Dynamic $^1$H NMR Study of the Hindered Internal Rotation in a Particular Biological Phosphorus Ylide Involving 6-Azauracil
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ABSTRACT

The hindered internal rotations around the partial carbon-carbon double bond ($\text{MeO}_2\text{C}=$CPPh$_3$), nitrogen-carbon (N-C=CH) and carbon-carbon single ($\text{MeO}_2\text{CH}=$C-C=PPh$_3$) bonds within dimethyl-2-(6-Aza uracil 1-yl)-3-(triphenylphosphoranylidene) butandioate has been experimentally studied by the dynamic $^1$H NMR spectroscopic in variable temperatures. The activation ($^\text{H}\ ful$, $^S\ ful$, $^G\ ful$) and kinetic ($k_c$, $E_a$) parameters were calculated in a series of separate dynamic $^1$H NMR spectra. The energy of activation parameters obtained from classic, Eyring and Arrhenius methods were also compared for carbon-carbon double, this compound. The results from Eyring and Arrhenius plots were in good agreement, however, they were different with the classic method. Rotation around the carbon-carbon single bond $^G\ ful$ has low value than rotation around the carbon-carbon double bond and rotation around the carbon-carbon single bond.
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INTRODUCTION

Structure, properties, reactions mechanism and applications of P-ylides and their derivatives especially in the synthesis of naturally occurring products with pharmacological and biological activities are remarkable and important1-9. As phosphorus ylides from 6-Azauracil is not exception from the principle.

6-Azauracil or 6-Aza-2, 4-dihydroxy pyrimidine is an inhibitor of enzymes that are involved in pyrimidine and purine biosynthesis, which leads to alterations in nucleotide pool levels in inside the body. Afterward the reduction of nucleotide levels by 6-azauracil can reduce transcription elongation10. The inhibition of GTP biosynthesis and IMP dehydrogenase activity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by 6-azauracil has been studied11. 6-Aza-2, 4-dihydroxypyrimidine has been widely used in investigations on modulation of transcription, particularly in yeast model systems. In investigations of mutations in components of the RNA polymerase II transcription elongation machinery, 6-azauracil has been presented to induce transcription in wild type Saccharomyces cerevisiae of the PUR5 gene, which is one of four genes that encode IMPDH-related enzymes. It is worth noting mutants which are sensitive to 6-azauracil, for example mutants with a disrupted gene encoding elongation factor SII or containing amino acid substitutions in polymerase II subunits, are also faulty in PUR5 induction12. Other investigations on elongation-defective mutant yeast show that 6-azauracil treatment leads to diminished transcription of the GAL1 gene13. The addition of Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants defective in the histone methyl transferase gene Set2 have been shown to have increased talent to 6-azauracil (treatment).
Some of phosphorus ylides exhibit dynamic $^1$H NMR effects that affords good information regarding the interchangeable process of rotational isomers that provide important kinetic data, and also it is useful tool when discussing the barriers separating two states that observable by NMR spectroscopy$^{14-17}$.

We wish to describe the dynamic $^1$H NMR effects on the phosphorus ylide 4 prepared of the reaction between triphenylphosphine 1 and dimethylacetylendicarboxylate 2 in the presence of 6-azauracil 3 that it's synthesis has been reported previously [18]. The most common methods of determining activation parameters such as classic, Eyring and Arrhenius methods have been employed in the recent research work$^{19}$.

**Chemicals and instruments**

The chemicals used involving triphenylphosphine 1, dimethylacetylendicarboxylate 2 and 6-azauracil 3 are all analytical grade, obtained from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland). The extra pure (supplied by Merk, Darmstadt Germany) including ether and acetone-$d_6$ are used throughout the studies. The $^1$HNMR spectra were recorded on a FTNMR 400.22 MHz-BRUKER-Avance III.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The $^1$H, $^{13}$C and $^{31}$P NMR spectra of ylide 4 confirmed the presence of two isomers (Fig.1)$^{21}$. Moiety of the synthesized compound is strongly conjugated with the adjacent carbonyl group along with the three rotations around the partial carbon–carbon double bond (x), carbon–carbon single (y) and nitrogen–carbon single (z) bonds in the Z-4 and E-4 rotational isomers (Fig. 2).

