Evaluation of changes in Blood Pressure (BP) and Heart Rate (HR) among controlled Hypertensive and Normotensive Patients before and after receiving Dental Local Anesthesia (DLA) with Adrenaline: A Prospective Study
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To evaluate the alterations in blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) among hypertensive and normotensive patients receiving dental local anesthesia using 2% lidocaine with epinephrine. This prospective observational study was carried out at the College of Dentistry, Jazan, KSA. A total of 40 adult patients were included in the study. They were divided into two groups (n=20). Group "A" included normotensive patients and group "B" included controlled hypertensive patients. The BP and HR were measured by a sphygmomanometer and pulse oximeter in three different temporal locations: on arrival to the waiting room, and in clinic before and after administration of local anesthesia. The mean values of the measurements in the three locations; the waiting area, clinic before and after the administration of DLA, were noted. The only significant alterations were found in the Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) of both hypertensive and normotensive patients before the administration of DLA and in the HR of hypertensive patients again only before the administration of DLA. Endogenous catecholamines are more significant in causing hemodynamic disturbances in dental patients. Hypertensive patients seem to be more vulnerable to these disturbances.
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Hypertension is becoming an important risk factor for cardiovascular disease worldwide. One of the intriguing aspects of hypertension is that it remains asymptomatic and many patients are unaware of its presence. Studies in KSA have found that the prevalence of hypertension is high and rising. The possible causes could be changing pattern of lifestyle, increased prevalence of obesity as well as changing the definition of hypertension to lower normal systolic values in recent guidelines.

As far as the dental treatment is concerned, the utilization of local anesthesia is the main tool for pain control. Incorporation of vasoconstrictors like...
epinephrine in local anesthesia increases the depth and duration of anesthesia and reduces bleeding in the operative field in cases of surgical procedures. The vasoconstrictor produces some side effects especially when it is absorbed systemically. The anxiety and stress due to dental treatment also cause secretion of endogenous catecholamines. It is rather difficult to differentiate if the undesirable side effects are due to the endogenous or exogenous catecholamines.

Although the treatment protocol for hypertensive patients who are under control is not much changed, but dentists should be aware of the fact that systemic absorption of the agent can create side effects in hypertensive patients despite being within the safe dose range.

We designed this study in an effort to measure the systolic, diastolic blood pressure and pulse rates at three moments of possible significant alterations among normotensive and controlled hypertensive patients to whom we deliver local anesthesia, in an attempt to evaluate the impact of both endogenous and exogenous catecholamines.

**Aim of the study**

To analyze the Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP), Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) and Heart rate (HR) of normotensive and controlled hypertensive patients before and after administration of local anesthesia with epinephrine. The collected data was used to analyze the effect of endogenous and exogenous catecholamines on the cardiovascular system.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study design and study setting**

This prospective observational study was carried in the Department of Oral Surgery at the College of Dentistry, Jazan, KSA. Informed written consent from all the participants was obtained. This study was carried in the male clinics and hence only male participants were selected. This study followed the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. College ethical committee clearance was obtained with Institute registration number (IRB.2019/030).

**Sample selection criteria**

In this non-blinded study using convenient sampling technique, “Sample size was calculated based on the previously published study by ogunlewe MO et.al”. Inclusion criteria were controlled Hypertensive patients with systolic blood pressure (SBP) not exceeding 140mmHg and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) not exceeding 95mmHg. Those undergoing elective oral surgical procedures under Local Anesthesia ([lignocaine 2% with epinephrine 1:100000] Lignospan® standard; Canada]. Age-matched normotensive patients acting as controls were also selected. Exclusion criteria were patients with uncontrolled hypertension, patients with other comorbidities like diabetes mellitus, or cardiovascular diseases other than hypertension and those not willing to participate in the study. Patient who needed more than one cartridge of DLA (1.8ml) were also excluded. Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria a total of n=20 adult male hypertensive (Group A) and n=20 adult male normotensive patients (Group B) were included in the study.

**Study tools and data collection method**

The measurements of Blood pressure and Heart rate were done in three different temporal locations where there are possible chances of hemodynamic alterations. The first measurement was done upon arrival to the dental clinic and the patients will be in the waiting room. The second measurement was when the patient on the Dental chair just before the administration of local anesthesia. The third record was done within 5 minutes after the administration of local anesthesia. All the measurements of BP and HR were taken between 9 AM and 10 AM and the BP was measured by standard calibrated and validated sphygmomanometer (Yuwell; China) after 5 minutes of rest (or after administration of local anesthesia) with the patient in sitting position with backrest, arm support, legs uncrossed and sphygmomanometer at the level of the heart.

For the validity and reliability of the study, the measurement of the variables such as blood pressure and heart rate were carried out using standardized procedures.

