymodocea rotundata compared to control cells. Meanwhile, Cymodocea rotundata at concentrations of 1000 ppm and 2000 ppm, was able to sharply reduce the viability of HeLa cells, even compared to the Doxorubicin at the highest concentration in this study (5 – 10 ppm). The decrease in cell viability at these concentrations was 97.61% and 99.02%. This indicated that ethanolic extraction of Cymodocea rotundata contained of bioactive which presumably could be elaborated to be one of potential anticancer drug from one of marine plants. Yet, further much deeper study is needed.

As well as those seen in Cymodocea rotundata, the ability of Enhalus acoroides ethanolic extract to suppress cell viability at concentrations of 1000 ppm and 2000 ppm was higher than those of the control group and Doxorubicin treated groups. The percentage of decreasing in cell viability at these concentrations was 97.44% and 85.48%. Again, this indicated that ethanol extract of Enhalus acoroides at these two concentrationa were more toxic than those of control drug using Doxorubicin.

In order to determine the IC$_{50}$ value of both sea-grasses ethanolic extract, linear regression was plotted for both sea-grasses ethanolic extraction, which could be seen in Figures 3 and 4 as follows. From these figures (Fig. 3, 4), it could be seen that the extracts of Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides were both able to decrease cell viability of HeLa with each IC$_{50}$ values less than 1000 ppm. Beside, the IC$_{50}$ value of the Enhalus acoroides extract was also lower than that of Cymodocea rotundata extract.

Cytotoxic activity of HeLa cells using Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides extracts were carried out to test the toxic potential of the extracts used using the test parameter, namely IC$_{50}$ (50% inhibitory concentration)$^{17}$. IC$_{50}$ is the concentration used to inhibit or inhibit the activity of cancer cells. The smaller the IC$_{50}$ value obtained, the better some compound to have alternative potential as an anticancer drug by inhibiting as much as 50% of the proliferative activity of the cancer cells themselves$^{14}$. It is known that the ethanolic extract of Enhalus acoroides had an IC$_{50}$ value of 645.96 ppm, which was lower than Cymodocea rotundata of 856.65 ppm.

According to the NCI (National Cancer Institute) it is known that there are categories of compounds that are considered to be toxic. The categories are seen from their IC$_{50}$ values based on U.S. National Cancer Institute (NCI) and Geran protocol, which are as follows: IC$_{50}$ d" 20 ìg/ml = high, IC$_{50}$ 21-200 ìg/ml = moderate, IC$_{50}$ 201-500 ìg/ml = weak and IC$_{50}$ > 501 ìg/ml = no toxic$^{18}$. Based on these categories, the ethanol extracts of Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides of this study was included in compounds that was not toxic but both does have the potential as anticancer agents to inhibit HeLa cell growth. This is in accordance with the finding of other study$^{19}$ which states that if a compound had an IC$_{50}$ value of <1000 ìg/ml then the compound has the potential as an anticancer.

Differences in IC$_{50}$ cytotoxic values in sea-grasses extract of Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides could be accounted from the differences in bioactivity produced from both sea-grasses, from which can be also influenced by their environment (chemical and physical condition) where they grow. These differences were thought to affect differences in chemical content so that the bioactivity could also be different$^{20}$. Sea-grasses Cymodocea rotundata has a special feature where the leaf edges are not serrated, and the leaf sheaths are closed. The rhiomes of this sea-grass are smooth, and have irregularly branched roots. This sea-grass habitat is on a muddy sand substrate.
 Whereas the *Enhalus acoroides* sea-grass has a feature where its largest size can reach 1 meter and there are hairs on its rhizomes, *Enhalus acoroides* usually grows and lives in tidal areas\(^{21}\).

Besides being influenced by their environment, the cytotoxic ability of *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Enhalus acoroides* is also influenced by the secondary metabolites contained therein. *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Enhalus acoroides* are known to contain active compounds such as alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins and steroids. In addition, *Cymodocea rotundata* is also known to contain tannins as those also indicated from its phytochemical tests. Tannins contained in *Cymodocea rotundata* are thought to have anticancer activity by inhibiting tyrosine kinase and also as antioxidants. Tannins also have mechanisms that can inhibit enzymes such as transcriptase and DNA topoisomerase\(^ {22}\). Other study also indicated that *Cymodoceae rotundata* contained coumarins, flavonoids, phenols, proteins, free amino acids, quinones, saponins, sterols, sugars, terpenoid bioactive compounds with antibacterial, cytotoxic and hemolytic activity\(^ {23}\).

