Childhood Medulloblastoma: Old Challenges, New Perspectives

G Attinà, A Ariano, S Triarico, MA Capozza, P Maurizi, S Mastrangelo and A Ruggiero

Pediatric Oncology Unit, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A.Gemelli IRCCS, Universita' Cattolica Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy. *Corresponding Author E-mail: antonio.ruggiero@unicatt.it

https://dx.doi.org/10.13005/bpj/1975

(Received: 13 April 2020; accepted: 24 June 2020)

Medulloblastoma (MB) is the first primary central nervous system cancer of infancy. During the last thirty years, there has been an evolution of its treatment: although chemotherapy and radiotherapy have improved the prognosis, surgery remains a determinant key-factor for therapeutic outcome. The search of an effective adjuvant treatment along with a reduced toxicity is difficult. Following treatment with surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy, the prognosis for infants and young children with MB is still unfavourable and burdened by an high risk of neurocognitive deficits. The biological features of MB are not fully characterized, but now there are important directions for research. Clinical and molecular prognostic markers can be used to create a disease risk classification. In our review we resume the main features of MB, including clinical, histopathologic and molecular aspects and discuss on the therapeutic options for its treatment.

Keywords: Children; Histopathology; Infants, Moleculars; Medulloblastoma; Marker; Radiotherapy; Risk groups; treatment.

Medulloblastoma (MB) is the first primary malignant tumor of central nervous system during infancy. MB arises in the posterior fossa, specifically in cerebellum with metastasis via cerebro-spinal fluid (CSF) and this invasive embryonal tumor has specific manifestation in children as neuronal differentiation.

About 75% of MB comes up in cerebellum's vermis and throws itself into the fourth ventricle. High age of the patient and desmoplastic variant are related to an increased involvement of cerebellar hemispheres. Medulloblastomas often grow to several centimeters and may fill the posterior fossa, invading surrounding central nervous system (CNS) structures as they occupy the regional subarachnoid and ventricular spaces. The reported

frequency of CNS metastases at diagnosis is 11% to 43%, and such spread eventually occurs in as many as 93% of patients who come to necroscopy.^{1,2} Among the paediatric CNS tumors, MB has the major ability of determining extraneural metastasis, especially in bone, liver, bone marrow, lung and lymph nodes.

According to some authors, cancers histologically not different from MB are PNETs (primitive neuro-ectodermal tumors) and they can be classified on their location.³ MB biologically is a single typology of tumor, and the last World Health Organisation (WHO) tumor's classification defines MB as a different class of embryonal tumor, divided from PNETs, pineal parenchymal tumors (with the inclusion of pinealoblastomas) and

This is an d Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons license: Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY). Published by Oriental Scientific Publishing Company © 2020



ependymoblastomas.⁴ Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a valid instrument for diagnosis and evaluation of disease's extension: in fact, staging studies, management protocols and treatment plans are based on disease stratification made by MRI.⁵

MB treatments are changed in the past three decades; it has been observed that combined protocols with chemotherapy and radiotherapy have an efficacy in >80% of the cases (expecially when the disease is localised at diagnosis) against radiotherapy alone (efficacy in about 50% of the cases)^{5, 6} But, treatment are not free from longterm side-effects, in particular neurocognitive dysfunctions, especially for children of young age.⁷ **Epidemiology**

MB represents approximately 16% of all paediatric primary CNS tumors and no more than 1% of all adult primary CNS tumors.^{8,9} In infancy, MB presents two peak of distribution: the first between 3 and 4 years-old and the second between 8 and 9 years-old. In most cases, the aetiology of MB remains still unknown.

Genetic

Cancers arise as a result of mutations of genes that regulate cell proliferation and death. Gene mutation may originate within the germline or may occur as somatic mutations exclusively within tumor cells. Only a small fraction of children with brain tumors have germline mutations. Althought the causes of the somatic mutations underlying the vast majority of all brain tumors are unknown, the genetic abnormalities typically associated with childhood brain tumors are being characterized through a growing body of evidence. Chromosomal anomalies have been defined further through molecular genetic analyses, including fluorescence in situ hybridization, studies of loss of heterozygosity and comparative genomic hybridization.

Deletions of chromosome 17's short arm (17p) distal to TP53 locus occur in 30% to 50% of medulloblastomas.^{10,11} Loss of 17p frequently occurs in association with duplication of 17q, which is characteristic of isochromosome 17q, the most common cytogenetic abnormality of MB.^{12,13} Deletions or mutations of chromosome 9q involving the Sonic Hedgehog receptor PTCH have been found in association with approximately 10% to 15% of sporadic MB.^{14,17} Fewer than 5% of

children with MB have inherited disorders.¹⁸ The most common of these are Turcot syndrome and the Gorlin syndrome (also known as "nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome").^{19,20}

Sonic Hedgehog receptor PTCH's germline alterations cause the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome.²¹⁻²³ Children affected have a 3% incidence of MB and the age of diagnosis is anticipated respect to children without Gorlin syndrome. Children with Turcot syndrome, a disorder with dominant autosomic heredity, determined by or adenomatosis polyposis coli (APC) gene's mutation or to different DNA mismatch repair genes (hPMS2 and hMLH1, for example),²⁴ have a high incidence of colorectal adenomas, gliomas and medulloblastomas. Multiple cancer types occur in children with Li-Fraumeni syndrome, determined by germline mutation of the TP53 gene^{25,26} Children with inherited mutations of TP53 gene most commonly develop low- or high-grade gliomas that may be multifocal, medulloblastomas, PNETs, and choroid plexus tumors.

