Alveolar Bone Shaping and Augmentation - A Prelude for Rapid Orthodontics
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ABSTRACT

This case report illustrates the fact that rapid orthodontic tooth movement occurs when selective alveolar decortication is done. This in conjunction with bone graft enhances the bone volume, corrects the fenestrations and bony dehiscence and expands the limits of orthodontic tooth movement. A 24 year-old male patient presented with a Class II Division I malocclusion on a skeletal Class I base with increased bi-maxillary dento-alveolar protrusion with unilateral maxillary posterior cross-bite on the left side. Pre-adjusted edgewise appliance, Roth's prescription, (0.022 x 0.028 inch slot), was strapped a week prior to the surgical procedure. Full thickness labial and lingual flaps were reflected in the maxillary arch only and the alveolar bone was selectively decorticated and augmented with an osseograft. Orthodontic treatment was commenced a week post-surgery and adjustments were done fortnightly. The duration of the treatment was about 9 months. The rapid correction of the malocclusion in one-third the treatment time required for conventional orthodontics was as a result of regional acceleratory phenomena triggered by bone activation. The augmentation with the bone graft further enhanced the bone volume required for the expansion of the constricted maxilla without the intervention of any orthopedic device.
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INTRODUCTION

Wilcko et al have explicitly documented that subsequent to labial and lingual alveolar decortication, there has been rapid orthodontic tooth movement. They have reported that the accelerated orthodontic tooth movement with corticotomy facilitated orthodontics was more as a result of demineralization-remineralization phenomena triggered by regional acceleratory phenomena (RAP) wherein the increased osteopenia due to increased osteoclastic activity resulted in decrease in density of bone with no change in bone volume. However, rapid tooth movement subsequent to corticotomy surgery has been reported for over half a century.

Ferguson et al reported on orthodontic treatment in combination with bone activation and particulate bone grafting material called Accelerated Osteogenic Orthodontics (AOO) wherein osseous insults in the form of corticotomy cuts or perforations were extended only barely into the medullary bone on both the labial and lingual plates. This is in contradistinction to the “bony block” movement advocated by Kole.

Alveolar bone augmentation corrects the alveolar fenestrations and bone dehiscences and this could be done with anyone of the grafting materials like, a mixture of demineralized freeze dried bone allograft (DFDBA) and bovine bone, 100% (DFDBA) or alloplast. The combined effects of these 2 techniques- namely selective alveolar
Decortication and alveolar augmentation along with normal orthodontic treatment produces rapid tooth movement with shortened duration time. Animal experiments too demonstrated an increase in apposition and resorption of alveolar bone adjacent to the corticotomy site. 9, 10, 11

This case report validates the use of this AOO procedure to correct the Class II division 1 malocclusion and the constricted maxillary dental arch.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A male patient, aged 24 years, presented with forward placement of maxillary and mandibular anteriors with constricted maxillary dental arch.

**Extra-oral assessment (Fig.1a-c)**

The patient had a leptoprosopic face, convex profile, posterior divergence, slight asymmetry of the face, incompetent lips with lower lip redundant and everted, deep mentolabial sulcus, average clinical mandibular plane angle, nonconsonant smile arc with no signs of temporomandibular joint dysfunction.

**Intra-oral assessment (Fig. 1d-h)**

Oral hygiene was satisfactory. Maxillary arch was V-shaped with proclined maxillary incisors and spacing. Mandibular arch was V-shaped with proclined and mildly rotated mandibular incisors. Curve of spee was 3mm.

In occlusion, increased overjet with developing anterior open bite was observed. It was an Angles’ Class II division 1, subdivision malocclusion with end-on molar relation on left side with class 1 molar relation on right side with a class I canine relation on right side and end-on relation on left side, with an unilateral posterior cross bite on left side.

**Radiographic assessment**

The panoramic radiograph confirmed the presence of all permanent teeth, and normal alveolar bone levels. (Fig 2).

Lateral cephalometric analysis, revealed a skeletal Class I pattern with an orthognathic maxilla and orthognathic mandible, with an average mandibular plane angle and severely proclined maxillary and mandibular incisors. (Fig. 3).

CBCT revealed the asymmetry of the face with a vertically short ramus on the left side. (Fig.4, Fig. 5).