The $^1$H NMR spectra of compound 4 exhibited two doublets for methine proton (H–C–C=P) at and methyl groups $\alpha = 5.351-5.402$ and 3.622-3.652 ppm, for the minor and major geometrical isomers, respectively. All possible interchangeable process of rotational isomers for

![Fig. 1: Synthesis of dimethyl-2-(6-Aza uracil (1-yl)-3-(triphenylphosphoranylidene) butandioate 4 from the reaction between triphenylphosphine 1 and dimethylacetylendicarboxylate 2 with 1,5 carbazon 3](image)

![Fig. 2: Three possible rotations for the interchangeable processes of the two isomers (Z- and E-) in ylide 4](image)
Fig. 3: Interchangeable process of rotational isomers for ylide 4 (1) Restricted rotational process (I, II, 343.15K) around the carbon–carbon double bond [entry a] (2) Restricted rotational process around carbon-carbon simple bond (I,III, 211.15 K) [entry b] and (II,IV, 213.15 K) [entry c] (3) Restricted rotational process (I,v,241.15 K) [entry d] and (II,VI, 243.15K) [entry d] around carbon–nitrogen simple bond.
ylide 4 involving isomers I, II, III, IV, V and VI are shown in Fig. 3

Dynamic effects for the Z-4 and E-4 rotational isomers as a result of the restricted rotational processes (Fig. 3, a, I and II) around the carbon–carbon double bond

The $^1$H NMR spectrum for the 4-Z and the 4-E (Fig. 3, a, I and II) in acetone at 50 °C (323.15 K) showed sharp triplet for the methine proton (H–C–C=P –$^{13}$P). They are appreciably broadened at 60°C (333.15 K). This resonance coalescence occurred at near 70 °C (343.15 K) which is relevant to restricted rotational process around carbon–carbon double bond. Another resonance coalescence is accrued at near 64 °C (337.15 K) in relation to the methoxy group.

The classic method of determining activation energy parameters is through the determination of temperature at which NMR resonance of two exchanging species coalesce. So, the coalescence temperature ($T_c$) and isotropic chemical shift ($\delta$) is extracted in conjunction with the maximum peak separation in the low-temperature limit. Investigation of such behavior with respect to the ylide 4 coalescence temperature ($T_c$ =343.15K) allowed us to also calculate the rotational energy barrier.

### Table 1: The activation parameters derived from dynamic review ylide 4 around the carbon-carbon double bound (Entry a, Figure 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_c$ (K)</th>
<th>$\delta$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$\Delta \delta$ (Hz)</th>
<th>$k_b$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^i$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^i$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^i$ (cal/mol K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$E_a$ (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>343.15</td>
<td>5.351–5.402</td>
<td>20.41</td>
<td>45.32</td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>20.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337.15</td>
<td>3.622–3.652</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>26.66</td>
<td>17.615</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Determination $\Delta G^i$, $\ln k/T$ and $\ln k$ for rotation around carbon-carbon double bond (Entry a, Fig. 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_c$ (K)</th>
<th>$1/T$</th>
<th>$\delta$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$\Delta \delta$ (Hz)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^i$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\ln k/T$</th>
<th>$\ln k$</th>
<th>$T \times \ln k/T$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293.15</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>5.293–5.337</td>
<td>17.61</td>
<td>-3.40</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>-996.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303.15</td>
<td>0.00329</td>
<td>5.313–5.360</td>
<td>18.81</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>-760.906</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313.15</td>
<td>0.00319</td>
<td>5.334–5.383</td>
<td>19.61</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>-522.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323.15</td>
<td>0.00309</td>
<td>5.351–5.402</td>
<td>20.41</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>-285.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average 15.82
For this process, activation parameters (\( \Delta H^r \), \( \Delta S^r \) and \( \Delta G^r \)) and kinetic parameters (\( k_c \) and \( E_a \)) are calculated by the classic method and tabulated\(^1\) in Table 1.

Due to the isotropic chemical shifts of the exchanging species are dependent on temperature, so accurately determining of \( \delta^r \) is often difficult and thus estimation of the activation energy barrier (\( \Delta G^r \)) has a large error.