Pathology

MB appears macroscapically friable, soft and highly cellular formed by basophil cells of different shape and size, reduced quantity of cytoplasm and, frequently, an elevated degree of mitoses. Homer Wright rosettes and pseudorosettes are variably present. Different stages of neuronal or glial differentiation are highlighted, demonstrating that tumoral origin cell is able to bipotential differentiation.

There are different histopathological patterns of this tumor

Classic MB: is formed by densely packed cells with round-to-oval or carrot-shaped highly hyperchromatic nuclei enclosed by scantly cytoplasm. Neuroblastic rosettes are distinctive but not constant peculiarity; a rosette is a circular disposition of tumor cell nuclei around cytoplasmatic processes, often characterized by specific nuclear polymorphism and elevated degree of mitosis. Apoptosis is more present than areas of necrosis.

Desmoplastic MB: this lesion shows nodular, reticulin-free zones (pale island) enclosed by densely packed cells with an elevated degree of proliferation which generate a dense intercellular reticulin fiber network.²⁸⁻³⁰ Nodules are hypocellular with a rarified fibrillar matrix and a noticeable nuclear uniformity;

MB with vast nodularity and extensive neuronal differentiation: presents nuclear uniformity and cell streaming in a fine fibrillary background. This typology of MB, now and then, can change into a more-differentiated ganglion cell tumors,³¹ representing in this way a pathogenetically distinct group.³² In neuroimaging extreme lobularity is described with a "grapelike" aspect; large cells/anaplastic MB: this variant occurs in 4% of cases.33,34 Tumor cells are characterized by big, circular and/or pleomorphic nuclei with conspicuous nucleoli and a more plentiful cytoplasm. Necrosis is often present with elevated degree. Mitosis and apoptosis are both elevated. This type is similar to cerebellum's rhabdoid/atypical teratoid tumours, except for immunophenotype and cytogenetics.34

Synaptophysin is a characteristic feature of MB and most elevated in nodules and in the centers of neuroblastic rosettes.³⁵⁻³⁷ The majority of MBs contain stellate GFAP positive cells. Intermediate filament proteins have also been used to identify cell lineages in MBs.

Neuroimaging

Computed-tomography (CT) or MRI are valid diagnostic instrument in childhood MB. On CT this tumor appears well-defined, homogeneous and in the midline and it can be isodence or hyperdence in relation to cerebellar cortex.³⁸

When children begin older, MB's pathways is characterized by the involvement of cerebellopontine angles and cerebellar hemispheres. Non-contrast CT evidences calcification in 10% to 20% of patients while necrotic or cystic areas are more incident.^{39, 40} Three pathways are evidenced after contrast enhancement: homogeneous enhancement (the most incident), eterogeneous enhancement and non-enhancement in 5-10% of the patient. MRI shows MB as a midline inferior vermian lesion, which completely interests fourth ventricle and which can arrive into the ventricular foramina.41 MB are usually low-to-intermediate signal on short time to relaxation/time to echo (TR/ TE) images and isointense or slightly hyperintense on long TR/TE images.42

Contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images demonstrate metastasis all over the subarachnoid

space, but a better resolution of this disseminations there is in gadolinium-enhanced T1 weighted images.⁴³

Neuroimaging of the entire neuraxis before the beginning of treatment is very important considering the high incidence of metastasis at diagnosis.

Clinical presentation

Presentation of brain tumors relates mostly to the site of tumor origin, children's age, and their developmental level, but sometimes depends also on the tumor type. Symptoms of MB generally occur three months before diagnosis and they can be subtle and intermittent. Early cerebellar symptoms can be of difficult interpretation, such as: slow or hesitant speech, awkwardness, deterioration of handwriting and difficulty in hopping and running.

Tumor arising in the cerebellar hemispheres more commonly cause lateralizing signs, such as appendicular dysmetria and nystagmus, whereas midline cerebellar's interest determines truncal instability, wryneck or augmented intracranial pressure. The clinical manifestations of MB also include: truncal ataxia, lethargy, disturbed gait, morning vomiting, intracranial hypertension secondary and headache.

Intracranial hypertension is caused directly by compression or infiltration of CNS or indirectly by the obstruction of cerebrospinal fluid circulation with consequent non-communicating hydrocephalus. Among school-aged children, deterioration of scholastic results, personality changes, fatigue and vague intermittent headaches are common. Papilledema may occur if the pressure is long standing. In infants and young children chronically increased pressure may lead to macrocephaly or cranial sutures's removal. Tonsillar involvement or the upcoming herniation are preceded by stiff neck or head tilt.

Risk groups

Some of the clinical or biologic features of MB have prognostic value. Prognostic stratification in risk groups is very important for the tumor control. In addition, this stratification is very helpful for prognosis and therapy of MB. There are clinical and histological criteria to assign patients to a risk group.

