Anti-Inflammatory Activity of Mangosteen (Garcinia Mangostana Linn.) Rind Extract Nanoemulgel and Gel Dosage Forms
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Mangosteen (Garcinia mangostana Linn.) rind is known for its anti-inflammatory activity. Inflammation of local tissue can be overcome by topical administration of dosage forms. In an effort to improve the quality of topical drug delivery, nanoparticle technology can be an option. The purpose of this study is to determine the activity of gel and nanoemulgel dosage forms containing fractions of mangosteen rind extract (n-hexane: ethyl acetate). The gel dosage form of mangosteen rind fractions was successfully prepared. Its physical and chemical properties were evaluated, and the results were within the expected range. The spreadibility of the formulations was between 5-7 cm and the pH was between 4.3 and 6.5. The 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations are the formulas by which nanoemulgel was successfully formed, resulting in non-separating phases, percent transmittance of 96.997 ± 0.137% and 94.253 ± 0.134% respectively, particle size of 17.437 ± 0.427 and 17.240 ± 0.276 nm; potential zeta of 5.183 ± 0.202 and -10.143 ± 0.238. In the inflammatory test of carrageenan induced laboratory mice, nanoemulgel containing 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations produced better percent inhibition (p<0.05) compared to gel containing 0.1%, 0.5%, and 1% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations in the 90th minute, but the difference was not significant in the 120th minute through the end of the test. The nanoemulgel containing 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations have an insignificant difference in results (p>0.05) when compared to the reference drug (diclofenac sodium) in the 90th minute.

Keywords: mangosteen rind; nanoemulgel; gel; anti-inflammatory activity.

Inflammation of local tissue, such as osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis caused by inflammation in the joint area which can impede body movement and affect patients’ daily activities. The WHO data in 2017 reveals that the prevalence of osteoarthritis worldwide is 9.6% in men and 18% in women aged 60 years or more. Meanwhile, the prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis varies between 0.3% -1% of the population aged 20-40 years worldwide with higher prevalence in women compared to men. Both of these types of arthritis are chronic, and therefore, long-term
anti-inflammatory therapy is needed for the symptomatic treatment of the disease (Colmegna et al., 2011).

Inflammation of local tissue can be overcome by topical administration of formulations. In an effort to improve the quality of topical drug delivery, nanoparticle technology can be an option. Nanoparticles in a topical dosage form can better penetrate the skin layer, thus allowing abetter drug permeability into the skin, and thereby improving the quality of delivery of drug compounds (Martien et al., 2012). Nanoparticles have a large surface area of particles which enables faster penetration of active substances (Williams and Barry, 2004).

A plant material that is widely developed as an anti-inflammatory drug is mangosteen (Garcinia mangostana Linn.) rind. The mangosteen rind contains secondary metabolites, such as xanthones, mangostin, flavonoids, and tannins. The xanthone compounds that have been identified in mangosteen rind include alpha mangostin, beta mangostin, gamma mangostin, garantine, garcinone E, 8-deoxygartanine and methoxy-B-mangostin (Chavari et al., 2008; Pratiwi, 2010). Khumsupan and Gritsanapanin their study (2013) state that alpha-mangostin has pharmacological activity as an anti-inflammation agent. Other studies show that the mangosteen rind extract has anti-inflammatory activity on carrageenan-induced paw edema in laboratory mice. The mangosteen rind extract with a dosage of 20 mg/100gBW, 40 mg/100gBW, and 80 mg/100gBW can inhibit the increase in edema during the inflammation in mice (Perwitasari, 2015).