A single operator with the same instrument measured the BP of all the patients to avoid inter-operator bias. The pulse was measured with a pulse oximeter (Omron healthcare co. Ltd; Japan) applied to the left index finger. Two Oral & Maxillofacial surgeons with experience of more than 10 years performed the local anesthesia administration.
Statistical analysis
The collected data was organized on an excel spreadsheet and statistical analysis performed by SPSS version 17. Statistical software ANOVA analysis was used to find variations both within the same group and among all groups.

RESULTS

Analysis of SBP changes in normotensive patients
The mean values of SBP in group A (Normotensive) in the three different temporal locations were calculated and recorded. It was 122.1 ± 8.27 mmHg in the waiting area, 128.15 ± 7.06 mmHg in the Dental clinic before the administration of local anesthesia and 122.3 ± 6.93 mmHg after the administration of local anesthesia. In order to determine the significance of the differences between the three mean values ANOVA analysis was used and the F value was found to be 2.58 and the F Critical values was 3.15 while the p value was 0.02 hence significant alterations were found. Namely in the SBP readings taken in the clinic just prior to the administration of DLA (Table 1).

Analysis of DBP changes in normotensive patients
The mean value of DBP in group A in the three different locations was calculated and recorded. In the restroom, the Mean DBP value was 80.8 ± 6.53 mmHg and the mean DBP value in the Dental clinic before the administration of Local Anesthesia was 79.65± 5.57 mmHg and the mean value of DBP after the administration of Local anesthesia was 78.6 ± 3.11mmHg. The ANOVA analysis was used and the F value was found to be 0.87 and the F Critical values were 3.15 the p values were found to be >0.87 hence the differences were not significant (Table 2).

Analysis of SBP changes in controlled hypertensive patients
The mean values of SBP in group B (Hypertensive) in the three different locations were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>F crit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>691.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>345.8</td>
<td>6.804267</td>
<td>0.002239*</td>
<td>3.158843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>2896.8</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>50.82105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3588.4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P <0.05 significant

![Normal Patients](image_url)

**Fig. 1.** Showing the recordings of the SBP of Normotensive patients in different conditions.
calculated and recorded. It was 121.35 ± 8.48 mmHg in the waiting area, 135.45 ± 6.41 mmHg in the Dental clinic before the administration of Local Anesthesia and 128.35 ± 7.35 mmHg after the administration of Local anesthesia. The ANOVA analysis between the readings gave an F value of 17.87 and F Critical value 3.15, and a P value <0.001. Hence the alterations were found to be significant and again specifically in the readings just prior to the administration of the DLA (table 4).

**Analysis of DBP changes in controlled hypertensive patients**

The mean value of DBP in group B in the three different locations was calculated and recorded. In the restroom, the Mean DBP value was 88.05 ± 6.37 mmHg and the mean DBP value in the Dental clinic before the administration of DLA was 84.02 ± 8.67 mmHg and the mean value of DBP after the administration of DLA was 85.55 ± 8.66 mmHg. The ANOVA analysis between the readings shows an F value of 1.197998 and F Critical value 3.15, therefore, the P value was 0.3 indicating no significant alterations in the DBP in this group (table 5).

**Analysis of HR changes in controlled hypertensive patients**

The mean HR of group B (Hypertensive) in the three different conditions was calculated and recorded. In the restroom the Mean HR was found to be 81.55 ± 9.31 bpm and the mean HR in the Dental clinic before the administration of DLA was 90.4 ± 6.09 bpm and the mean HR after the administration of DLA was 81.85 ± 10.05 bpm. The ANOVA analysis between the groups shows the F value was 6.73 and F Critical value 3.15, therefore, the P value 0.002373 which was found to be significant. The significant alteration was again in the readings at the clinic prior to the administration of the DLA. (table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

Multiple studies have evaluated the effect of local anesthesia or different local anesthetic agents, with or without vasoconstrictor on

### Table 2. ANOVA analysis of the Diastolic Blood Pressure [DBP] of Normotensive Patients in the restroom, in the clinic before LA and in the clinic after LA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>F crit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>48.43333</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.21667</td>
<td>0.870032</td>
<td>0.424426</td>
<td>3.158843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>1586.55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>27.83421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1634.983</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P > 0.05 not significant

**Normal Patients**

![Fig. 2. Showing the recordings of the DBP of Normotensive patients in different conditions](image)
hemodynamic parameters among normotensive and hypertensive patients. Adrenaline in local anesthesia has been used in dentistry especially in oral surgical procedures to increase the duration and depth of the anesthesia and to reduce the bleeding in the surgical field. This not only allows a better pain control but also avoids an exaggerated stress response. Some studies have shown that while adrenaline as a vasoconstrictor is associated with transient effects in normotensive patients, hemodynamic complications could develop in

**Table 3.** ANOVA analysis of the Pulse of Normotensive Patients in the restroom, in the clinic before LA and in the clinic after LA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>F crit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>209.2333</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>104.6167</td>
<td>1.865029</td>
<td>0.164221</td>
<td>3.158843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>3197.35</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>56.09386</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3406.583</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P > 0.05 not significant

**Fig. 3.** Showing the recordings of the Pulse of Normotensive patients in different conditions

**Fig. 4.** Showing the recordings of the SBP of Hypertensive patients in different conditions
uncontrolled hypertensive subjects, with possible cardiovascular accidents though such problems would be related to the dose of vasoconstrictor administered and to the local anesthesia used 22,26.

