The Effect of Omega-3 on the Number of Retrieved Ova, Fertilization Rate, and Embryo Grading in Subfertile Women Undergoing Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection

Tabark Adel Al-Alousi¹*, Mousa Mohsin Ali Al-Allak², Abdulaziz Ahmed Aziz³ and Basima Sh. Al Ghazali⁴

¹Karabala Health Directorate, Ministry of Health, Iraq.
²Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, University of Karbala, Iraq.
³Physiology Department, University of Telafer, Mosul, Iraq.
⁴Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, University of Kufa, Iraq.
*Corresponding author E-mail: tk_says@yahoo.com

http://dx.doi.org/10.13005/bpj/1605

(Received: 12 September 2018; accepted: 19 November 2018)

This study aims to evaluate the role of preconceiving omega 3 polyunsaturated fatty acids supplementation in enhancing the proportion between follicles and retrieved ova, the fertilization rate, and the embryonic grading in subfertile females experiencing intracytoplasmic sperm injection management protocols. One-hundred twenty subfertile women aged 20-40 years-old undergoing intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection were recruited in this randomized double-blinded placebo-controlled clinical trial, at at Fertility Center/Al-Sadr Teaching Hospital/ Al Najaf/ Iraq. They were randomly assigned into two groups; group A (omega-3) includes 60 subfertile women who received one capsule 1000mg omega-3 and Group B (placebo) includes 60 subfertile women who received a placebo contain Liquid Paraffin 500mg for eight weeks. The number of follicles, number of oocytes, fertilization rates, and embryonic quality were recorded in both groups. The study result revealed that the ratio of follicle/retrieved oocyte, the number of metaphase II oocytes, fertilization rate, and grade I embryo were more in the group A compared to group B. Supplementation with Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids can increase the ratio of follicle/retrieved oocyte, the number of metaphase II oocytes, fertilization rate, and grade I embryo, and thereby improving the pregnancy outcome in intracytoplasmic sperm injection cycles.

Keywords: Omega-3, Fertilization Rate, Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection, Retrieved ova,Subfertile women, Metaphase II oocytes.

Subfertility is a multifactorial disease that affects about 10-15 % of reproductiveaged couple's worldwide^{1.3}. In Iraq the Primary Infertility was account for about 65.3% and Secondary Infertility 34.7% with ovulation disorders as the main cause of female infertility (41%) while (5%) for tubal obstruction, and only. Anovulatory problem is the 15% of the cases had unexplained infertility while abnormality in seminal fluid are the main causes in males⁴⁻⁵. Some of these fertility problems can be modified while others cannot be changed like age,several studies have reported that chances of getting pregnancy decrease rapidly every year after age 35years⁶⁻⁸. Various factors have a remarkable influence on the reproductive capability including changes in

This is an d Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons license: Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY). Published by Oriental Scientific Publishing Company © 2018



lifestyle, level of physical activity, nutrition, and overweight ¹⁰⁻¹¹. Carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins are involved in a wide range of physiological and biochemical activities of the human body. Fatty acids taking a particular interest, which has been linked with improved fertility in both spontaneous and assisted reproduction treatment conceptions¹¹.

The polyunsaturated fatty acids play an important role as a cell membrane component, source of energy, and as signaling molecules¹².

Recently, it is well-documented that PUFAs supplements can promote general health including adequate fecundability¹³.We have Omega-3 and Omega-6 LC-PUFAs, Regarding chemical structure. Omega-3 consists of alphalinolenic acid (ALA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA)¹⁴⁻¹⁵.

Omega-3 fatty acids (omega-3 FA) are precursors of hormones that are produced locally as eicosanoids that are important in the prevention and cure of multiple diseases, especially in women ¹⁷⁻¹⁹. In general, eicosanoids derived from-6 PUFA are pro-inflammatory, while those coming from omega-3 are anti-inflammatory²⁰⁻²¹.

Omega-3 can influence reproductive processes by acting as precursors for prostaglandin synthesisaswell; omega-3 can modulate the expression of many enzymes involved in prostaglandin and steroid metabolism which are found to be necessary for both ovarian and uterine function and seem to be essential in any successful reproductive procedure ²²⁻²⁵. This study aims to investigate the possible effect of preconceiving supplementation of omega-3 PUFAs on intracytoplasmic sperm injection outcomes on the basis of the ratio between the follicles and retrieved oocytes, the rate of fertilization, and quality of the embryo.

Patients and methods Study Design

The current study is a prospective randomized double-blind Placebo-controlled clinical trial, performed at the Fertility Center in Al-Sadr Medical Teaching Hospital, Najaf Al-Ashraf, Iraq, fromJanuary 2017 to February 2018. The study was approved by the Iraqi Medical Ethics Committee in the University of Kufa, Najaf Al-Ashraf/ Iraq.