Conventionally developed formulations which contain active natural materials has several physical and chemical drawbacks in that they are organoleptically unstable, easily dissolved, and lack of bioavailability since they have large molecules which cannot easily penetrate cell membranes. Tiara (2017) in her studies has formulated the mangosteen rind extract into nanoemulgel with a carrier in the form of a mixture of oil (Virgin Coconut Oil), cosurfactant (Ethanol 96%) and surfactant (Cremofor RH 40) with a fixed ratio of 1:2:7, producing particles of a size of 20.6 nm using the SNEDDS (Self-Nanoemulsifying Drug Delivery System) method. The physical properties and anti-inflammatory activity of this optimum formula was tested in carrageenan induced laboratory mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mangosteen Fruit Sample Collection and Plant Determination

The selected mangosteen fruit was blackish purple ripe fruit collected from Luwus Village, Baturiti Sub-regency, Tabanan Regency, Bali. Plant determination was carried out at the UPT (Technical Implementation Unit) of the Bedugul Eka Karya Botanical Garden Plant Conservation Center in Tabanan, Bali.

Preparation of Mangosteen Rind

The collected mangosteen fruit was washed, and the rind was separated from the flesh of the fruit. The rind of the fruit was thinly sliced and dried. The dry rind was then processed into powder using a blender and sieved using a 20-mesh sieve. The dry powder was then stored in a tightly-closed dry container (Fitri, 2016). The water content of the simplicia powder was determined using a moisture analyzer.

Preparation of Mangosteen Rind Extract

The mangosteen rind powder was defatted using n-hexane with a ratio of 1:3 w/v. The defatting process was carried out for 24 hours. The powder that had been defatted was filtered to separate it from the solvent and then air-dried. This process was done three (3) times.

The mangosteen rind powder that had been defatted was macerated with methanol solvent with the ratio of the powder to the solvent being 1:10 w/v. The powder was soaked for 3×24 hours. The macerated powder was filtrated once again with the ratio of the powder to the solvent being 1:4 w/v for 24 hours at room temperature. The solvent of the macerated rind was evaporated using a rotary evaporator at 50°C until it became nearly thick, and it was then evaporated again in an oven at 50°C until it became thick (Mardawati et al., 2008). The thick extract was then fractionated using a stationary phase, namely silica powder. The thick extract was then eluted with n-hexane: ethyl acetate with a ratio of 9:1, 8:2, 7:3, 6:4, 5:5, 4:6, 7:3, 8:2, 9:1, 10:0, and prepared as much as 20 mL. The eluate was placed in vials each containing 5 mL. It was revealed that the fraction groups number
6, 7, 8, and 9 positively contained polyphenols and flavonoids. These fractions were collected and then formulated into gel and nanoemulgel dosage forms.

**Preparation of Gel containing Mangosteen Rind Extract Fractions**

Viscolam was dispersed in distilled water using a magnetic stirrer at a speed of 500 rpm. Then, the microcare was dissolved into propylenglycol and glycerin, and then the mangosteen rind fraction (Mixture 1) was added to it. Mixture 1 was added to the viscolam which had been dispersed and stirred at a speed of 500 rpm for 5 minutes. TEA was added to the mixture to obtain a clear and thick base. The mixture was stirred at a speed of 500 rpm for 5 minutes, and then distilled water was added to it to obtain gel with a mass of 100 grams.

**Test of Physical and Chemical Properties of Gel Containing Mangosteen Rind Fractions**

**Organoleptic Test**
Organoleptic observation is carried out by direct observation of the texture, color, and smell of the mangosteen rind extract gel made (Department of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, 1979).

**Homogeneity Test**
The homogeneity test is carried out to produce homogeneous preparations without the presence of coarse particles or fibers. The test is carried out by applying substances to be tested on a glass plate or other suitable transparent materials (Department of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, 1979).

**Adhesion Test**
A sample weighing 0.25 grams is placed between 2 glass plates, and then the glass plates are pressed with a force or weight of 1 kg for 5 minutes. Next, the force is removed from the glass plates and the glass plates are put on a testing instrument. The testing instrument is given a force or weight of 80 grams and then the time needed for the gel to detach from the glass plates is recorded (Garg et al., 2002).

**Spreadibility Test**
As much as 1 gram of gel formulation is carefully placed on a 20 x 20 cm glass plate. Then, it is covered with mica paper and given a weight until the whole weight reaches 125 grams. The diameter formed is then measured after 1 minute (Garg et al., 2002).