**Aims and Objectives**

1. Improve facial profile and smile esthetics.
2. Correction of constricted maxillary dental arch and maxillary unilateral posterior cross bite on left side.
3. Correction of protruded maxillary and mandibular teeth.
4. Correction of spacing in maxillary teeth.
5. Correction of rotated mandibular teeth.

The patient opted for AOO, as the treatment would be completed in one-third to one fourth the treatment time required for conventional orthodontics.

Pre Adjusted Edgewise Appliance Therapy (0.022 x 0.028 inch slot), Roth’s prescription was strapped up a week prior to the surgical procedure. The patient underwent alveolar de-cortication and alveolar augmentation with osseograft. Maxillary first premolars were extracted during the surgical procedure.

**Surgical procedure**

Surgery was performed on only maxillary dental arch under local anaesthesia. Labial and lingual sulcular incisions were made using a 12 BP blade except linguually between maxillary central incisors.

With care taken not to disturb the neurovascular bundles exiting the bone, the full thickness flaps were reflected with the interdental papillae intact beyond the apices of the teeth from maxillary right first premolar upto the maxillary left second molars. (Fig. 6).

The alveolar bone on the labial and lingual aspects of the maxillary teeth from maxillary right first premolar upto the maxillary right second molars were de-corticated with round perforations made with a surgical round bur, barely into the medullary
bone accompanied with copious saline irrigation. (Fig.7). Platelet rich plasma, was obtained from the patients’ own blood and the same was mixed with osseograft (Advanced Biotech products (P) Ltd. Chennai, under licence from En Coll Corp. Fremont, CA, USA). Osseograft (DMBM)™ is a bioresorbable xenograft demineralized bone matrix material. The alveolar augmentation was done with osseograft to a thickness of 2-3mm and the full thickness flaps were then returned to their original position and sutured into place with one interrupted loop 3/0 suture, interproximally. (Fig. 8, Fig.9).

Post-surgically Amoxycillin 500mg t.i.d./ week and anti-inflammatory drugs t.i.d./week was given and chlorhexidine mouthwash was advised. A week post-surgery sutures were removed and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were asked to be discontinued until orthodontic treatment was completed such that these drugs do not interfere with orthodontic tooth movement.

Orthodontic procedure
A week following surgery the first orthodontic adjustment was made and thereafter, adjustments were made every 2 weeks until the completion of treatment. Initial aligning and leveling was done with upper and lower 0.016 NiTi archwires. (Fig.10a-c). Space closure was achieved with NiTi retraction coil springs attached to crimpable hooks on upper 0.017 X 0.025 stainless steel archwire only, (Fig.11a-c). The upper stainless steel archwire was expanded to correct the constricted maxillary dental arch on the left side. Finishing and detailing was accomplished with upper and lower 0.019 X 0.025 stainless steel archwires. (Fig.12).

RESULTS
The patient showed remarkable improvement in correction of the unilateral maxillary posterior cross bite, constricted maxillary dental arch, increased bi-maxillary dento-alveolar
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Fig. 6: Reflection of full thickness labial flap-maxillary anterior view

Fig. 7: Perforations with long shank surgical bur-maxillary left quadrant

Fig. 8: Alveolar augmentation with bone graft-maxillary anterior view

Fig. 9: Suturing-maxillary palatal view

Fig. 10(a): Aligning and leveling – Upper and lower 0.016 inch NiTi archwires

Fig. 10 (b): Aligning and leveling – Upper and lower 0.016 inch NiTi archwires

Fig. 10(c): Aligning and leveling – Upper and lower 0.016 inch NiTi archwires

Fig. 11(a): Space closure – Upper and lower 0.017 x 0.025 inch SS archwires with NiTi retraction springs – Frontal
Fig. 11(b): Space closure – Upper and lower 0.017 x 0.025 inch SS archwires with NiTi retraction springs – Right

Fig. 11(c): Space closure – Upper and lower 0.017 x 0.025 inch SS archwires with NiTi retraction springs – Left

Fig. 12: Finishing and detailing – Upper and lower 0.019 x 0.025 inch SS archwires – Frontal

Fig. 13(a): Post-treatment extra-oral-Frontal

Fig. 13(b): Post-treatment extra-oral-Profile

Fig. 13(c): Post-treatment extra-oral-Smiling

Fig. 13(d): Post-treatment intra-oral-Frontal

Fig. 13(e): Post-treatment intra-oral-Upper occlusal
protrusion, maxillary spacing, rotated mandibular incisors and developing anterior open bite with an achievement of a class I canine relation on both the sides. (Fig.13a-f). This rapidity of the treatment results was attributed to RAP.