Clinical criteria include

Age at diagnosis: younger age is

characterised by an elevated disease dissemination at diagnosed^{5, 44}; they have a lower rate of complete tumor resection45 and less aggressive radiotherapy.⁴⁶ In addition, more aggressive histologic subtypes of MB34 occur more commonly in the first few years of life;

Extent of resection: a near-total resection (generally defined as a more than 90%) can be achieved in approximately 80% of medulloblastomas using contemporary microsurgical techniques.⁴⁷ According to Jenkin *et al.* gross total resection is characterized by a 5-years PFS of 93% in contrast with the PFS of 45% after incomplete resection.⁴⁸ The CCG study 921 highlights a connexion between resection's degree and outcome in patients without tumor dissemination.⁴⁹ The same study found that a disease's residue less than 1.5 cm² on imaging after surgical treatment was associated significantly with a higher PFS rate in patients with M0 disease; this effect was greatest in children older than 3;

Tumor size and extent: MB has an inherent tendency to metastasize; at diagnosis one-third of patients present metastases. The Chang staging system is used to assign stages of primary (T stage) and metastatic (M stage) disease (Table 1).

Treatment

Surgery with subsequent craniospinal radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy is the most used approach to MB. Chemotherapy is used since 1990s to reduce the use of radiotherapy and its CNS effects with an improvement of intellectual outcomes in children with brain tumors.^{45, 50, 51}

Surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy have different role in MB's treatment. Surgery's purpose is the complete resection of primary mass. Conditions which can prevent total resection are: brainstem involvement (the floor of the fourth ventricle is the most interested), metastasis of leptomeninges and subarachnoid spaces, and a widespread supratentorial involvement.

Tumor is reached through a suboccipital craniotomy or craniectomy with the children in prone or modified prone position. In patients with hydrocephalus, treatment with dexamethasone to reduce peritumoral oedema gives good results. If mental status is very injured, it is necessary a CSF diversion through a ventriculostomy, which will be removed in postoperative period.

Because approximately 10% of patients develop a postoperative syndrome of pseudobulbar symptoms and mutism (the "posterior fossa syndrome") after vermian tumor resections^{52,53}

Stage Tumor	Definition	
T1	Cancer with diameter <3 cm and restricted in the midline of the vermis, the roof of the fourth ventricle and, less often, to the hemispheres of cerebellum.	
T2	Cancer with diameter >3 cm, further diffusion in one of the next structure or in part in the fourth ventricle.	
T3	Divided in T3a e T3b.	
T3a	Cancer with diffusion in two of the next structures or completely in the fourth ventricle with involvement of foramen of Luschka, foramen of Magendie or the aqueduct of Sylvius. The consequence is an internal hydrocephalus.	
T3b T4	Cancer involves the floor of the fourth ventricle or brainstem and filling the fourth ventricle. Cancer further crosses through the aqueduct of Sylvius to involve the third ventricle or midbrain, or cancer arises to the upper cervical cord.	
Metastase	5	
M0	Absence of evident subarachnoid or hematogeneous metastasis.	
M1	Microscopic tumor cells present in cerebrospinal fluid.	
M2	Evident nodule seedings found in the cerebellar, cerebral subarachnoid space or in the third or lateral ventricles.	
M3 M4	Evident nodule seedings in the spinal subarachnoid space. Extraneural metastases.	

Table 1. Chang staging system for posterior fossa medulloblastoma

(a reaction possibly related in part to the extent of the vermis incision) an attempt is made to incise only as much vermis as is needed to provide adequate exposure of the tumor.

MB is a relatively chemosensitive tumor. The most commonly used agent are classic alkylators and platinum complexes.54-56 New agent that are more effective and less toxic are needed. With regard to increasing disease control, chemotherapy has been employed in both neoadjuvant and adjuvant settings. In patients with tumoral residues or metastasis, chemotherapy offer potential advantages of treating micrometastatic disease throughout the CNS; of decreasing gross residual tumor before conventional radiotherapy of identifying active agents. The goal of adding chemotherapy to low-risk disease is to allow a safe reduction of radiation dose outside the posterior fossa so as to decrease the risk of late, adverse neurocognitive effects due to radiation.57-60 The International Society of Paediatric Oncology (SIOP) and the Children's Cancer Group (CCG) proved first the advantages of chemotherapy in newly diagnosed high-risk MB.

The trial analysed two different treatments: radiotherapy (36 Gy cranio-spinal irradiation and a boost to the posterior fossa to 54 to 56 Gy) followed or not by adjuvant chemotherapy. CCG's regimen was based on vincristine contemporary with radiation followed by adjuvant vincristine, prednisone, and CCNU. SIOP regimen is resembling without prednisone.

Both trials highlight an important benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy, especially in the case of tumor of elevated degree.

Radiotherapy is generally adopted following surgery. MB is radiosensitive tumor; for decades, the standard curative therapy for MB has been craniospinal irradiation plus boost to the posterior fossa. In children > 3 years-old, it is administered 36 Gy of craniospinal irradiation for all neuroaxis and 54-59,6 Gy for posterior fossa.

In children treated with < 45 Gy to the local tumor site has been reported a major incidence of relapse.⁶³

Attempts at not irradiating the entire neuroaxis or omitting radiotherapy altogether have resulted in compromised survival.⁶² Conversely, craniospinal irradiation can produce intellectual and endocrinologic morbidity, most marked in younger patients. For these reasons the possibility of treating infants with standard risk MB chemotherapy alone has been explored.