**pH Test**
The pH of gel formulations is measured using a pH meter. The pH meter electrode is dipped into the solution being tested. The pH meter needle is allowed to move until it indicates a settling position. The pH indicated by the pH meter needle is recorded as suitable (Department of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, 1979).

**Viscosity Test**
Viscosity tests are carried out by placing samples in the Brookfield viscometer until the spindle is submerged. The spindle and speed used are set. Six speed points are selected, namely 10 rpm, 20 rpm, 30 rpm, 50 rpm, 60 rpm, and 100 rpm (Garg et al., 2002).

**Preparation of Nanoemulsions Containing Mangosteen Rind Extract Fractions**
The mangosteen rind fraction was suspended in olive oil with a magnetic stirrer (at 200 rpm for 15 minutes), and then PEG 400 was added, and the mixture was stirred with a magnetic stirrer (at 200 rpm for 15 minutes). The mixture was then added with Chromophore RH 40, and stirred with a magnetic stirrer (at 200 rpm for 2 hours). The droplet size was reduced using a sonicator bath for 1 hour, and then distilled water was added and it was stirred until nanoemulsions were formed.

**Evaluation Test of Mangosteen Rind Extract Nanoemulsions**

**Physical Stability Test**
The physical stability of nanoemulsions was measured by a centrifugation test of nanoemulsions containing mangosteen rind methanol fractions at a speed of 1,200 rpm for 15 minutes, and then the result was observed. Stable nanoemulsions are marked by no separation between both oil and water phases (Rachmawati et al., 2014).

**Clarity Test**
The clarity of the formed nanoemulsions can be determined using UV-Vis spectrophotometer measurements using the % transmittance parameter at a wavelength of 650 nm with distilled water as blank. Good nanoemulsions are clear with a percent transmittance of 90-100% (Costa et al., 2012).

**Measurement of Particle Size**
The nanoemulsion droplet size and polydispersity index are determined using Photon Correlation Spectroscopy. As much as 1 gram of nanoemulsion gel containing mangosteen rind methanol extract is dispersed in 5 mL of
The composition in every 100 grams of material is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>F1 (0.1%)</th>
<th>F2 (0.5%)</th>
<th>F3 (1%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mangooseen Rind Extract Fractions*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Gel*</td>
<td>Ad 100</td>
<td>Ad 100</td>
<td>Ad 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The materials are measured in grams

The composition of materials in every 12 grams of the preparation is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>F1 (0.0625%)</th>
<th>F2 (0.125%)</th>
<th>F3 (0.25%)</th>
<th>F4 (0.5%)</th>
<th>F5 (1%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fraction</td>
<td>7.5 mg</td>
<td>15 mg</td>
<td>30 mg</td>
<td>60 mg</td>
<td>120 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive Oil*</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG400*</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chremophor RH 40*</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>Ad 12 gram</td>
<td>Ad 12 gram</td>
<td>Ad 12 gram</td>
<td>Ad 12 gram</td>
<td>Ad 12 gram</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The materials are measured in grams
not homogeneously distributed) then the Kruskall-Wallis test to determine the differences and the Mann-Whitney test to see the differences between each treatment group are carried out (Besral, 2010).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

As much as 100 grams of gels containing mangosteen rind fractions with concentrations of 0.1%, 0.5%, and 1% were prepared. The physical and chemical tests on the gel formulations containing mangosteen rind fractions was then carried out. The results of the organoleptic property, spreadability, pH, and viscosity tests of the 3 types of gel formulas were not much different, and they were within the expected range. The greater the concentration of the extract used, the greater the viscosity, which also affects the spreadability. Viscosity plays an important role in the stability of the formulations and the efficiency of the release of active substances (Pranita et al., 2016). Increased viscosity illustrates a decrease in surface tension in the water and oil phases which provides better phase stability and slower release of active substances providing a longer chance for absorption in the skin. The spreadability of the formulations has been in the range of 5-7 cm which is the optimum value of the formulations. The recommended pH of the formulations is from 4.5 to 6.5. In this study, the pH of the formulations is still higher than the recommended range; therefore, materials that can reduce pH are needed.