Study Population

One-hundred twenty subfertile women were randomly selected from subfertile women referred to Al-Sadr Teaching Hospital/ Fertility Center/ IVF Department in Najaf Al-Ashraf City. All women received adequate counseling and signed an informed consent after explanation of the research study aims and steps. Two women were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria and three other did not complete the study. The recruited subjects were randomly assigned into two groups; the Omega 3 group (59 subfertile women) and Placebo group (56 subfertile women). **Inclusion Criteria**

Women age between 20-40 years-old, Body Mass Index (BMI) between 18-34.99 kg/m², 1^{st} or 2^{nd} cycle of ICSI, and fresh sperm sample (not aspirated).

Exclusion Criteria

Women who have medical disorders e.g., diabetes mellitus, hypertension or thyroid diseases, sperm collection from epididymal aspiration, Percutaneous Epididymal Sperm Aspiration (PESA) and Testicular Sperm Extraction (TESE), frozen sperm, Consumption of any medications in the last 12 weeks that may influence hormonal assay, history of any diet in the previous three months, tobacco and alcohol consumption, and supplementation of n-3 PUFAs in the past three months.

Baseline Investigations

Blood pressures, random blood sugar, and thyroid-stimulating hormone all were measured before women's recruitment to the study for the exclusion of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and thyroid dysfunction respectively.

Omega-3 and Placebo

The women of group A were given omega-3 for eight weeks, while the women of group B were given a placebo for eight weeks before starting the ICSI protocol. The dose of omega-3 was 1000mg one capsule every day composed of 180 mg eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and 120 mg docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), the placebo capsule contains 500mg paraffin manufactured by Alzahravi Pharmacology Company. The placebo and omega-3 capsules look similar and cannot be distinguished from each other (same form of package, same shape, same size and color of the

2222

capsule). All recruited subjects were followed-up every week by phone messaging, calls and were advised to return the drug pack every one-month visit. At one month, another pack with 30 capsules was supplied to the women. Neither the researcher nor women knew which group takes then-3 or placebo till the end of the research. After eight weeks, all women on day 2 of their cycle will be enrolled in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation depending on standard protocols that are used in fertility center.

Demographic Data Recording, Physical Examination, and Investigation

After recording the routine information, concerning the name, age, address, body weight and height for every woman included in the study, a careful history and physical examination were performed. Transvaginal sonography was performed on day 2 of the cycle to assess endometrial thickness, antral follicle count, and for the exclusion of any uterine or ovarian pathology. Serial transvaginal ultrasound was done for women every 2-3 days till signs of ovulation or ovum pickup for assessment of follicular size and maturation, and for observation of endometrial thickness measurement depending on the day of the cycle.

Hormonal Assays

Hormonal assays were performed, on day 2 ofthe cycle before starting stimulation protocol, 5ml of blood were taken for every woman participated in the study for Follicle Stimulating Hormone (FSH), Luteinizing Hormone (LH), Estradiol (E2), Prolactin and Thyroid-Stimulating Hormone (TSH) assay. Two days before Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (hCG) administration, other blood samples were collected to measure serum levels of E2 in the same way, 14 days after embryo transfer,a blood sample was collected to measure serum β -hCG level. All Hormonal measurements were done by Mini-vidus technique (using Kit from BioMerieux SA company/ France). **Ovarian Stimulation Protocols**

Two ICSI stimulation protocols were used in this study; the stimulation protocol was selected according to individual patient characteristics.

Short Agonist Protocol (n=42)

On the cycle day 2, Gonadotropins started with Decapeptyle 0.1mg/day. After

down-regulation of pituitary GnRH receptors has occurred, the dose of the GnRH agonist is reduced, and the ovulation induction is initiated with recombinant human Follicle Stimulating Hormone (Gonal-f, Merck Serono Specialities Pvt. Ltd, Italy) which contain Follitropinealfa 75 IU/ampoulethese are given subcutaneously, GnRH agonist continued till day of hCG injection.

GnRH Antagonist Protocol (n= 73)

The women received recombinant human Follicle Stimulating Hormone (Gonal-f, Merck) 75 IU/ampoule by daily subcutaneous (SC) injection. GnRH antagonist (Cetrotide 0.25 mg) was SC injected when the diameter of the leading follicle was 14-15 mm (Flexible regime) it administered continuously until the day of hCG administration. The dose of recombinant human Follicle Stimulating Hormone ranged between 150 and 450 IU, based on BMI, the age of the patient, and the anticipated ovarian response and it optimally adjusted depend on the transvaginal ultrasound reports for the number and size of growing follicles.

Ovum Pick Up (DOPU)

Ovulation is induced by hCG administration (intramuscular Pregnyl 10000 I.U. Serono S.P.H, Italy) or by two ampoules (0.1mg) of Decapeptyle (Triptorelin) when the level of E2 > 400 pg/ml and two or more follicles reached 17 mm diameter. Then oocytes retrieval was performed under vaginal ultrasound guidance using a transvaginal probe with an ultrasound-guided needle.