The antiproliferation activity of both seagrasses could be seen in Figure 5 (a, b) as follows:

The highest average number of living cells in *Cymodocea rotundata* extract was found

### Table 1. Procedure for Determining Secondary Metabolites\(^{10}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test type</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>0.5 ml sample was used then 5 drops of chloroform was added followed by 5 drops of Mayer’s reagent (1 g of KI dissolved in 20 ml dH(_2)O and added by 0.271 g HgCl(_2))</td>
<td>The color of the solution is brownish white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>0.5 ml sample was added with 0.5 g Mg and 5 ml concentrated HCl (added drop by drop)</td>
<td>The color of the solution is red or yellow in the form of foam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>0.5 ml of sample was added with 5 ml of dH(_2)O, shaken well for 30 seconds</td>
<td>Formed foam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>0.5 ml sample was added with 0.5 ml glacial CH(_3)COOH and 0.5 ml H(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>The color of the sample changes to blue or purple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>1 ml of sample was added with 3 drops of FeCl(_3) solution</td>
<td>The color of the solution becomes black</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. The mass extract of *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Enhalus acoroides*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Seagrass</th>
<th>Sample Mass (grams)</th>
<th>Percent(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simplisia Pasta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Cymodocea rotundata</em></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Enhalus acoroides</em></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Phytochemical content of *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Enhalus acoroides* extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Phytochemical Test</th>
<th><em>Cymodocea rotundata</em></th>
<th><em>Enhalus acoroides</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: +/-: Indicating of such bioactive compound exist or not
**Fig. 1.** Cytotoxic of *Cymodocea rotundata* ethanol extract on HeLa Cell line

Note:
KS: Control cells without treatment; DO. 0.625: Doxorubicin of 0.625 ppm; DO. 1.25: Doxorubicin of 1.25 ppm; DO. 2.5: Doxorubicin of 2.5 ppm; DO. 5: Doxorubicin of 5 ppm; DO. 10: Doxorubicin of 10 ppm; DO. 20: Doxorubicin of 20 ppm; CY 62.5: *Cymodocea rotundata* of 62.5 ppm; CY 125: *Cymodocea rotundata* of 125 ppm; CY 250: *Cymodocea rotundata* of 250 ppm; CY 500: *Cymodocea rotundata* of 500 ppm; CY 1000: *Cymodocea rotundata* of 1000 ppm; and CY 2000: *Cymodocea rotundata* of 2000 ppm.

**Fig. 2.** Cytotoxic of *Enhalus acoroides* ethanol extract on HeLa Cell line

Note:
KS: Control cells without treatment; DO. 0.625: Doxorubicin of 0.625 ppm; DO. 1.25: Doxorubicin of 1.25 ppm; DO. 2.5: Doxorubicin of 2.5 ppm; DO. 5: Doxorubicin of 5 ppm; DO. 10: Doxorubicin of 10 ppm; DO. 20: Doxorubicin of 20 ppm; EN 62.5: *Enhalus acoroides* of 62.5 ppm; EN 125: *Enhalus acoroides* of 125 ppm; EN 250: *Enhalus acoroides* of 250 ppm; EN 500: *Enhalus acoroides* of 500 ppm; EN 1000: *Enhalus acoroides* of 1000 ppm; and EN 2000: *Enhalus acoroides* of 2000 ppm.
Fig. 3. Cytotoxic Activity of *Cymodocea rotundata* extract on HeLa cell line (IC$_{50}$ 856.65 ppm)

Fig. 4. Cytotoxic Activity of *Enhalus acoroides* on HeLa cell line (IC$_{50}$ 645.96 ppm)

Fig. 5. Cell viability at 24, 48, and 72 hours of incubation of ethanol extract. (a) *Cymodocea rotundata* (b) *Enhalus acoroides*
Table 4. Doubling time of HeLa Cell line given extracts of C. rotundata and E. acoroides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sea-grass</th>
<th>Concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>Incubation Time Equations with Logs of Cell Count</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Value of doubling time (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cymodocea rotundata</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Y = 6973.2 + -18741</td>
<td>6973.2</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Y = 11232 + -33386</td>
<td>11232</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Y = 2499 + -522.5</td>
<td>2499</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Y = 24515 + -83222</td>
<td>24515</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Y = 50867 + -197726</td>
<td>50867</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>Y = 65162 + -261290</td>
<td>65162</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhalus acoroides</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Y = 44314 + -167948</td>
<td>44314</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Y = 7039.7 + -21094</td>
<td>7039.7</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Y = 33696 + -124783</td>
<td>33696</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Y = 46270 + -177917</td>
<td>46270</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>Y = 57926 + -229609</td>
<td>57926</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y = 42842x - 16422</td>
<td>42842</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