The mangosteen rind extract fraction which had been made into gel was then made into nanoemulgel, but at the concentrations of 0.1%, 0.5%, and 1% there was phase separation which marked a drawback in nanoemulsion formulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Organoleptic properties</th>
<th>Spreadability</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Viscosity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>Light yellow, transparent, odorless</td>
<td>5.6 cm</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>3256 cPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>Light yellow, transparent, odorless</td>
<td>5.9 cm</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>3520 cPs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F4 = nanoemulgel with 0.0625% mangosteen rind fraction concentration  
F5 = nanoemulgel with 0.125% mangosteen rind fraction concentration

Physical Test Results of Formulations Containing Mangosteen Rind Extract Fractions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Organoleptic properties</th>
<th>Spreadability</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Viscosity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1 (0.1%)</td>
<td>Light brown, transparent, odorless</td>
<td>6.14 cm</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>3509 cPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 (0.5%)</td>
<td>Light brown, transparent, odorless</td>
<td>6.10 cm</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>3503 cPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3 (1%)</td>
<td>Light brown, transparent, odorless</td>
<td>5.75 cm</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>3667 cPs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F1 = gel with 0.1% mangosteen fraction concentration  
F2 = gel with 0.5% mangosteen fraction concentration  
F3 = gel with 1% mangosteen fraction concentration.

Results of the Physical Test of the Nanoemulgel Formulations containing Mangosteen Rind Extract Fractions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Physical stability</th>
<th>Clarity</th>
<th>Particle Size (nm)</th>
<th>Zeta Potential (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>Separating</td>
<td>90.780 ± 0.210%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>Separating</td>
<td>85.180 ± 0.301%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>Separating</td>
<td>77.630 ± 1.790%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>Not separating</td>
<td>96.997 ± 0.137%</td>
<td>17.437 ± 0.427</td>
<td>-5.183 ± 0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>Not separating</td>
<td>94.253 ± 0.134%</td>
<td>17.240 ± 0.276</td>
<td>-10.143 ± 0.238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F1 = nanoemulgel with 0.1% mangosteen rind fraction concentration  
F2 = nanoemulgel with 0.5% mangosteen rind fraction concentration  
F3 = nanoemulgel with 1% mangosteen rind fraction concentration  
F4 = nanoemulgel with 0.0625% mangosteen rind fraction concentration  
F5 = nanoemulgel with 0.0125% mangosteen rind fraction concentration
Clarity is a sign of the successful formation of nanoemulsion. The expected clarity is 90%-100%. Nanoemulgels with 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations have percent transmittance values of 96.997 ± 0.137% and 94.253 ± 0.134% respectively indicating the success of particle size reduction. The greater the percent transmittance, the smaller the particle size will be.

The particle sizes produced by nanoemulgels with 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations were 17.437 ± 0.427 and 17.240 ± 0.276 nm respectively. These particle sizes have been within a range of particle sizes that can be used for Self-Nanoemulsifying Drug Delivery System (SNEDDS) which are from 5 to 200 nm. The smaller the particle size that is produced, the higher the penetration rate of the active substance. This will give the opportunity for more active substances to reach the inflammatory area (Devarajan and Ravichandran, 2011).

The zeta potential of nanoemulsions containing mangosteen rind fractions was tested using Electrophoretic Light Scattering. It was found that the nanoemulsions with 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations had a value of -5.183 ± 0.202 and -10.143 ± 0.238 respectively. The expected zeta potential of the nanoemulsions was from -30mV to +30mV. Greater zeta potential values (negative or positive) will provide better stability in the nanoemulgel formulation phase (Maharani, 2018).

The physical properties of nanoemulgels containing 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind fractions were tested. The organoleptic properties, spreadibility, pH and viscosity had met the required values. The pH value was also higher than the required ones in the nanoemulgel formula. There was a need to add substances that can reduce pH.

**Antiinflammatory test**

Inflammatory test was carried out by measuring the volume of edema in carrageenan induced laboratory mice. Measurements were made using a plestismometer every 30 minutes for 360 minutes. The edema volume was inversely proportional to the percent inhibition produced in each formula compared to the control group.