Good results with adjuvant chemotherapy alone in young patients without metastases are highlighted by Rutkowski *et al.*, who reported also

WHO classification subgroup	Histology subtype	Prognosis and demographic notes
Medulloblastoma, WNT-activated	Classic	Low risk
	Large cell/anaplastic (very rare)	Uncertain clinicopathological significance
Medulloblastoma, SHH-activated,	Classic (rare)	High risk
TP53 mutant	Large cell/anaplastic	High risk; incident in 7–17 years range
	Desmoplastic/nodular (very rare)	Uncertain clinicopathological significance
Medulloblastoma, SHH-activated,	Classic	Standard risk
TP53 wild type	Large cell/anaplastic	Unclear clinicopathological significance
	Desmoplastic/nodular	Low risk in infants; prevalent in infants and adults
	Extensive nodularity	Low risk in infants
Medulloblastoma, non-WNT/non-SHH,	Classic	Standard risk
group 3	Large cell/anaplastic	Elevated risk
Medulloblastoma, non-WNT/non-SHH,	Classic	Standard risk
group 4	Large cell/anaplastic (rare)	Unclear clinicopathological significance

Table 2. 2016 World Health Organization (WHO) Histological Classification of Medulloblastoma

as the Quotient-Intellective post-treatment was in normal range, but reduced when compared to children without tumor and better than of children in the trial who underwent radiotherapy alone.⁶⁴

Grill *et al.* reported as standard chemotherapy alone is able to increase the prognosis in patients without metastatic disease and with gross total resection, but not in presence of metastasis and incomplete resection.

In presence of local relapse, salvage treatment and re-radiotherapy of posterior fossa represent a potential approach.⁶⁵

In the last decade, high-dose chemotherapy with autologous haematopoietic stem cells rescue has been evaluated as treatment for various paediatric brain tumors: busulfan-thiotepa and etoposide-thiotepa along with carboplatin or BCNU are the treatments mainly used. After encouraging results, high-dose chemotherapy represents now the salvage or consolidation therapy in MB. Highdose chemotherapy supports brain distribution of hemotherapeutic drugs, which are unable to cross blood-barrier at conventional dosages.

Children with relapsed MB can benefit from temozolomide alone or in combination with etoposide treatment.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸

Prognosis

MB is characterized by a poor prognosis and survivors are loaded by long term sequelae such as intellectual impairment, hearing loss, endocrinological deficits.

The overall survival (OS) at 5 years in patients > 3 years of age at the time of diagnosis with non-metastatic tumor is reported to be between 60% and 75% in different studies^{69,70}, whereas the survival for patients with metastasis at diagnosis is significantly poor, characterized by an OS at 5 years of 40%.⁷¹

In infants, prognosis is poorer probably due to the different biology of the tumor and to the radiotherapy limitations. OS at 5 years for patients < 3 years of age at the time of diagnosis with standard risk MB is $75\%^{57,58}$ Infants with metastatic disease have a dismal prognosis with an OS at 5 years of 15%-38%.^{64,65}

Infants

The definition of infant is imprecise and the elevated incidence of radiotherapy long term adverse effects on the developing CNS has determined the use for mature children of treatment initially destined for infants.

The risk of developing CNS injury is more elevated in young age. The passage from tumor's destruction and irreparable nervous damage in infants and young children is rapid. During the second trimester, CNS catches up with adult neuronal numbers and this is followed by axonal and dendritic development.

However, brain continues his development during the 3rd or 4th postnatal year.⁷² For this reason, radiotherapy should be avoided or delayed in this subset of patients.

Intelligence quotient scores is the reference to evaluate treatment-related alterations in cognitive development.

Different risk factors are involved in Quotient Intelligence reduction, in particular treatment of long duration, female sex, younger age at time of treatment, hydrocephalus, radiotherapy and its dose and the volume of the brain irradiated.^{73,} ⁷⁴ There are also an impact on functional outcomes, such as: ototoxicity, marital status, speech, visual acuity, endocrinopathies, vision, type education, hearing, deambulation and dexterity, living arrangements.⁷⁵⁻⁷⁷ The risk of such damages is high particularly in children treated aggressively and younger.

Medulloblastoma and molecular markers

In the last years, results have been obtained about the knowledge of the molecular genetic alterations which are at the basis of the genesis and development of embryonal tumors. Signalling systems, which support physiological cerebellum's growing, is very interested by the molecular genetic alterations. About specific gene disregulation have been studied some alteration in transduction in MB.

The wingless (WNT) signalling is involved in regulating cell proliferation. Dysregulation of this pathway is a significant cause in oncogenesis. About 15% of sporadic medulloblastomas are determined by proteins' mutations on the WNT signalling pathway.

The mutation of APC gene, present in a subgroup of children with Turcot syndrome (characterized by the contemporary presence of colon and brain cancer), predisposes to the development of MB.^{78, 79} The Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) pathway is fundamental in cellular proliferation and differentiation and also in cerebellar growth.⁸⁰⁻⁸², 83 This pathway is also involved in the genesis of sporadic and hereditary MB.

The evidence of PTCH mutation in Gorlin's syndrome (characterized by a major risk of basal-cell carcinoma and MB) prompts also the involvement of PTCH dysregulation in Sonic Hedgehog pathway in MB development.⁸⁴⁻⁸⁸) The Neurotrophin signalling pathway supports neuronal growing, differentiation and surviving.⁸⁹⁻⁹¹

It is also implicated in cerebellar development. Neurotrophin family links with high affinity Trk receptors. Evaluation in MB cells in vivo and in vitro of neurotrophin pathway and research of TrkC receptors' concentration in primary tumor samples underline as this pathway corresponds to a less malignant phenotype.⁹²⁻⁹⁶

ErbB receptor signalling transduction pathway is at the basis both cerebellar growing and MB genesis.

