

Management of Mandibular Body Fractures in Pediatric Patients

R. BALAKRISHNAN and VIJAY EBENEZER

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Sree Balaji Dental College and Hospital, Bharath University, Pallikaranai, Chennai - 600100, India.

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.13005/bpj/706>

(Received: July 25, 2015; accepted: September 10, 2015)

ABSTRACT

The treatment of pediatric maxillofacial fractures is unique due to the psychological, physiological, developmental, and anatomical characteristics of children. The treatment plan in children has to be modified as compared to adults considering the presence of tooth buds and potential disturbances in growth. Use of acrylic splints has been one of the popular techniques in children because of its relatively easy placement and reduced risk of hindrances to growth of jaw. Reduction and immobilization is done with acrylic splint and circum mandibular wiring.

Key words: Pediatric mandible fracture, acrylic occlusal splint, circum mandibular wiring.

INTRODUCTION

Pediatric mandible fractures account for 32.7% of all facial fractures, followed by nasal bone fractures (30.2%) and midface/zygoma fractures (28.6%)⁽¹⁾. Mandible fractures are rare in the children younger than 5 years⁽²⁻⁶⁾. Many pediatric mandible fractures can be treated without surgical exploration of the fracture site⁽⁷⁾. In children it is difficult to use arch bars, plates, interdental ligature due to the absence of teeth due to primary teeth exfoliation and developing tooth buds and the poor retentive shape of the deciduous crown. Majority of the body and symphysis fractures in children are undisplaced because of elasticity of mandible and embedded tooth buds that holds the fragments together "like glue"⁽⁸⁻⁹⁾. Splinting the fractured mandible with an acrylic splint, retained by circummandibular wires, remains a perfect option.

The following paper will review the management of facial trauma in children. It highlights the role of acrylic splint with the use of circummandibular wiring technique in the

management of bilateral body fracture in a 5-year-old child.

Case report

A 5 year old female child came to our department of oral and maxillofacial surgery with a history of fall from 1st floor of her house premises. Extra oral examination of the patient reveals laceration in the lower chin and mild swelling in the anterior region of the mandible (Fig 1). There was open bite in anterior region with deranged occlusion (Fig 2). All primary teeth were present. On palpation there was bilateral step deformity in parasymphysis region. Developing tooth bud was visible in the fracture site(Fig 3). In AP view of skull reveals bilateral parasymphysis mandible fracture. Impression of both upper and lower dental arches were taken with alginate impression material (Fig 4). An acrylic cap splint is prepared on the patient's arches model.

Under general anaesthesia nasotracheal intubation done, extraoral skin and intraoral mucosa was prepared with povidone iodine solution. The



Fig. 1: Pre operative photos



Fig. 2: Fracture site



Fig. 3: Exposed tooth bud in the fracture site



Fig. 4: Pre operative xray



Fig. 5: Manual reduction of fracture



Fig. 6: Exposing the fracture site



Fig. 7: Placement of bone awl



Fig. 8: Passing of wires

displaced fractured segments were reduced bimanually (Fig 5). The permanent canine tooth buds were found exposed bilaterally in site of fracture. Reduction of the fracture fragment was difficult due to the entrapment of the permanent canine tooth bud bilaterally (Fig 6). A small stab

incision was placed at the inferior border of the mandible in the right side. A bone awl was introduced through the stab incision (Fig 7). The bone awl was guided along the body of the mandible and taken out lingually. Next the wire was tied in and the awl was gently guided along the



Fig. 9: Placement of acrylic occlusal splint



Fig . 10: Final settlement of occlusal by acrylic splint



Fig. 11: wound closure



Fig. 12: Post operative xray



Fig. 13: Post operative

lower border of the mandible and passed into the buccal sulcus(Fig 8). The wire was held around the mandible and was bound to the cap splint which stabilized the reduced fractured segments (Fig 9). The same procedure was performed on the left side. Then the final occlusion was checked (Fig 10). The external wound on the chin was thoroughly debrided and wound closure was done in layers (Fig 11).