Induction using 1% carrageenan has the effect of releasing inflammatory mediators such as histamine, serotonin, bradykinin, and prostaglandin, causing acute edema for up to 6 hours (Winter et al., 1962). In the first 90 minutes after induction, histamine and serotonin begin to be released. From the 90th to 150th minute, bradykinin begins to be released, and from the 150th to 300th minute, prostaglandins begin to be released. Maximum inhibition (100%) occurs from the 210th minute to the end of the test (the 360th minute) for all types of formulas compared to reference drugs.

**Graphic 1.** Diagram of Percent Inhibition of Inflammation to Time
Differences in inflammatory inhibition occurred in each formula, seen in the 30th, 60th, 90th, 120th, 150th, and 180th minute. The average percent inflammation data in 7 test groups had a normal distribution but was not homogeneous (p>0.05), which marked unfulfillment of an ANOVA test requirement. Therefore, a Kruskal-Wallis test was carried out to determine the differences and a Mann-Whitney test was conducted to see the differences between each treatment group (Besral, 2010). The Kruskal-Wallis test results showed no significant difference (p>0.05) between the formulas in the 30th and 60th minute of the testing time and there was significant difference (p<0.05) in the 90th, 120th, 150th, and 180th minute of the testing time. The inhibitory activity which is not significantly different may be caused by the release of inflammatory mediators that have yet to cause mice paw edema.

The results in the 90th, 120th, 150th, and 180th minute were tested statistically using the Mann-Whitney test to see the differences between groups at each test time. The negative control group shows a significant difference when compared with all groups of formulas at all time periods of measurement. This shows that induction of any of all formulas provides the potential to inhibit the occurrence of inflammation. Based on the table below and the Mann-Whitney test, nanoemulgel with 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind extract produced better percent inhibition (p<0.05) compared to the gel with 0.1%, 0.5%, and 1% mangosteen rind extract in the 90th minute. However, the percent inhibition did not differ significantly in the 120th, 150th, and 180th minute.

This might show a possibility that the nanoemulgel formulation provides a better onset of action compared to conventional gel formulas. Nanoparticles in topical use provides an advantage, namely they are able to better penetrate the skin layer thereby allowing better permeability of the drug into the skin, and thus increasing the quality of delivery of drug compounds (Martien et al., 2012). Nanoparticles have a large surface area of active materials which makes the penetration of active substances faster (Williem and Barry, 2004). The test in the 90th minute also showed significantly different result (p>0.05) between the control group and nanoemulgel containing 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind extract concentrations. This shows that the two nanoemulgel formulas have activities comparable to the reference drug namely diclofenac sodium.

Inflammation caused by 1% carrageenan will peak from the 180th to 240th minute when histamine, serotonin, and bradykinin have all been released, which trigger blood vessel dilation and leukocyte migration (Winter et al., 1962). After 240 minutes of induction, the role of mediators in the inflammatory process begins to decline. However, there is amigration of leukocyte cells and local production of prostaglandin which marks the presence of edema in the negative control group through the end of the test (Crunkhorn and Meacock, 1971). The observation after the 180th minute showed an inflammatory inhibition of up to 100% in the control group by any of all test formulas. Inhibition of the formation of inflammatory mediators may be caused by groups of xanthone compounds, such as a- and ?-mangostins which have been reported to have anti-inflammatory effects (Chen et al., 2007).

**CONCLUSION**

Gel containing 0.1%, 0.5%, and 1% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations and nanoemulgels containing 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations were successfully made in this study. In the inflammatory test of laboratory mice induced with carrageenan, nanoemulgel containing 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations produced better percent inhibition (p<0.05) compared to gel with 0.1%, 0.5%, and 1% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations in the 90th minute, but there was no significant difference in the 120th minute through the end of the test in the 360th minute. In addition, the nanoemulgel containing 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations produced better percent inhibition (p<0.05) compared to the reference drug (diclofenac sodium) in the 90th minute. This shows that nanoemulgels with 0.0625% and 0.125% mangosteen rind fraction concentrations have the potential to be developed as anti-inflammatory topical formulations.
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