at 62.5 ppm group at 24 hours of incubation. The lowest number of live cells was found at a concentration of 500 ppm at 48 hours. This showed that given of Cymodocea rotundata had a significant effect on HeLa cell death because the higher the concentration and time, the lower the living cell average. In the treatment of Enhalus acoroides extract there was a significant difference among treatment groups with the highest number of living cells was obtained from 2000 ppm at 24 hours of incubation. The lowest cell count was at a concentration of 500 ppm and within 24 hours.

Furthermore, the calculation of the doubling time value was carried out to determine each treatments on the proliferative ability of HeLa cells. The results of the doubling time values obtained after being treated with the ethanolic extracts of Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides could be seen in Table 4 as follows:

It was seen that the doubling times obtained in the treatment of Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides ethanolic extracts were different. The slope value of Cymodocea rotundata ethanol extract at concentrations of 62.5 ppm and 125 ppm were greater than the control cells with doubling time values of 401 hours and 389 hours. In the ethanol extract of Enhalus acoroides it was found that the slope values at concentrations of 62.5 ppm, 125 ppm, and 2000 ppm had a greater slope value than the control group with doubling time values of 396 hours, 385 hours, and 379 hours. The smaller the slope value obtained the longer the time required for doubling the time and as well as the greater the slope value of the treatment groups compared to the control cell, the shorter the doubling time is.

The antiproliferative ability of the ethanol extracts of Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides showed in longer doubling time than control cells. This means that the ethanol extracts of Cymodocea rotundata and Enhalus acoroides were able to inhibit the growth speed at which HeLa cervical cancer cells multiply. If such compound could delay the doubling time of cells, then there was possibility that the compound could inhibit the genes and proteins which regulate the cell cycle. Presumably, the inhibition of cell proliferation was caused by the mechanism of secondary metabolites contained in the ethanol extract of Cymodocea rotundata, Enhalus acoroides such as alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, steroids and tannins. Alkaloids, which also found in seagrass Cymodocea rotundata, Enhalus acoroides, are known to have anticancer activity\textsuperscript{24}. From which alkaloids could act as antiangiogenic, antiproliferative, inhibit topoisomerase activity, tubulin polymerization and induce apoptosis\textsuperscript{24}. Alkaloids also can increase apoptosis by inducing
DNA damage. Alkaloids also have potential as antioxidants by donating H atoms to free radicals, so that free radicals will be stable.

Flavonoid compounds are usually found in several plants and are used as disease prevention, one of which is as an anticancer by inhibiting cell proliferation through inhibiting oxidative processes which can initiate cancer cells in the body. Presumably the enzymes xanthin oxidase, Cyclooxygenase (COX) and Lipooxygenase (LOX) was dropped, causing slowing down in cell cycle. Flavonoids affect the induction of apoptosis by increasing the activity of caspase 3 and cox 2. In addition, the enzyme expression for topoisomerase I and II was also inhibited. The topoisomerase complex will be alleviated then by topoisomerase enzyme inhibitor triggering DNA to be cut and damaged. Tannins have activity as free radical scavengers and are able to inhibit lipoxygenase and lipid peroxidase. Tannins inhibit the S phase or cell cycle synthesis. The cell will carry out DNA synthesis and chromosome replication in the S phase. Based on the compounds contained in the seagrass *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Enhalus acoroides*, the two seagrasses can be used as anticancer agents based on the IC\textsubscript{50} value for inducing apoptosis and the doubling time value on the proliferative ability of HeLa, cervical cancer cells.

**CONCLUSION**

From this study we can conclude that the activity of the ethanolic extraction of *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Enhalus acoroides* was cytotoxic against HeLa cervical cancer cells, as evidenced by reducing viability cell percentage related to the control group with IC\textsubscript{50} values of 856.65 ppm and 645.96 ppm. The ethanol extracts of *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Enhalus acoroides* also have antiproliferative against HeLa cells. This can be seen in the longer doubling time compared to the control cells. Based on this, the ethanol extracts of *Cymodocea rotundata* and *Enhalus acoroides* have potential as HeLa cervical anticancer agents.
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