Therefore, to complete analysis and reducing the errors, the determining of activation parameters are performed by Arrhenius plot and Eyring plot methods. With respect to the average value of \( \Delta G^r \) obtained in a series of various temperature (Table 2), \( \ln(k/T) \) and \( \ln k \) can be calculated according to the Eyring\(^2\) and Arrhenius\(^3\) equations\(^19\). Consequently, Eyring plot (\( \ln(k/T) \) versus \( 1/T \)) and Arrhenius plot (\( \ln k \) versus \( 1/T \)) are drawn and shown in Figs. 4A and 5, respectively. In addition, a different linearized from of Eyring equation has been employed to draw Figure 5B for determination of \( \Delta H^r \) (activation enthalpy) and \( \Delta S^r \) (activation energy)\(^20-22\):\n
\[
T \ln(k/T) = T(23.76 + \Delta S^r/R) - \Delta H^r/R
\]

Best results for \( \Delta S^r \) will be obtained by drawing a new plot (Figure 4B), where \( \Delta S^r \) (that is sensible sensor) can be found from the slope. The activation parameters are accumulated in Table 3 for comparing the derived data with the previous data. By picking a temperature (\( T = 343.15 \) K) and comparing the magnitudes of \( \Delta H^r \) and \( T \Delta S^r \), we can decide if the step is enthalpy-controlled or entropy-controlled. For rotation around the carbon-carbon double bond \( \Delta H^r = 15.82 \) kcalmol\(^{-1}\) and \( T \Delta S^r = -0.0006 \) kcalmol\(^{-1}\), so enthalpy and entropy are both important and rotation around the double bond is controlled by enthalpy and entropy. This agrees with this process. Because in the rotation around the double bond, leaving solution will involve breaking double bond with solvent (enthalpy) and losing the freedom of the molecule (entropy).

The standard errors of the Eyring and Arrhenius equations for activation parameters are also calculated\(^4\). This correlation has been

### Table 3: Comparing the obtained results from the three methods (Classic, Eyring and Arrhenius) for the restricted rotation around the carbon-carbon double bond in the isomer Z-4 and E-4 [entry a]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>( \Delta G^i ) (kcalmol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \Delta H^i ) (kcalmol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \Delta S^i ) (calmol(^{-1})K(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( E_a ) (kcalmol(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classic</td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>20.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyring A</td>
<td>15.86</td>
<td>15.74 ± 0.32</td>
<td>-0.33 ± 0.001</td>
<td>16.42 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyring B</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>15.83 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.00199 ± 0.001</td>
<td>16.51 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrhenius</td>
<td>15.78</td>
<td>15.66 ± 0.32</td>
<td>-0.33 ± 0.001</td>
<td>16.35 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Activation energy barrier (\( \Delta G^i \)) and \( k_c \) around the carbon–carbon simple bond for isomers Z and E (4-Z Entry b, I, III and 4-E Entry c, II, IV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>isomers</th>
<th>( T_c ) (K)a</th>
<th>( \delta ) (ppm)</th>
<th>( \delta v ) (Hz)</th>
<th>( k_c ) (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \Delta G^i ) (kcalmol(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>211.15</td>
<td>3.625-3.640</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>11.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>213.15</td>
<td>3.640-3.765</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>11.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Activation parameters of phosphorus ylide for rotation around the nitrogen–carbon single bond in the Z-4 and 4-E isomer, (4-Z, entry d, I, V, and 4-E, entry e, II, VI) according to classic method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isomers</th>
<th>$T_\sigma$ (K)</th>
<th>$\delta$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$\Delta$ (Hz)</th>
<th>$k_c$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^i$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^i$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^i$ (cal mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$E_a$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>241.15</td>
<td>2.996-3.004</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>-1.82</td>
<td>13.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>245.15</td>
<td>5.08-5.205</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>13.08</td>
<td>13.08</td>
<td>-5.99</td>
<td>12.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>247.15</td>
<td>5.205-5.22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mentioned elsewhere$^{20-22}$. The values of activation energy and activation parameters ($\Delta G^i$, $\Delta H^i$, $\Delta S^i$) obtained from three methods are reported in Table 3. Comparing calculated results (Table 3) using three methods indicate that the results from Eyring and Arrhenius plots were in good agreement, however, were different with the classic method.