In this study we used 2% lidocaine hydrochloride local anesthetic drug with 1:100000 epinephrine because of its extensive use in dental practice 27. Aspiration in two planes prior the local anesthesia injection was done to avoid intravascular injection in all the cases. Not a single case reported positive aspiration in our study.

The main aim of this study was to assess the changes in the B.P and H.R in controlled hypertensive patients before, and after administration of local anesthesia containing epinephrine and compare it with a control group (Normotensive patients).

In the present study, we found that the variations were significant in the Systolic Blood Pressure and Heart rate in Group B (controlled hypertensive patients) and only significant variation of group A (normotensive patients) was in the SBP.

In both groups the main variation was noted while the patient was on the dental chair before the administration of local anesthesia. This is explained by the psychological stress the patients had which leads to endogenous adrenaline secretion. The patient’s exposure to an unknown environment changes their physiological balance and causes the release of endogenous catecholamines which alter the blood pressures and heart rate 23,26,30,31. The hypertensive patients are more sensitive to adrenaline and this explains the rise of SBP and HR as compared to the normotensive group which had a significant rise only in the SBP 32.

Similar results were seen from the studies done by (Karanam AK. Reddy BS) 33, (Uzeda, M J) 16. They concluded that the rise in systolic blood pressure is attributed to the stress and anxiety of dental surgery characterizing the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>F crit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>1992.133</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>996.0667</td>
<td>17.87398</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>3.158843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>3176.45</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>55.72719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5168.583</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant

**Hypertensive Patients**

Fig. 5. Showing the recordings of the DBP of Hypertensive patients in different conditions.
“white coat hypertension” and not because of the vasoconstrictor used in the local anesthesia. Whereas in contrast to our study, Shimoji et al\textsuperscript{34}; found that there were no changes in BP or HR at any point of dental procedures and they found no significant difference between pre and post anesthesia period and during the local anesthesia.

The mean SBP in the normotensive patients before the injection of DLA was 128.15 mmHg and the mean SBP after the injection of DLA was 122.3 mmHg. This shows that the apprehension due to stress is present and after the injection of the local anesthetic the mean BP dropped due to the alleviation of stress.

A study by Chaudhary S. et al\textsuperscript{19} on the effect on BP and Heart rate after local anesthesia in hypertensive patients found significant fall in DBP and SBP of patients with Hypertension from the time of administering injections up to five minutes. In the present study we observed that the mean BP in the hypertensive group prior to local anesthesia administration 135.45 mmHg and after

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>F crit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>152.6333</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>76.31667</td>
<td>1.197998</td>
<td>0.309282</td>
<td>3.158843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>3631.1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>63.70351</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3783.733</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(P > 0.05\) Not significant

**Table 6.** ANOVA analysis of the Pulse of Hypertensive Patients in the restroom, in the clinic before LA and in the clinic after LA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>F crit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>1010.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>505.05</td>
<td>6.731953</td>
<td>0.002373*</td>
<td>3.158843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>4276.3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>75.02281</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5286.4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant

**Fig. 6.** Showing the recordings of the Pulse of Hypertensive patients in different locations
the local anesthesia administration the mean SBP was 128.95 mmHg agreeing with the results of S Chaudhary et al 19.

Seeing alterations in the SBP but not in the DBP is well expected. Adrenaline induces an increase in SBP while reducing the DBP as explained by Struthers AD et al.35.

The main source of hemodynamic disturbances in hypertensive patients (and also normotensive patients) is the secretion of endogenous epinephrine36, 37. The ongoing debate on the use of vasoconstrictor with the local anesthetic solution in hypertensive patients doesn't seem to be valid. The use of vasoconstrictors within a limit below the minimal therapeutic dose of 0.04mg of epinephrine with precautions to eliminate rapid systemic uptake would be advantageous 38, 39. Profound anesthesia (pain control) is crucial to prevent stress during treatment which would lead to secretion of catecholamines39. The cardiovascular effects caused by the use of adrenaline in hypertensive patients are negligible as compared to its benefits. It would be more sensible to move the debate into standardizing a protocol for stress reduction and stress assessment with encouragement to utilize anxiolytic medications.

**CONCLUSION**

Endogenous catecholamines are more significant in causing hemodynamic disturbances in patients undergoing dental procedures. Hypertensive patients are more vulnerable to these endogenous catecholamines. The precautious utilization of adrenaline supplemented local anesthetic preparations provide profound pain control for longer durations. A pain free experience reduces the stress and this is an important factor in reducing the secretion of catecholamines and hence preventing undesirable cardiovascular events. Precautionous use of adrenaline should be strictly followed by avoiding intravascular injections and abiding by the allowed dose.
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