# Dental Implant Insertion Torque and Bone Density – Short Review

# C.J.VENKATAKRISHNAN1, S.BHUMINATHAN2 and CHITRAA.R.CHANDRAN3

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar Bharath University, Department of Prosthodontics,
Tagore Dental College, Melakkottaiyur Post, Rathinamangalam, Tamil Nadu 600127, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Prosthodontics, Sree Balaji Dental College, Velachery Main Road,
Pallikaranai, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600100, India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Periodontics, Tagore Dental College, Melakkottaiyur Post,
Rathinamangalam, Tamil Nadu 600127, India.

\*Corresponding author E-mail: venkatmds9@gmail.com

http://dx.doi.org/10.13005/bpj/1234

(Received: June 10, 2017; accepted: June 15, 2017)

#### **ABSTRACT**

Clinical success in implant practice is influenced by both the volume (quantity) and the density (quality) of bone at the implant site. Bone quality and quantity differ from site to site and from patient to patient. Factors that are important to the success of dental implant treatment include material, biocompatibility, and design issues related to the dental implant; patient factors, such as general health, local tissue health, and quality and quantity of bone; and procedural issues, such as insertion torque (IT), timing of loading, healing duration, biomechanical loading, and prosthetic design. Osteoporotic patients require particular attention to their implant site bone quality as an indication of prognosis and may require modified surgical technique Insertion Torque (IT).

**Keywords:** Insertion torque, Bone density, Dental implants, Osteoporosis, Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT), primary stability.

### INTRODUCTION

Success of an implant depends on various factors, beginning with the diagnosis and case selection up to prosthetic rehabilitation and maintenance. After being placed in the selected site, implant must achieve primary stability in the surrounding bone which is important in the bone healing, by resisting micromovement and the resultant damage to the bone healing process. Micromovement or motion between freshly placed implant and bone can jeopardise osseointegration. Therefore primary stability immediately post implant placement and in the early healing phase is necessary till the time secondary stability is gained by bone remodelling and osseointegration. <sup>2,3</sup> Successful outcome of implant placement can be

attributed to primary stability.3,10,11 It is determined by the density of the bone at site, the surgical technique used to place the implant and the implant design.4 Primary stability depends on mechanical engagement of an implant with bone but it decreases with time as bone remodelling occurs around it.5 Also, there is a sharp reduction in interfacial strain due to mechanical stress relaxation in the bone.6 The primary stability is also important as the loading protocol would depend on it. Shortening of overall length of implant treatment and reduction in the number of procedures is desirable by the patient and by the clinicians in practice. This has encouraged the immediate loading protocol of the implant. Achieving high primary stability is crucial for the immediate loading protocol. With this comes into play the importance of assessing the primary

stability of implant, as the clinician, based on the primary stability can make judgements about the treatment procedures such as healing period, location and the loading protocol. It can be measured by non-invasive clinical methods such as Periotest, Resonance Frequency Analysis (RFA) and the Insertion Torque. 1,4,5 Insertion torque can provide assessment of bone quality as a function of density and hardness, either subjectively in experienced hands or quantitatively by electronic drill devices which measure the torque required to insert implant in the bone.6 Torque is a measure of the turning force on an object such as a bolt. For example, pushing or pulling the handle of a wrench connected to a nut or bolt produces a torque (turning force) that loosens or tightens the nut or bolt. In dental implantology, the force used to insert a dental implant is called insertion torque.5 It is the amount of force required to advance the implant into the prepared osteotomy, expressed in Ncm (Newton centimetre) units. The energy required in inserting implant is due to the thread placement force from the tip of instrument and the friction generated as the implant enters bone.4

# Insertion Torque and Bone density

The factors affecting the insertion torque are - bone density and hardness, use of underdimensioned drills and tapered implant design. Torque is directly proportional to the bone density. In D-1 type bone, it will be the highest. In D-4 type bone, it will be the lowest without the use of compression techniques. With the use of compression techniques to achieve better stability, insertion torque could be improved in poor quality bone. Inducing overcompression could jeopardise the healing process. Under high stress, angiogenesis gets altered and it impairs new blood vessel formation. This leads to hypoxia in peri-implant tissues which inhibit bone formation and adversely affects stability.7 The tubule network of bone is filled with interstitial fluid supplying the bone cells. It is able to transmit external stresses to bone cells through "Mechanotransduction". Mechanical energy from external stresses gets converted into bioelectric and biochemical signals that modulate bone cell metabolism. When this mechanical energy is too high, osteocytes are induced to death, followed by emergence of osteoclasts and bone destruction ensues. This could affect the process of osseointegration.8 Insertion torque is reduced in implant macrodesigns that incorporated cutting edges, and lesser insertion torque was generally associated with decreased micromovement as this thread-cutting geometry creates a high level of bone to implant contact. 6.9