The periodontal alveolar augmentation with osseograft provided an adequate overall alveolar bone volume to facilitate the correction of the malocclusion, more so the unilateral maxillary posterior crossbite on the left side with just the use of archwires only and not the customary orthopaedic rapid palatal expansion appliance which is recommended in such cases. (Fig.13g-i).

DISCUSSION

This case report validates the use of (AOO) procedure highlighted by alveolar bone shaping and augmentation. The de-cortication procedure triggered RAP which, according to reports commences a few days after surgery, peaks between 1-2 months when catabolic and anabolic responses are 3-fold higher, and dissipates to a normal steady state by 11 weeks after surgery.12 The RAP facilitated rapid orthodontic tooth movement and the provision of bone graft provided the necessary bone volume for expansion of the constricted maxillary dental arch. Decortication produces a catabolic process, a resorption response, characterized by osteopenia causing reduction in bone density, with no change in alveolar bone volume.13 The remaining collagenous soft tissue matrix of the bone is transported with the root in the direction of movement termed, “bone matrix transportation”.14 The osteoid matrix gets subsequently re-mineralized by the anabolic process.

Alveolar bone shaping is a physiologically driven process and the intense regional healing response promulgated was utilized to correct the
unilateral maxillary posterior cross bite on the left side and the bi-maxillary dento-alveolar protrusion. Bone luxation is contraindicated because it could lead to intra-pulpal and intraosseous morbidity, hence, decortication is done by just perforating the alveolar bone and these perforations provide the necessary bleeding points and communications through which new blood vessels and pluripotential cells migrate from the medullary bone into the cortical plates, differentiate into bone cells which then remove old bone and create new bone and make the cortical plates more vital and responsive to the forces of tooth movement. It has been reported that the primitive uncommitted stem cells become specific cell types in bone morphogenesis under the influence of bone morphogenetic protein, which stimulates an increase in the osteoblastic activity, resulting in re-mineralization of the soft tissue matrix, after cessation of tooth movement. In the AOO procedure, root resorption is minimized due to “bone matrix transportation”.

The Accelerated Osteogenic Orthodontics procedure with the osseograft had significantly improved the structural integrity of the periodontium, and provided additional bone volume to expand the constricted maxillary dental arch. Absence of this increased bone volume on the left side of the maxillary dental arch would have resulted in bony dehiscence subsequent to expansion of the maxillary dental arch. It has been reported that in adults, bony dehiscence formation over the roots after traditional orthodontic therapy, resolves only partially during retention. In this case the provision of additional bone volume in the form of bone graft negated this side effect and provided stability and reduced potential for relapse in affirmation with that which has been reported in literature. 

Orthodontic adjustments were performed fortnightly with application of normal orthodontic forces and the constricted maxillary dental arch was expanded with the use of only progressively increasing diameter of stainless steel archwires and use of crossbite elastics. No orthopaedic device was used to expand the constricted maxillary dental arch.

The patient was given a maxillary and a mandibular fixed lingual retainer as a retention appliance, to create an environment to foster alveolar re-mineralization. Therefore, it cannot be denied that periodontal bone activation with alveolar augmentation, along with orthodontic tooth movement is in essence “in vivo tissue engineering”.

CONCLUSION

Accelerated Osteogenic Orthodontics had caused the correction of the malocclusion in less than 9 months. The periodontal alveolar augmentation with the osseograft had caused an increase in alveolar bone volume which provided for an intact periodontium, aiding in the correction of the unilateral maxillary posterior cross bite on the left side along with correction of increased bimaxillary dentoalveolar protrusion. No loss of tooth vitality nor apical root resorption was reported. An adequate zone of gingival attachment and good presence of interdental papillae were the other hallmarks of this procedure. Continued mineralization in the retention period will reduce the risk potential of relapse. An added advantage of this procedure is that due to the reduced duration of the presence of the appliance in the mouth, the incidence of caries and infection is also minimized. AOO is indeed an useful alternative treatment modality for those patients who desire orthodontic treatment but prefer shortened duration time.
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