Dynamic effect for the 4-Z and 4-E rotational isomers as a result of restricted rotational processes (Fig. 3, Z entry b, I and III, E, entry c, II and IV ) around the carbon–carbon single bond. Herein, when temperature is reduced down lower than ambient temperature, the $^1$H NMR spectrum for the 4-Z isomer (Fig. 3, b, I and III) in acetone-$d_6$ shows a resonance arising from methoxy proton (3.625-3.640 ppm) which is appreciably broadened comparison with a corresponding singlet which is measured at 10 $^\circ$C (283.15K). The resonance coalescence occurs at approximately -62 $^\circ$C (211.15 K) and appears as a singlet resonance at -90 $^\circ$C (183.15K), the lowest temperature investigated, which is relevant to the restricted rotational process around the carbon–carbon single bond.

Also, when temperature is reduced down lower than ambient temperature, the $^1$H NMR spectrum for the 4-E isomer (Fig. 3, c, II and IV) in acetone-$d_6$ shows a resonance arising from methoxy (2.996-3.004 ppm) that is appreciably broadened in comparison with a corresponding doublet that was measured at 20 $^\circ$C (293.15 K). This resonance coalescence occurred at approximately -32 $^\circ$C (241.15 K) which is relevant to restricted rotational process around nitrogen–carbon single bond and appears as a doublet resonance at -90 $^\circ$C (183.15K), the lowest temperature investigated, which is relevant to the restricted rotational process around the nitrogen–carbon single bond.

Another resonance coalescence is accrued at near -28 $^\circ$C (245.15 K) in relation to the methine proton ($H$–$C$–$C$–$P$, $^3J_{PH}$).

Also, the $^1$H NMR spectrum for the 4-E (Fig. 3, entry e, II and VI) in acetone-$d_6$ shows a resonance arising from methoxy (3.284-3.384.15...
K ppm) that is appreciably broadened in comparison with a corresponding doublet that was measured at 20 °C (283.15 K) for isomer E. This resonance coalescence occurred at approximately -30°C (243.15K) which is relevant to restricted rotational process around nitrogen–carbon single bond and appears as a doublet resonance at -90 °C (183.15K), the lowest temperature investigated, which is relevant to the restricted rotational process around the nitrogen–carbon single bond. Another resonance coalescence is accrued at near-26°C (247.15 K) in relation to the methine proton (H–C–C= P, 3JPH). For this process, the activation parameters involving “G‡, “H‡ and “S‡, and kinetic parameters (k_c and E_a) obtained using the classic method for 4-Z and 4-E isomers is reported in Table 5.

CONCLUSION

We reported dynamic ¹H NMR effects around the three chosen bonds within a synthesized ylide.

1. The three most common methods of determining activation parameters are used to find out which of the methods deviate from each other for carbon-carbon double bond. The results obtained from the Eyring and Arrhenius methods were consistent, however, were different with the classic method.

2. Activation parameters were calculated using classic method (single point) for nitrogen–carbon bond for Z isomer and E isomer. The Eyring and Arrhenius method did not use in these rotations because required data were not available.

3. The value of activation barrier energy (“G‡) and k_c were calculated for carbon–carbon single bond for Z isomer and E isomer. The classic method (single point), Eyring and Arrhenius method did not use in these rotations because required data were not available.

4. In this study, due to the strong conjugation with the adjacent carbonyl group, the value of activation barrier energy (“G‡) of the restricted rotation around the partial carbon-carbon double bond (MeO2C=CPPh3) was larger than the nitrogen-carbon (N-C-CH) and carbon-carbon (MeO2CH-C-C=PPh3) single bonds. As a case study, because of the lone pair inversion of nitrogen atom in ring, rotation around the carbon-nitrogen single bond needs a barrier rotational energy more than the carbon-carbon single bond.

5. Rotation around the carbon-carbon double bond “G‡ has a more positive value. So high value of “G‡ indicates the rate of this process is slow at room temperature. At higher temperature it is fast and spontaneous.

6. Rotation around the carbon-carbon single bond “G‡ has low value (less position value), so rotation around the carbon-carbon single bond is a fast process and spontaneous at room temperature, observation of two conformers (I, II or III and IV) on the basis of this rotation at low temperature is a good evidence for the process that is not spontaneous under this condition.
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