ErbB2 receptors is fundamental in this pathway: in fact, signalling by these receptors results in increased cell proliferation, invasion and migration^{97, 98} and these qualities account for its elevated oncogenicity.

In the fourth edition of the WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System, the international consensus' definition of these subgroups has been utilised as basis of diagnostic criteria by WHO.^{99, 100}

WHO classification comprises molecular subgroups, which allow patient's prognostic evaluation and put the basis for clinical trials finalized to the research of new targeted therapies (Table 2).

CONCLUSIONS

The improvement of neurosurgical techniques, the redefinition of dose and delivery of radiotherapy and the optimization of chemotherapy have determined an increase of survival of children affected by MB.

However, larger and new studies are needed in order to further improve the prognosis of high-risk MB groups represented by infants and children with metastatic spread.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by "Sara un angelo con la bandana Onlus"

REFERENCES

- 1. Allen JC, Epstein F. Medulloblastoma and other primary malignant neuroectodermal tumors of the CNS. The effect of patient's age and extent of disease on prognosis. *J Neurosurg*; **57**: 446-451 (1982).
- 2. Deutsch M, Reigel DH. The value of myelography in the management of childhood medulloblastoma. *Cancer*; **45**: 2194-2197 (1980).
- 3. Rorke LB. The cerebellar medulloblastoma and its relationship to primitive neuroectodermal tumors. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*; **42** : 1-15 (1983).
- Kleihues P, Burger PC, and Scheithauer BW(1993). Histological Typing of Tumours of the Central Nervous System. Springer : New York.
- 5. Evans AE, Jenkin RD, Sposto R, *et al.* The treatment of medulloblastoma. Results of a prospective randomized trial of radiation therapy with and without CCNU, vincristine, and prednisone. *J Neurosurg;* **72**: 572-582 (1990).
- 6. Packer RJ, Sutton LN, Elterman R, *et al.* Outcome for children with medulloblastoma treated with radiation and cisplatin, CCNU, and vincristine chemotherapy. *J Neurosurg*; **81**: 690-698 (1994).
- Packer RJ, Sutton LN, Atkins TE, Radcliffe J, Bunin GR, D'Angio GJ, Siegel KR, and Schut L. A prospective study of cognitive function in children receiving whole-brain radiotherapy and chemiotherapy: 2-year results. *J Neurosurg*; 70: 707-713 (1989).
- Packer RJ. Chemotherapy for medulloblastoma/ primitive neuroectodermal tumors of the posterior fossa. *Ann Neurol*; 28: 823-828 (1990).
- Bloom HIJ and Bessel EM. Medulloblastoma in adults: A review of 47 patients treated between 1952 and 1981. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*; 18: 763-772 (1990).
- 10. Biegel JA, Burk CD, Barr FG, *et al.* Evidence for a 17p tumor related locus distinct from p53 in pediatric primitive neuroectodermal tumors. *Cancer Res;* **52**: 3391-3395 (1992).
- 11. Thomas GA, Raffel C. Loss of heterozygosity on 6q, 16q, and 17p in human central nervous system primitive neuroectodermal tumors. *Cancer Res;* **51**: 369-643 (1991).

- 12. Biegel JA, Rorke LB, Packer RJ, *et al.* Isochromosome 17q in primitive neuroectodermal tumors of the central nervous system. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer;* 1: 139-147 (1989).
- Griffin CA, Hawkins AL, Packer RJ, et al. Chromosome abnormalities in pediatric brain tumors. *Cancer Res.*; 48: 175-180 (1988).
- 14. Pietsch T, Waha A, Koch A, *et al.* Medulloblastomas of the desmoplastic variant carry mutations of the human homologue of Drosophila patched. *Cancer Res;* **57**: 2085-2088 (1997).
- Raffel C, Jenkins RB, Frederick L, *et al.* Sporadic medulloblastomas contain PTCH mutations. *Cancer Res*; 57: 842-845 (1997).
- Xie J, Johnson RL, Zhang X, *et al.* Mutations of the PATCHED gene in several types of sporadic extracutaneous tumors. *Cancer Res;* 57: 2369-2372 (1997).
- Dong J, Gailani MR, Pomeroy SL, et al. Identification of PATCHED mutations in medulloblastomas by direct sequencing. Hum Mutat; 16: 89-90 (2000).
- Evans G, Burnell L, Campbell R, et al. Congenital anomalies and genetic syndromes in 173 cases of medulloblastoma. *Med Pediatr Oncol;* 21: 433-434 (1993).
- Cowan R, Hoban P, Kelsey A, *et al.* The gene for the naevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome acts as a tumour-suppressor gene in Br J Cancer; 76: 141-145 (1997).
- Kimonis VE, Goldstein AM, Pastakia B, et al. Clinical manifestation in 105 persons with nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome. AMJ Med Genet; 69: 299-308 (1997).
- Hahn H, Wicking C, Zaphiropoulous PG, *et al.* Mutations of the human homolog of Drosophila patched in the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome. *Cell*: 85: 841-851 (1996).
- 22. Johnson RI, Rothman AL, Xie J, *et al.* Human homolog of patched, a candidate gene for the basal cell nevus syndrome. *Science*; **272**: 1668-1671 (1996).
- 23. Gailani MR, Bale SJ, Leffell DJ, *et al.* Development defcts in Gorlin syndrome related to a putative tumor suppressor gene on chromosome 8. *Cell;* **69**: 111-117 (1992).
- Hamilton Sr, Liu B, Parsons RE, et al. The molecular basis of Turcot's syndrome. N Engl Med; 332: 839-847 (1995).
- Malkin D, Li FP, Strong LC, *et al.* Germ line p53 mutation in a familial syndrome of breast cancer, sarcomas, and other neoplasm. *Science*; 250: 1233-1238 (1990).
- 26. Srivastava S, Zou ZQ, Pirollo K, *et al.* Germline transmission of a mutated p53 gene in a

cancer-prone family with Li-Fraumeni sindrome. *Nature*; **348**: 747-49 (1990).