The seminal fluid sample was collected at the day of ovum pick up in a private room near the laboratory, after a minimum of 2 days and a maximum of 7 days of sexual abstinence into a clean, wide-mouthed container made of glass or plastic, The eggs are counted by an embryologist in the inverted microscope and stages of oocytes maturity are recorded. Before this done, denudation of the oocytes was performed. Germinal vesicle (GV) and metaphase I (MI) oocytes are excluded and only metaphase II oocytes (MII) are injected with Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

The fertilization rate was defined as the ratio between the numbers of 2 pronuclei (PN) zygotes to the number of injected MII.FR = (NO. of 2PN on 1st day)/(Total NO.of injected oocytes)× 100.

Each embryo was individually evaluated under the microscope early in the morning of day-2. Embryos were graded based on the following table: The most viable zygotes have (PN) of the same size and that is centrally located, with those which have nuclear percursor body of same size and number aligned at the pronuclear interphase

Grade	Characteristics
GradeI	Cells are of equal size; no fragmentation seen
GradeII	Cells are of equal size; minor fragmentation only (1–20%)
GradeIII	Cells are of unequal size; no fragmentation to moderate fragmentation (21 - 50%)
GradeIV	Cells are of equal or unequal size; fragmentation is moderate to heavy (over 50%).

Table 1. Embryo Grading²⁵

Age/years 29.37 0.49 20-39 BMI Kg/m2 27.84 0.22 21.7-34.6 Duration/years 7.00 0.30 2-19 No. of retrieved oocyte 12.22 0.56 1-33 No. of full 8.64 0.47 1-28 No. of JPN Zygote 4.39 0.32 1-20 No. of follicles 12.23 0.67 1-30 Fertilization rate 60.18 2.84 7-150 Cleavage stage 5.77 0.34 1-18 Cleavage rate 10.47 168.6 33-600 Total embryo 5.53 0.32 1-17 Grade 1 1.42 0.17 0-11 Grade 2 3.36 0.24 0-11 Grade 3 1.83 0.14 0-12 No. of ET 2.78 0.07 0-5 Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 &18 AFC 13.20 0.27 6-19 FSH 5.13 <td< th=""><th>Variable</th><th>Mean</th><th>Std. error</th><th>Range</th><th></th></td<>	Variable	Mean	Std. error	Range	
BMI Kg/m2 27.84 0.22 21.7-34.6 Duration/years 7.00 0.30 2-19 No. of retrieved oocyte 12.22 0.56 1-33 No. of MII 8.64 0.47 1-28 No. of injected oocyte 7.88 0.47 1-28 No. of 2PN Zygote 4.39 0.32 1-20 No. of follicles 12.23 0.67 1-30 Fertilization rate 60.18 2.84 7-150 Cleavage stage 5.77 0.34 1-18 Cleavage rate 10.47 168.6 33-600 Total embryo 5.53 0.32 1-17 Grade 1 1.42 0.17 0-11 Grade 2 3.36 0.24 0-11 Grade 3 1.83 0.14 0-12 No. of ET 2.78 0.07 0-5 Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 8-18 AFC 13.20 0.27 6-19 FSH 5.13	Age/years	29.37	0.49	20-39	
Duration/years 7.00 0.30 2-19 No. of retrieved oocyte 12.22 0.56 1-33 No. of MII 8.64 0.47 1-28 No. of injected oocyte 7.88 0.47 1-28 No. of of DN Zygote 4.39 0.32 1-20 No. of follicles 12.23 0.67 1-30 Fertilization rate 60.18 2.84 7-150 Cleavage stage 5.77 0.34 1-18 Cleavage rate 10.47 168.6 33-600 Total embryo 5.53 0.32 1-17 Grade 1 1.42 0.17 0-11 Grade 2 3.36 0.24 0-11 Grade 3 1.83 0.14 0-12 No. of ET 2.78 0.07 0-5 Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 8-18 AFC 13.20 0.27 6-19 FSH 5.13 0.18 0.32-10.3 Prolactin 18.06 <td>BMI Kg/m2</td> <td>27.84</td> <td>0.22</td> <td>21.7-34.6</td> <td></td>	BMI Kg/m2	27.84	0.22	21.7-34.6	
No. of retrieved oocyte 12.22 0.56 1-33 No. of MII 8.64 0.47 1-28 No. of injected oocyte 7.88 0.47 1-28 No. of 2PN Zygote 4.39 0.32 1-20 No. of follicles 12.23 0.67 1-30 Fertilization rate 60.18 2.84 7-150 Cleavage stage 5.77 0.34 1-18 Cleavage rate 10.47 168.6 33-600 Total embryo 5.53 0.32 1-17 Grade 1 1.42 0.17 0-11 Grade 2 3.36 0.24 0-11 Grade 3 1.83 0.14 0-12 No. of ET 2.78 0.07 0-5 Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 8-18 AFC 13.20 0.27 6-19 FSH 5.13 0.18 0.35-10.3 Prolactin 18.06 0.75 6.54-56.14 TSH 2.10	Duration/years	7.00	0.30	2-19	