Many studies have been carried out to investigate the optimum insertion torque, the minimum and the maximum limits. Certain implant manufacturers suggest optimum insertion torque for immediate loading and the maximum limit that should not be crossed, for the reasons of causing overcompression or for the metallurgical reasons, while using their implants. Neugebauer and associates<sup>10</sup> considered insertion torque above 50 Ncm to be higher and should not be exceeded, whereas a torque of 35 Ncm was considered optimum for immediate loading protocol. Duyck and co-workers11 suggested that insertion torque above 50 Ncm could lead to higher peri-implant bone loss. Ottoni et al12 in their study, suggested that a minimum of 32 Ncm insertion torque was necessary for implants to achieve osseointegration. When the torque was 20 Ncm, nine out of 10 implants failed in their study. The average insertion torque in their study was 38 Ncm. da Cunha and co-workers<sup>13</sup> reported mean insertion torque of 33.4 Ncm and 40.81 Ncm with two designs of implants in their study. Turkyilmaz and McGlumphy<sup>4</sup> had an average of 37.2± 7 Ncm insertion torque in their study. Failed implants had an average of 21.8 ±4 Ncm insertion torque. Horwitz et al14 studied insertion torque and Implant Stability Quotient (ISQ) as measured by RFA on implants placed in extraction and non-extraction sites, in maxilla and mandible, and on immediately restored, nonrestored and submerged implants. Their overall mean insertion torque values ranged between 36 and 41.60 Ncm, with no significant difference in torques with implants in extraction and non-extraction sites and in immediately restored, nonrestored and submerged implants. Trisi et al15 studied high (mean 110 Ncm) and low (mean 10 Ncm) insertion torques and concluded that high torque does not induce bone necrosis in dense cortical bone, and that high torque is important for increased primary stability and for immediate loading protocol. Makary<sup>16</sup> reported insertion torque ranging from 15 to 150 Ncm (mean 78.30 Ncm) in D-1 to D-4 types of bone. Only one out of forty implants failed. In their study, mean insertion torque with D-1 type bone was 126.67 Ncm and 40.22 Ncm with D-4 type bone.

Table 1: Brief Literature review on insertion torque

Author	Result
Neugebauer et al 2006 <sup>10</sup>	Reached a similar conclusion, that is, implants placed with an average insertion torque higher than 35 Ncm were associated with success.
Duyck <i>et al</i> 2010 <sup>11</sup>	Insertion torque above 50 Ncm could lead to higher peri-implant bone loss.
Ottoni et al 2005 <sup>12</sup>	Minimum of 32 Ncm insertion torque was necessary for implants to achieve osseointegration.
Makary et al 2011 <sup>16</sup>	Insertion torque ranges from 15 to 150 Ncm (mean 78.30 Ncm) in D-1 to D-4 types of bone
M. Wada <i>et al</i> <sup>19</sup>	Revealed that bone density around the implant is a useful index.  This study indicates that preoperative CT may enable the prediction of initial implant stability
Venkatakrishnan <i>et al</i> 2017 <sup>20</sup>	the amount of stress—strain that exhibits at 40 N load in normal bone will be almost the same stress—strain given at 32 N load in osteoporotic bone
Chai John <i>et al</i> 2012 <sup>21</sup>	Insertion torque (IT) was significantly correlated to implant site bone density but not to implant length. IT can be a viable and practical means to assess mandibular bone quality in patients with compromised general bone density
Gary Greenstein et al 2017 22	The minimum torque that can be employed to attain primary stability is undefined. Forces e"30 Ncm are routinely used to place implants into healed ridges and fresh extraction sockets prior to immediate loading of implants. Increased insertion torque (e"50 Ncm) reduces micromotion and does not appear to damage bone.

Sotto-Major and co-workers1 studied stress and strain in cancellous and cortical bone with insertion torque ranging from 30 to 80 Ncm. They found that maximum principle stress increased by 648% between insertion torque of 50-60 Ncm. Campos et al<sup>17</sup> studied insertion torque due to difference in the diameter of the drill/prepared site and the implant diameter. They had torque ranging from 70 to 160 Ncm, but observed different healing patterns with different torque ranges. With torque ranging 130-160 Ncm, there was more bone "dieback" as compared to 70 Ncm torque range. The amount of insertion torque lead to different healing patterns but the outcome was the same for 70-160 Ncm values. Venkatakrishnan et al<sup>18</sup> used Materialise's Interactive Medical Image Control System (MIMICS) software for visualizing and segmenting medical images (such as CT and MRI), study revealed that bone density as represented by mm3 obtained from Interactive Medical Image Control System (MIMICS) software is statistically significant in a group of osteopenic and osteoporotic patients when compared with normal patients. The author concluded by stating that bone density values (as measured in mm3) obtained from preoperative cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) examination may be an objective technique for preoperative evaluation of bone density. This tool when combined with MIMICS software can serve as diagnostic tool for predicting implant success, thus providing the implant surgeon with an objective assessment of bone density, especially were poor bone quality is suspected.