DISCUSSION

Facial fractures in children account for the approximately 5% of all fractures. A male predilection is seen in all age groups. The most common fracture in children requiring hospitalization and/or surgery generally involves the mandible and, in particular, the condyle. Fractures in the condylar region are the most common, followed by angle and body fractures. The etiologies of mandibular fractures in children are usually falls and sports injuries. The clinical features of a fractured mandible in a child are the same as in an adult, which includes pain, swelling, trismus, derangement of occlusion, sublingual ecchymosis, step deformity, midline deviation, loss of sensation due to nerve damage, bleeding, TMJ problems, tenderness, movement restriction, open bite and crepitus. Thorough clinical examination, however, may be impossible in uncooperative young trauma patients. Lacerations should be evaluated to reveal injuries to underlying structures.

Problems encountered in management of Pediatric Mandibular Fractures

- Loose anchorage system due to attrition of deciduous teeth and physiologic resorption of roots¹⁰.
- Difficulties in securing IMF using arch bars and eyelets as primary teeth are not sufficiently stable and may be avulsed due to the pressure exerted. In addition, the partially erupted secondary teeth are not sufficiently stable in the pediatric soft bone¹¹.
- Shape of the primary teeth: Conical shape with wide cervical margins and tapered occlusal surface makes placement of wires technically challenging¹².
- Restricted normal dietary intake in children on IMF was reported to result in significant weight and protein loss and reduced tidal volume¹⁰.
- Children on IMF are at an increased risk of aspirating gastric contents should they vomit¹⁰.
- The wires cause discomfort and damage periodontal tissues¹⁰.

CONCLUSION

The use of occlusal splint in stabilising the fractured segment of the pediatric dental arches is the most common treatment modality. As the anatomical occlusal relation can be achieved without injuring any developing tooth bud nor injuring to the mucoperiosteum (Fig 12).

REFERENCES

1. Imahara SD, Hopper RA, Wang J, *et al.* Patterns and outcomes of pediatric facial fractures in the United States: a survey of the National Trauma Data Bank. *J Am Coll Surg* **207**(5):710–6 (2008)
2. Ferreira PC, Amarante JM, Silva PN, *et al.* Retrospective study of 1251 maxillofacial fractures in children and adolescents. *Plast Reconstr Surg* **115**: 1500–8 (2005).
3. Davison SP, Clifton MS, Davison MN, *et al.* Pediatric mandibular fractures: a free hand technique. *Arch Facial Plast Surg* **3**:185–9 (2001).
4. Demianczuk AN, Verchere C, Phillips JH. The effect on facial growth of pediatric mandibular fractures. *J Craniofac Surg* **10**: 323–8 (1999)
5. Schweinfurth JM, Koltai PJ. Pediatric mandibular fractures. *Facial Plast Surg* **14**: 31–44 (1998).
6. Lindahl L, Hollender L. Condylar fractures of the mandible. II. A radiographic study of remodeling processes in the temporomandibular joint. *Int J Oral Surg* **6**: 153–65 (1977).
7. Tanaka N, Uchide N, Suzuki K, Tashiro T,

- Tomitsuka K, Kimijima Y, et al. Maxillofacial fractures in children. *J Craniofac Surg.* **21**: 289–93 (1993).
8. J. B. Mulliken, L. B. Kaban, and J. E. Murray, "Management of facial fractures in children," *Clinics in Plastic Surgery*, **4**(4): 491–502 (1977).
 9. M. A. Fortunato, A. F. Fielding, and L. H. Guernsey, "Facial bone fractures in children," *Oral Surgery Oral Medicine and Oral Pathology*, **53**(3): 225–230 (1982).
 10. Kaban LB. Diagnosis and treatment of fractures of the facial bones in children 1943-1993. *J Oral Maxillofacial Surg.* **51**: 722–9 (1993).
 11. Aizenbud D, Hazan-Molina H, Emodi O, Rachmiel A. The management of mandibular body fractures in young children. *Dental Traumatol.* **25**: 565–70 (2009).
 12. Zimmermann CE, Troulis MJ, Kaban LB. Pediatric facial fractures, recent advances in prevention, diagnosis and management. *Int J. Oral Maxillofacial Surg.* **35**: 2–13 (2006)