No. of MII 8.64 0.47 1-28 No. of injected oocyte 7.88 0.47 1-28 No. of 2PN Zygote 4.39 0.32 1-20 No. of follicles 12.23 0.67 1-30 Fertilization rate 60.18 2.84 7-150 Cleavage stage 5.77 0.34 1-18 Cleavage rate 10.47 168.6 33-600 Total embryo 5.53 0.32 1-17 Grade 1 1.42 0.17 0-11 Grade 2 3.36 0.24 0.11 Grade 3 1.83 0.14 0-12 No. of ET 2.78 0.07 0-5 Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 8-18 AFC 13.20 0.27 6-19 FSH 5.13 0.18 1.2-11 LH 3.74 0.18 0.35-10.3 Prolactin 18.06 0.75 6.54-56.14 TSH 2.10 0.05	No. of retrieved oocyte	12.22	0.56	1-33	
No. of injected oocyte 7.88 0.47 1-28 No. of 2PN Zygote 4.39 0.32 1-20 No. of follicles 12.23 0.67 1-30 Fertilization rate 60.18 2.84 7-150 Cleavage stage 5.77 0.34 1-18 Cleavage rate 10.47 168.6 33-600 Total embryo 5.53 0.32 1-17 Grade 1 1.42 0.17 0-11 Grade 2 3.36 0.24 0-11 Grade 3 1.83 0.14 0-12 No. of ET 2.78 0.07 0-5 Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 8-18 AFC 13.20 0.27 6-19 FSH 5.13 0.18 0.35-10.3 Prolactin 18.06 0.75 6.54-56.14 TSH 2.10 0.05 0.75-3.41 E2 at day 3 35.96 1.43 0.50-77.51 E2 onthe day of HCG 2224.23	No. of MII	8.64	0.47	1-28	
No. of 2PN Zygote 4.39 0.32 1-20 No. of follicles 12.23 0.67 1-30 Fertilization rate 60.18 2.84 7-150 Cleavage stage 5.77 0.34 1-18 Cleavage rate 10.47 168.6 33-600 Total embryo 5.53 0.32 1-17 Grade 1 1.42 0.17 0-11 Grade 2 3.36 0.24 0-11 Grade 3 1.83 0.14 0-12 No. of ET 2.78 0.07 0-5 Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 8-18 AFC 13.20 0.27 6-19 FSH 5.13 0.18 1.2-11 LH 3.74 0.18 0.35-10.3 Prolactin 18.06 0.75 6.54-56.14 TSH 2.10 0.05 0.75-3.41 E2 at day 3 35.96 1.43 0.50-77.51 E2 onthe day of HCG 2224.23	No. of injected oocyte	7.88	0.47	1-28	
No. of follicles12.230.671-30Fertilization rate 60.18 2.84 7-150Cleavage stage 5.77 0.34 1-18Cleavage rate 10.47 168.6 $33-600$ Total embryo 5.53 0.32 $1-17$ Grade 1 1.42 0.17 $0-11$ Grade 2 3.36 0.24 $0-11$ Grade 3 1.83 0.14 $0-12$ No. of ET 2.78 0.07 $0-5$ Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 $8-18$ AFC 13.20 0.27 $6-19$ FSH 5.13 0.18 $1.2-11$ LH 3.74 0.18 $0.35-10.3$ Prolactin 18.06 0.75 $6.54-56.14$ TSH 2.10 0.05 $0.75-3.41$ E2 at day 3 35.96 1.43 $0.50-77.51$ E2 onthe day of HCG 2224.23 47.04 $890-3160$ Type of infertilityPrimary $107(93\%)$ Female factor $13(11.3\%)$ ICSI trial 1^{st} $112(97.4\%)$ ICSI trial 1^{st} $112(97.4\%)$ ProtocolAgonist $42(36.5\%)$ Antagonist $73(63.5\%)$ Pregnancy testPositive $35(30.4\%)$ Negative $80(69.6\%)$	No. of 2PN Zygote	4.39	0.32	1-20	
Fertilization rate 60.18 2.84 $7-150$ Cleavage stage 5.77 0.34 $1-18$ Cleavage rate 10.47 168.6 $33-600$ Total embryo 5.53 0.32 $1-17$ Grade 1 1.42 0.17 $0-11$ Grade 2 3.36 0.24 $0-11$ Grade 3 1.83 0.14 $0-12$ No. of ET 2.78 0.07 $0-5$ Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 $8-18$ AFC 13.20 0.27 $6-19$ FSH 5.13 0.18 $1.2-11$ LH 3.74 0.18 $0.35-10.3$ Prolactin 18.06 0.75 $6.54-56.14$ TSH 2.10 0.05 $0.75-3.41$ E2 at day 3 35.96 1.43 $0.50-77.51$ E2 onthe day of HCG 2224.23 47.04 $890-3160$ Type of infertilityPrimary $107(93\%)$ Secondary $8(7\%)$ Cause of infertilityMale factor $12(88.7\%)$ ICSI trial 1^{st} $112(97.4\%)$ 2^{rd} $3(2.6\%)$ ProtocolAgonist $42(36.5\%)$ ProtocolAgonist $42(36.5\%)$ Pregnancy testPositive $35(30.4\%)$ Negative $80(69.6\%)$	No. of follicles	12.23	0.67	1-30	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fertilization rate	60.18	2.84	7-150	