# CONCLUSION

Further research in this area is recommended by means of stronger study designs, with more control on confounding factors, and to

give scope and idea to find out what amount of force (In newtons-IT) can be given to any patient by using CBCT and software, which will give perfect assessment for clinician for dental implant placement.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors would like to thank the management of Tagore Dental College and Bharath University for providing the facilities to carry out the review. Authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **REFERENCES**

- Sotto-Maior BS, Rocha EP, de Almeida EO, Freitas Jr AC, Anchieta RB, Del Bel Cury AA. Influence of high insertion torque on implant placement e an anisotropic bone stress analysis. *Braz Dent J.* 21(6):508e514 (2010).
- BraÚnemark PI, Hansson BO, Adell R, et al.
   Osseointegrated implants in the treatment
   of the edentulous jaw: experience from a
   10-year period. Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg
   Suppl.: 16:1e132 (1977).
- Albrektsson T, Sennerby L, Wennerberg A. State of the art of oral implants. *Periodontol* 2000; 47:15e26 (2008).
- Turkyilmaz I, McGlumphy EA. Influence of bone density on implant stability parameters and implant success: a retrospective clinical study. *BMC Oral Health.*; 8:32 (2008). http:// dx.doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-8-32.
- Cehreli MC, Karasoy D, Akca K, Eckert SE. Meta-analysis of methods used to assess implant stability. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. ; 24:1015e1032 (2009).
- Meredith N. A review of implant design, geometry and placement. Appl Osseointegr Res; 6:6e12 (2008).
- Checa S, Prendergast PJ. Effect of cell seeding and mechanical loading on vascularization and tissue formation inside a scaffold: a mechano-biological model using a lattice approach to simulate cell activity. *J Biomech.*; 43(5):961e968 (2010).
- 8. Burger EH, Klein-Nulend J. Mechanotransduction in bonedrole of the lacuno-canalicular network. *FASEB J;* (13 suppl):S101eS112 (1999).
- Freitas Jr AC, Bonfante EA, Giro G, Janal MN, Coelho PG. The effect of implant design on insertion torque and immediate micromotion. *Clin Oral Impl Res.*; 23:113e118 (2012). http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-

- 0501.2010.02142.x.
- Neugebauer J, Traini T, Thams U, Piattelli A, Zoller JE. Periimplant bone organization under immediate loading state. Circularly polarized light analyses: a Minipig study. J Periodontol.; 77(2):152e160 (2006).
- Duyck J, Corpas L, Vermeiren S, et al. Histological, histomorphometrical, and radiological evaluation of an experimental implant design with a high insertion torque. Clin Oral Implants Res.; 21:877e884 (2010).
- Ottoni JM, Oliveira ZF, Mansini R, Cabral AM. Correlation between placement torque and survival of single-tooth implants. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.; 20(5): 769e776 (2005).
- 13. da Cunha HA, Francischone CE, Filho HN, de Oleviera RC. A comparison between cutting torque and resonance frequency in the assessment of primary stability and final torque capacity of standard and TiUnite single-tooth implants under immediate loading. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.*; 19(4):578e585 (2004).
- Horwitz J, Zuabi O, Peled M, Machtei EE. Immediate and delayed restoration of dental implants in periodontally susceptible patients: 1 year results. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.*; 22(3):423e429 (2007).
- Trisi P, Todisco M, Consolo U, Travaglini D. High versus low implant insertion torque: a histologic, histomorphometric, and biomechanical study in sheep mandible. *Int* J Oral Maxillofac Implants.; 26(4):837e849 (2011).
- Makary C, Rebaudi A, Mokbel N, Naaman N. Peak insertion torque correlated to histologically and clinically evaluated bone density. *Implant Dent.*; 20(3):182e191 (2011).
- Campos FE, Gomes JB, Marin C, et al. Effect of drilling dimension on implant placement

- torque and early osseointegration stages: an experimental study in dogs. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.*; **70**(1):e43ee50 (2012).
- VenkataKrishnan, C. J., Bhuminathan, S. and Chitraa R. Chandran. Volumetric analysis of mandible for dental implants in CBCT images. *International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Research*, 2(1), pp.0155-0160 (2015).
- 19. M. Wada, T. Suganami, M. Sogo, Y. Maeda. Can we predict the insertion torque using the bone density around the implant? *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.*; **45**(2):221-225 (2016).
- CJ Venkatakrishnan, S Bhuminathan, Chitraa R Chandran. Implant Insertion Torque Load Analysis for Mandible using CBCT Images. World J Dent; 8(3):183-189 (2017).
- 21. Chai J, Chau AC, Chu FC, Chow TW. Correlation between dental implant insertion torque and mandibular alveolar bone density in osteopenic and osteoporotic subjects. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.*; **27**(4):888-93 (2012).
- 22. G Greenstein et al. Implant Insertion Torque: Its Role in Achieving Primary Stability of Restorable Dental Implants. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* **38**(2), 88-95. 2 (2017).