- Kleihues P, Cavanee WK. World classification of tumours. Lyons: International Agency for Research on Cancer Press, 208-241 (2000).
- Burger PC, Scheithauer BW (1994). Tumors of the Central Nervous System. Armed Forces Institute of Pathology: Washington.
- 29. Giangaspero F, ChiecoP, Ceccarelli C, Lisignoli G, Pozuolli R, Gambacorta M, Rossi G, Burger PC. "Desmoplastic" versus "classic" medulloblastoma: comparison of DNA content, hystopathology and differentiation. *Virchows Arch A Pathol Anat Histopathol;* **418**: 207-214 (1991).
- Katsetos CD, Herman MM, Frankfurter A, Gass P, Collins VP, Walker CC, Rosemberg S, Bernard RO, Rubinstein LJ. Cerebellar desmoplastic medulloblastomas. A further immunohistochemical characterization of the reticulin-free pale island. *Arch Pathol Lab Med;* 113: 1019-1029 (1989).
- de Chadarevian JP, Montes JL, O'Gorman AM, Freeman CR. Maturation of cerebellar neuroblastoma into ganglioneuroma with melanosis. A histologic, immunocytochemical, and ultrastructural study. *Cancer*; 59: 69-76 (1987).
- 32. Schofiald DE, West DC, Anthony Dc, Marshal R, Sklar J. Correlation of loss of heterozygosity at chromosome 9q with histological subtype in medulloblastomas. *Am J pathol;* **146**: 472-480 (1995).
- Giangaspero F, Rigobello L, Badiali M, Loda M, Andreini L, Basso G, Zorzi F, Montaldi A. Large cell medulloblastomas. A distinct variant with highly aggressive behavior. *Am J Surg Pathol*; 16: 687-693 (1992).
- Brown HG, Kepner JI, Perlman EJ, et al. "Large cell/anaplastic" medulloblastomas. A pediatric oncology group study. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol; 59: 857-865 (2000).
- Burger PC, Scheithauer BW (1994). Tumors of the Central Nervous System. Armed Forces Institute of Pathology: Washington.
- Coffin CM, Braun JT, Wick MR, Dehner LP. A clinical and immunohistochemical analysis of 53 cases of medulloblastoma with emphasis on synaptophysin expression. *Mod Pathol;* 3: 164-170 (1990).
- Katsetos CD, Liu HM, Zacks SI. Immunoistochemical and ultrastructural observation on Homer Wright (neuroblastic) rosettes and the "pale island" of human cerebellar medulloblastomas. *Hum Pathol*; 19: 1219-1227 (1988).

- Zimmerman RA, Bilaniuk LT, Pahlajani H. Spectrum of medulloblastomas demonstrated by computer tomography. *Radiology*; 126: 137-141 (1978).
- 39. Chambers EF, Turski PA, Sobel D, Wara W, Newton Radiologic characteristics of primary cerebral neuroblastomas. *Radiology;* **139**: 101-104 (1981).
- Sandhu A, Kendall B. Computed tomography in management of medulloblastomas. *Neuroradiology*; 29: 444-452 (1987).
- Zimmerman RA, Bilaniuk LT, Rebsamen S. Magnetic resonance imaging of pediatric posterior fossa tumors. *Pediatr Neurosurg*; 18: 58-64 (1992).
- Vezina LG, Packer RJ. Infratentorial brain tumors of childhood. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am*; 4: 423-436 (1994).
- 43. Rorke LB, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM.-Y, Zimmerman RA, Sutton LN, Biegel JA, Goldwein JW, Packer RJ. Primitive neuroectodermal tumors of the central nervous system. Brain Pathol 1997; 7: 765-784.
- 44. Deutsch M. Medulloblastoma: staging and treatment outcome. Int J Radiot Oncol Biol Phus 1988; 14:1103-1107.
- 45. Duffner PK, Horowitz ME, Krischer JP, *et al.* Postoperative chemotherapy and delayed radiation in children less than three years of age with malignant brain tumors. N Engl J Med 1993; 328: 1725-1731.
- Saran FH, Driever PH, Thilmann c, *et al.* Survival of very young children with medulloblastoma (primitive neuroectodermal tumor of the posterior fossa) treated with craniospinal irradiation. Int J Radiot Oncol Biol Phys 1998; 42: 959-967.
- Albright AL, Wisoff JH, Zeltzer PM, *et al.* Current neurosurgical treatment of medulloblastomas in children. A report from the Children's Cancer Study Group Pediatr Neurosci 1989; 15: 276-282.
- Jenkin D, Goddard K, Armstrong D, et al. Posterior fossa medulloblastomas in childhood: treatment results and a proposal for a new staging system. Int Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1990; 19: 265-274.
- Albright AL, Wisoff JH, Zeltzer PM, et al. Effects of medulloblastoma resections on outcome in children: a report from the Children's Cancer Group Neurosurgery 1996; 38: 265-271.
- 50. Geyer JR, Zelter PM, Boyett JM, *et al*.Survival of infants with primitive primitive neuroectodermal tumors or malignant ependymomas of the CNS treated with eight drugs in 1 day: a report from the Children's Cancer Group. J Clin Oncol 1994;

12: 1607-15.