Cleavage rate 10.47 168.6 $33-600$ Total embryo 5.53 0.32 $1-17$ Grade 1 1.42 0.17 $0-11$ Grade 2 3.36 0.24 $0-11$ Grade 3 1.83 0.14 $0-12$ No. of ET 2.78 0.07 $0-5$ Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 $8-18$ AFC 13.20 0.27 $6-19$ FSH 5.13 0.18 $1.2-11$ LH 3.74 0.18 $0.35-10.3$ Prolactin 18.06 0.75 $6.54-56.14$ TSH 2.10 0.05 $0.75-3.41$ E2 at day 3 35.96 1.43 $0.50-77.51$ E2 onthe day of HCG 2224.23 47.04 $890-3160$ Type of infertilityPrimary $102(88.7\%)$ Cause of infertilityMale factor $102(88.7\%)$ ICSI trial 1^{st} $112(97.4\%)$ 2^{rd} $3(2.6\%)$ ProtocolAgonist $42(36.5\%)$ Pregnancy testPositive $35(30.4\%)$ Negative $80(69.6\%)$	Cleavage stage	5.77	0.34	1-18	
Total embryo5.530.321-17Grade 11.420.170-11Grade 23.360.240-11Grade 31.830.140-12No. of ET2.780.070-5Endometrial thickness mm11.120.128-18AFC13.200.276-19FSH5.130.181.2-11LH3.740.180.35-10.3Prolactin18.060.756.54-56.14TSH2.100.050.75-3.41E2 at day 335.961.430.50-77.51E2 onthe day of HCG2224.2347.04890-3160Type of infertilityPrimary107(93%)Female factorTotal1st112(97.4%) 2^{rd} 3(2.6%)ProtocolAgonist42(36.5%)Antagonist73(63.5%)Pregnancy testPositive35(30.4%)Negative80(69.6%)	Cleavage rate	10.47	168.6	33-600	
Grade 1 1.42 0.17 $0-11$ Grade 2 3.36 0.24 $0-11$ Grade 3 1.83 0.14 $0-12$ No. of ET 2.78 0.07 $0-5$ Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 $8-18$ AFC 13.20 0.27 $6-19$ FSH 5.13 0.18 $1.2-11$ LH 3.74 0.18 $0.35-10.3$ Prolactin 18.06 0.75 $6.54-56.14$ TSH 2.10 0.05 $0.75-3.41$ E2 at day 3 35.96 1.43 $0.50-77.51$ E2 onthe day of HCG 2224.23 47.04 $890-3160$ Type of infertilityPrimary $107(93\%)$ Secondary $8(7\%)$ Cause of infertilityMale factor $102(88.7\%)$ Female factor $13(11.3\%)$ ICSI trial 1^{st} $112(97.4\%)$ 2^{ud} $3(2.6\%)$ ProtocolAgonist $42(36.5\%)$ Pregnancy testPositive $35(30.4\%)$ Negative $80(69.6\%)$	Total embryo	5.53	0.32	1-17	
Grade 2 3.36 0.24 $0-11$ Grade 3 1.83 0.14 $0-12$ No. of ET 2.78 0.07 $0-5$ Endometrial thickness mm 11.12 0.12 $8-18$ AFC 13.20 0.27 $6-19$ FSH 5.13 0.18 $1.2-11$ LH 3.74 0.18 $0.35-10.3$ Prolactin 18.06 0.75 $6.54-56.14$ TSH 2.10 0.05 $0.75-3.41$ E2 at day 3 35.96 1.43 $0.50-77.51$ E2 onthe day of HCG 2224.23 47.04 $890-3160$ Type of infertilityPrimary $107(93\%)$ Secondary $8(7\%)$ Cause of infertilityMale factor $102(88.7\%)$ Female factor $13(11.3\%)$ ICSI trial 1^{st} $112(97.4\%)$ 2^{rd} $3(2.6\%)$ ProtocolAgonist $42(36.5\%)$ Antagonist $73(63.5\%)$ Pregnancy testPositive $35(30.4\%)$ Negative $80(69.6\%)$	Grade 1	1.42	0.17	0-11	
Grade 31.830.140-12No. of ET2.780.070-5Endometrial thickness mm11.120.128-18AFC13.200.276-19FSH5.130.181.2-11LH3.740.180.35-10.3Prolactin18.060.756.54-56.14TSH2.100.050.75-3.41E2 at day 335.961.430.50-77.51E2 onthe day of HCG2224.2347.04890-3160Type of infertilityPrimary107(93%)Secondary8(7%)Cause of infertilityMale factor102(88.7%)Female factor13(11.3%)ICSI trial1st112(97.4%) 2^{rd} 3(2.6%)ProtocolAgonist42(36.5%)Antagonist73(63.5%)Pregnancy testPositive35(30.4%)Negative80(69.6%)	Grade 2	3.36	0.24	0-11	
No. of ET2.780.070-5Endometrial thickness mm11.120.128-18AFC13.200.276-19FSH5.130.181.2-11LH3.740.180.35-10.3Prolactin18.060.756.54-56.14TSH2.100.050.75-3.41E2 at day 335.961.430.50-77.51E2 onthe day of HCG2224.2347.04890-3160Type of infertilityPrimary107(93%)Secondary $8(7\%)$ Cause of infertilityMale factor102(88.7%)Female factor13(11.3%)ICSI trial1st112(97.4%) 2^{rd} 3(2.6%)ProtocolAgonist42(36.5%)Antagonist73(63.5%)Pregnancy testPositive35(30.4%)Negative80(69.6%)	Grade 3	1.83	0.14	0-12	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No. of ET	2.78	0.07	0-5	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Endometrial thickness mm	11.12	0.12	8-18	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AFC	13.20	0.27	6-19	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FSH	5.13	0.18	1.2-11	