- 51. Ater JL, van Eys J, Woo SY, *et al.* MOPP chemotherapy without irradiation as primary postsurgical therapy for brain tumors in infants and young children. J Neurooncol 1997; 32: 243-252.
- 52. Wisoff JH, Epstein FJ. Pseudobulbar palsy after posterior fossa operation in children. Neurosurgery 1984; 15: 707-709.
- 53. Pollack IF, Polinko P, Albright AL *et al.* Mutism and pseudobulbar symptoms after resection of posterior tumors in children: incidenc and pathophysiology. Neuosurgery 1995; 37: 885-893.
- Cefalo MG, Ruggiero A, Maurizi P, Attinà G, Arlotta A, Riccardi R. Pharmacological management of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in children with cancer. J Chemother 2009;21(6):605-10
- Lazzareschi I, Ruggiero A, Riccardi R, Attinà G, Colosimo C, Lasorella A. Hypersensitivity reactions to carboplatin in children. J Neurooncol 2002; 58:33-37
- 56. Ruggiero A, Triarico S, Trombatore G, Battista A, Dell'acqua F, Rizzari C, Riccardi R. Incidence, clinical features and management of hypersensitivity reactions to chemotherapeutic drugs in children with cancer. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2013;69(10):1739-46
- Timeus F, Crescenzio N, Longoni D, et al.Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria clones in children with acquired aplastic anemia: a multicentre study. PLoS One 2014;9(7):e101948.
- Falsini B, Chiaretti A, Rizzo D, *et al.* Nerve growth factor improves visual loss in childhood optic gliomas: a randomized, double-blind, phase II clinical trial. Brain 2016;139(Pt 2):404-414
- 59. Falsini B, Chiaretti A, Barone G, et al. Topical Nerve Growth Factor as a Visual Rescue Strategy in Pediatric Optic Gliomas: A Pilot Study Including Electrophysiology. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2011;25(6):512-20
- 60. Chiaretti A, Ruggiero A, Barbi E, *et al.* Comparison of propofol versus propofolketamine combination in pediatric oncologic procedures performed by non-anesthesiologists. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2011;57(7):1163-7
- 61. Tait DM, Thorton-Jones H, Bloom HJ, *et al.* Adjuvant chemotherapy for medulloblastomas: the first multi-centre control trial of the International Society of Paediatric Oncology (SIOP I). Eur J Cancer 1990; 26: 464-469.
- Bouffet E, Bernard JL, Frappaz D et al. M4 protocol for cerebellar medulloblastomas: supratentorial radiotherapy may not be avoided. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1992; 24: 79-85.

- Hershatter BW, Halperin EC, and Cox EB. Medulloblastoma: the Duke University Medical Center experience. Int J Rad Oncol Biol Phys 1982; 12: 1771-1777.
- 64. Rutkowski MD, Udo Bode MD, Frank Deinlein MD *et al*. Treatment of early childhood medulloblastoma by postoperative chemotherapy alone. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 978-986.
- 65. Grill J, Sainte-Rose C, Jouvet A, Gentet JC, et al. Treatment of medulloblastoma with postoperative chemotherapy alone : an SFOP prospective trial in young children. Lancet Oncol 2005; 6: 573-580.
- 66. Cefalo G, Massimino M, Ruggiero A, et al. Temozolomide is an active agent in children with recurrent medulloblastoma/primitive neuroectodermal tumor: an Italian multiinstitutional phase II trial. Neuro Oncol 2014;16(5):748-53
- 67. Ruggiero A, Rizzo D, Attinà G, *et al.* Phase I study of temozolomide combined with oral etoposide in children with recurrent or progressive medulloblastoma. Eur J Cancer 2010;46(16):2943-9
- 68. Riccardi A, Mazzarella G, Cefalo G, *et al.* Pharmacokinetics of Temozolomide given three times a day in pediatric and adult patients. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2003; 52: 459-464
- 69. Taylor RE, Bailey C, Robinson K, Weston CL, Ellison D *et al.* Results of a randomized study of preradiation chemotherapy versus radiotherapy alone in nonmetastatic medulloblastoma: the International Society of paediatric Oncology/ United Kingdom Children's Cancer Study Group PNET-3 Study. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21: 1581-1591.
- 70. Kortmann R-D, Kuhl J, Timmermann B, et al. Postoperative neoadjuvant chemotherapy before radiotherapy as compared to imediate radiotherapy followed by maintenance chemotherapy in the treatment of medulloblastoma in childhood: results of the german prospective randomized trial HIT'91. Int J Radiot Oncol Biol Phys 2000; 46: 269-279.
- Taylor RE, Bailey C, Robinson K, Weston CL, Ellison D *et al.* Outcome for patients with metastatic (M2-3) medulloblastoma treated with SIOP/UKCCSG PNET-3 chemotherapy. Eur J Cancer 2005; 41: 727-734.
- Dobbing J, Sands J. Quantitative growth and development of human brain. Arch Dis Child 1973; 48: 757-767.
- 73. Ruggiero A, Triarico S, Trombatore G, et al. Incidence, clinical features and management of hypersensitivity reactions to chemotherapeutic drugs in children with cancer. Eur J Clin