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Prolactin & 18.06 & 0.75 & 6.54-56.14 \\ TSH & 2.10 & 0.05 & 0.75-3.41 \\ E2 at day 3 & 35.96 & 1.43 & 0.50-77.51 \\ E2 on the day of HCG & 2224.23 & 47.04 & 890-3160 \\ Type of infertility & Primary & 107(93\%) \\ & & & & & \\ Secondary & & & & \\ Cause of infertility & Male factor & 102(88.7\%) \\ & & & & & & \\ Female factor & 13(11.3\%) \\ ICSI trial & 1^{st} & 112(97.4\%) \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ 2^{nd} & & & & & \\ 2^{nd} & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ Protocol & & & & & & \\ Antagonist & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ Pregnancy test & & & & Positive & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & $	LH	3.74	0.18	0.35-10.3	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Prolactin	18.06	0.75	6.54-56.14	
E2 at day 3 35.96 1.43 $0.50-77.51$ E2 onthe day of HCG 2224.23 47.04 $890-3160$ Type of infertilityPrimary $107(93\%)$ Cause of infertilityMale factor $102(88.7\%)$ Female factor $13(11.3\%)$ ICSI trial 1^{st} 2^{nd} $3(2.6\%)$ ProtocolAgonist $42(36.5\%)$ Pregnancy testPositive $35(30.4\%)$ Negative $80(69.6\%)$	TSH	2.10	0.05	0.75-3.41	
E2 on the day of HCG 2224.23 47.04 $890-3160$ Type of infertilityPrimary $107(93\%)$ Secondary $8(7\%)$ Cause of infertilityMale factor $102(88.7\%)$ Female factor $13(11.3\%)$ ICSI trial 1^{st} $112(97.4\%)$ 2^{nd} $3(2.6\%)$ ProtocolAgonist $42(36.5\%)$ Antagonist $73(63.5\%)$ Pregnancy testPositive $35(30.4\%)$ Negative $80(69.6\%)$	E2 at day 3	35.96	1.43	0.50-77.51	
Type of infertilityPrimary $107(93\%)$ SecondaryCause of infertilityMale factor $102(88.7\%)$ Female factorICSI trial 1^{st} $112(97.4\%)$ 2^{nd} ProtocolAgonist $42(36.5\%)$ AntagonistPregnancy testPositive $35(30.4\%)$ Negative	E2 on he day of HCG	2224.23	47.04	890-3160	
$\begin{array}{cccc} & Secondary & 8(7\%) \\ Cause of infertility & Male factor & 102(88.7\%) \\ Female factor & 13(11.3\%) \\ ICSI trial & 1^{st} & 112(97.4\%) \\ & 2^{nd} & 3(2.6\%) \\ Protocol & Agonist & 42(36.5\%) \\ Pregnancy test & Positive & 35(30.4\%) \\ Pregnancy test & Positive & 80(69.6\%) \end{array}$	Type of infertility	Primary	107(93%)		
Cause of infertilityMale factor $102(88.7\%)$ Female factorICSI trial 1^{st} $13(11.3\%)$ ICSI trial 1^{st} $112(97.4\%)$ 2^{nd} ProtocolAgonist $42(36.5\%)$ AntagonistPregnancy testPositive $35(30.4\%)$ Negative		Secondary	8(7%)		
$\begin{array}{c cccc} & Female factor & 13(11.3\%) \\ ICSI trial & 1^{st} & 112(97.4\%) \\ & 2^{nd} & 3(2.6\%) \\ Protocol & Agonist & 42(36.5\%) \\ & Antagonist & 73(63.5\%) \\ Pregnancy test & Positive & 35(30.4\%) \\ & Negative & 80(69.6\%) \end{array}$	Cause of infertility	Male factor	102(88.7%)		
ICSI trial 1^{st} $112(97.4\%)$ 2^{nd} $3(2.6\%)$ Protocol Agonist $42(36.5\%)$ Antagonist $73(63.5\%)$ Pregnancy test Positive $35(30.4\%)$ Negative $80(69.6\%)$		Female factor	13(11.3%)		
2 nd 3(2.6%) Protocol Agonist 42(36.5%) Antagonist 73(63.5%) Pregnancy test Positive 35(30.4%) Negative 80(69.6%)	ICSI trial	1 st	112(97.4%)		
ProtocolAgonist42(36.5%)Antagonist73(63.5%)Pregnancy testPositive35(30.4%)Negative80(69.6%)		2^{nd}	3(2.6%)		
Antagonist73(63.5%)Pregnancy testPositive35(30.4%)Negative80(69.6%)	Protocol	Agonist	42(36.5%)		
Pregnancy test Positive 35(30.4%) Negative 80(69.6%)		Antagonist	73(63.5%)		
Negative 80(69.6%)	Pregnancy test	Positive	35(30.4%)		
		Negative	80(69.6%)		