Pharmacol 2013;69(10):1739-46

- 74. Iuvone L, Peruzzi L, Colosimo C, *et al.* Pretreatment neuropsychological deficits in children with brain tumors. NeuroOncol 2011;13(5):517-24
- 75. Falsini B, Ziccardi L, Lazzareschi I, et al. Longitudinal assessment of childhood optic gliomas: relationship between flicker visual evoked potentials and magnetic resonance imaging findings. J Neurooncol 2008; 88: 87-96
- 76. Trisciuzzi MT, Riccardi R, Piccardi M, et al. A fast visual evoked potential method for functional assessment and follow-up of childhood optic gliomas. Clin Neurophysiol 2004; 115: 217-226
- 77. Ruggiero A, De Rosa G, Rizzo D, et al. Myocardial performance index and biochemical markers for early detection of doxorubicininduced cardiotoxicity in children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Int J Clin Oncol 2013;18(5):927-33
- Paraf F, Jothy S, Van Meir EG. Brain tumourpolyposis syndrome: two genetic diseases? J Clin Oncol 1997; 15: 2744-2758.
- Hamilton SR, Liu B, Parsone RE, et al. The mollecular basis of Turcot's syndrome. N Engl J Med 1995; 332: 839-847.
- Ingham PW. Transducing hedgehog: the story so far. EMBO J, 1998, 17, 3505-3511.
- Wicking C, Smyth I, Bale A. The hedgehog signalling pathway in tumorigenesis and development. Oncogene 1999, 15,418-425.
- Ruiz I, Altaba A. Gli proteins and Hedgehog signaling: development and cancer. Trends Genet 1999, 15, 418-425.
- Wechsler-Reya RJ,Scott MP. Control of neuronal precursor proliferation in the cerebellum by Sonic Hedgehog. Neron 1999, 22, 103-114.
- Farndon PA, Del Mastro RG, Evans DRG, Kilpatrick MW. Location of gene for Gorlin's syndrome. Lancet 1992; 339: 581-582.
- Goldstein AM, Stewart C, Bale AE, Bale SJ, Dean M. Localization of the gene for nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 1994; 54: 765-773.
- Hahn H, Wicking C, Zaphiropoulos PG, et al. Mutations of the human homologue of Drosophila patched in the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome. Cell 1996; 85: 841-851.
- Johnson RL, Rothman AL, Xie J, *et al.* Human homolog of patched, a candidate gene for the basal cell naevus syndrome. Science 1996, 272: 1668-1671.
- Raffel C, Jenkins RB, Frederick L, *et al.* Sporadic medulloblastomas contain PTCH mutations. Cancer Res 1997; 57:842-845.
- 89. Barbacid M. Neurotrophic factors and their

receptors. Curr Opin Cell Biol 1995; 7: 148-155.

- 90. Bibel M, Barde YA. Neurotrophins: key regulators of cell fate and cell shape in the vertebrate nervous system. Genes Dev 2000; 14:2919-2937.
- 91. Barrett GL. The p75 neurotrophin receptor and neuronal apoptosis. Prog Neurobiol 2000; 61: 205-229.
- 92. Muragaki Y, Chou TT, Kaplan DR, Trojanowsky JQ, Lee VM. Nerve growth factor induces apoptosis in human medulloblastoma cell lines that express TrkA receptors. J Neurosci 1997; 17: 530-547.
- Kim JY, Sutton ME, Lu DJ, *et al.* Activation of neurotrophin-3 receptor TrkC induces apoptosis in medulloblastomas. Cancer Res 1999; 59:711-719.
- Chou TT, Trojanowsky JQ, Lee VM. A novel apoptotic pathway induced by nerve growth factor-mediated TrkA activation in medulloblastoma. J Biol Chem 2000; 275: 565-570.
- 95. Segal RA, Goumnerova LC, Kwon YK, Stiles CD, Pomeroy SL. Expression of the neurotrophin

receptor TrkC is linked to a favourable outcome in medulloblastoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994; 91: 12867-12871.

- Grotzer MA, Janss AJ, Fung K, *et al.* TrkC expression predicts good clinical outcome in primitive neuroectodermal brain tumours. J Clin Oncol 2000; 18: 1027-1035.
- Spencer KS, Graus-Porta D, Leng J, Hynes NE, Klembe RL. ErbB2 is necessary for induction of carcinoma cell invasion by ErbB family receptor tyrosine chinases. J Cell Biol 2000; 148: 385-397.
- 98. Gambaletta D, Marchetti A, Benedetti L, Mercurio AM, Sacchi A, Falcioni R. Cooperative signaling between alpha(6)beta(4)integrin and ErbB2 receptor is required to promote phosphatidylinositol 3-kynase-dependent invasion. J Biol Chem 2000; 275: 10604-10610
- 99. Taylor MD, Northcott PA, Korshunov A, *et al.* Molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma: the current consensus. Acta Neuropathol 2012;123:465–472.
- Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, *et al.* The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Acta Neuropathol 2016;131:803–820.