Table 2. Mean and Standard Error of the General
Characteristics of the all Participants in the Study (n=115)

in preparation for syngamy Under abdominal ultrasound guidance the transfer of embryo was done in the cleavage stage on day 2 or day 3 after oocyte retrieval. the catheter was loaded in the following manner: $15-20\mu$ l of transfer medium, 10μ l of air, the embryos in $15-20\mu$ l of transfer medium, and $10-15 \mu$ l of air to seal the catheter (three drops method).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis included mean and standard error, standard deviation, percentage, ratio, chi-square, paired-sample T-test, and student T-test. The data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 17.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Values were expressed as mean \pm SE. A P-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant, P. value <0.01 was considered to be statistically highly significant.

Primary and Secondary Endpoints

Primary endpoint is the embryo quality based on morphological classification as shown in Table (1).

Sample Size

Based on a related study (Kermack*et al.*, 2014) the sample size was calculated according to the following formula:

 $N=2(Z1-\infty+Z1-\beta)2/((P1-P2)2)\times P\times P-1$ =2*{1.96+0.84}2*0.15*0.85/{0.28-0.48} =1.9992/0.04 =49 \rightarrow 50 Women in each group.

Table 3. Comparison between Omega 3 and Placebo Groups in Terms of Age, BMI, and Duration of Infertility

Variable	Omega group (n=59)	Placebo group (n=56)	P-value
Age (years)	29.22±5.74	29.60±5.34	0.710
BMI (Kg/m ²)	28.05±2.52	27.54±2.25	0.257
Duration (years)	6.76±3.30	7.25±3.15	0.420
FSH	4.90 ± 0.20	5.43 ± 0.30	0.152
LH	3.89 ± 0.28	3.63 ± 0.22	0.488
Prolactin	17.76 ± 1.10	18.40 ± 1.03	0.675
TSH	2.09 ± 0.07	2.12±0.08	0.788
E2 at day 3	36.69±2.02	35.29±2.06	0.630
E2 atthe day of HCG	2217.81±64.33	2245.62±69.39	0.769

Table 4. Comparison between Omega3 and Placebo Group Regarding's ICSI Outcome

Variable	Omega 3 group (n=59) Mean ±SEM	Placebo group (n=56) Mean ±SEM	P-value	
No. of follicles	12.69±0.75	11.75±0.79	0.390	
No. of Retrieved oocyte	12.91±0.77	11.62 ± 0.81	0.253	
No. of MII	9.15±0.68	8.17±0.64	0.306	
No. of injected oocyte	8.28±0.67	7.53±0.66	0.428	
No. of 2PN Zygote	5.13±0.44	3.60±0.46	0.019	
Fertilization rate	67.89±3.60	51.92±4.20	0.005	
Cleavage stage	6.11±0.47	5.41±0.49	0.304	
Cleavage rate	204.14±9.31	134.93±9.31	0.001	
Total embryo	6.05±0.47	5±0.41	0.102	
Grade 1	2.16±0.28	0.67±0.13	< 0.001	
Grade 2	3.33±0.34	3.48±0.34	0.769	
Grade 3	0.61±0.15	1.08 ± 0.25	0.100	
No. of ET	2.93 ± 0.08	2.73±0.11	0.159	
Endometrial thickness m	nm 11.37±0.12	10.87±0.21	0.045	
AFC	13.16±0.36	13.28±0.42	0.838	

RESULTS

Table (2) displays the general characteristics of subfertile women who have undergone ICSI. The mean age of studied subjects was 29.37 ± 5.51 years (20-39 years), the BMI means of the studied group was 27.84 ± 2.44 kg/m2 (21.7-34.6 kg/m²) and the mean duration of subfertility was 7 ± 3.21 years (2-19 years).

There were no statistically significant differences in the mean of age, BMI, , level of the studied hormones and duration of infertility between the omega-3 group and placebo group as shown in Table (3).

Comparison between Omega-3 and Placebo Group Regarding the Number of Follicles, Ratio of Follicles to Oocyte Retrieval, and the Ratio of Follicles No/ No of Injected Oocyte.

The numbers of follicles to the number of injected oocytes in the Omega-3 group were higher than that in the placebo group. However, the difference was not significant (p > 0.05) as shown in Figure (2).

The result of the study has shown that the fertilization rate and 2 Pronuclei (2PN) was higher in omega 3 group in comparison with the placebo group (p < 0.05) as shown in (Figure 3).Out of the (489) oocytes injected in the Omega-3 group, (303) were fertilized, whereas only (202) out of (422) injected oocytes in the placebo group were fertilized. Higher rates (p < 0.05) were observed in the Omega- 3 group in comparison to the placebo group.

Table (4) Shows a significantly higher number of 2PN zygote (p < 0.01), fertilization rate (p < 0.005), cleavage rate (p < 0.001), grade 1(p < 0.001), and endometrial thickness (p < 0.04) in omega-3 group compared to placebo group. All other parameters show no significant difference between the two groups.

The total number of embryos in the omega-3 group was 6.05 ± 0.47 and in placebo groups 5 ± 0.41 as shown in Table (4). This improvement is the result of the increased number of MII oocytes in the Omega-3 group. However, Omega-3 has not only increases the number of

		PT Positive	Total Negative	Total	P value	OR(95%CI)
Groups	Omega-3 group	20 33.9%	39 66 1%	59 100%	0.407	1.4 (0.32-1.58)
	Placebogroup	15 26.8%	41 73.2%	56 100%		(0.52-1.50)
	Total	35 30.4%	80 69.6%	115 100.0%		

Table 5. Association between Groups and Pregnancy Test Result

 Table 6. Association between Pregnancy rates and Controlled Ovarian Hyperstimulation

 Protocol

Groups	Pregnan	cy Test	Total	P value
Oloups	1 OSITIVE	Negative		
Agonist Omega-3 group	n=2	n=4	16	0.606
Groups	50 %	36.8 %	38.1 %	
Placebo group	n=2	n=24	26	
	50 %	63.8 %	61.9 %	
Antagonist Omega-3 group	n=18	n=25	43	0.900
Groups	58.1 %	59.5 %	58.9 %	
Placebo group	n=13	n=17	30	
	41.9 %	40.5 %	41.1 %	

MII oocytes as shown in Figure (4), but it has also improved embryo quality and this is obvious a the number of grade 1 embryo 2.16 ± 0.28 in the Omega-3 group while it is 0.67 ± 0.13 in Placebo group as shown in Table (4).

The total pregnancy rate (positive pregnancy test) in both groups was 35 women

(30.4%) while 80 women had a negative pregnancy test. Out of 59 Women in Omega-3 group, 20 (33.9%) women developed pregnancy where in placebo group only 15(26.8%) women had positive pregnancy tests. However, the differences were statistically not significant as shown in Table (5).

Table (6) was done to exclude the effect



Fig. 1. Flowchart of Participants subfertile Women

of ovarian stimulation protocols, as 73 subfertile women undergoing antagonist protocol while only 42subfertilewomen in placebo but there was no significant difference on their effects on both studies groups.

DISCUSSION

The current study was conducted to clarify the impact of omega 3 PUFAs supplementation on ICSI clinical outcomes, by comparing the main ICSI parameter like the number of retrieved ova,



Ratio of Follicles to Oocytes

Fig. 2. Comparisons between Placebo and Omega 3 Group Regarding the Number of Follicle and Number of Injected Oocyte



Difference in Fertilization Rate

Fig. 3. Relation between the Omega-3 Treatment and the Fertilization Rate in both Groups



Oocyte Morphology

Fig. 4. Oocyte Morphology and treatment with Omega-3 or Placebo

the fertilization rate, and the embryonic grading in two groups of subfertile women experiencing ICSI management protocols. One group receives omega 3 PUFAs supplementation and the other group receiving placebo for 56 days (8 weeks). The study attempts to ameliorate the effects of certain important confounders that may affect the result like BMI and age of participant by selecting the subfertile women with younger age and canceling obese women from the study and by matching such variables between the two groups²⁶.

Fifty-seven (96.6%) out of 59 subfertile women in the Omega-3 group have their 1stICSI trial, while 55 (98.2%) out of 56 subfertile women in the placebo group were with 1st ICSI trial. Only 3 women have the second trial of ICSI. There were no significant differences between the two groups regarding the number of ICSI trial. This finding probably excludes the effect of a number of trials on ICSI results. Sixteen (27.1%) out of 59 subfertile women in the Omega-3 group follow agonist protocol and 43(72.9%) follow antagonist protocol, wherein Placebo group 26(46.4%) women follow agonist protocol and 30(53.6%) women follow antagonist protocol. The results of our research study were in harmony with other research studies that concluded the antagonist protocol was shown to be an easy and safe protocol 27-29.

Regarding the type and causes of infertility high percentage of subfertile cases presented with primary subfertility 107(93%) and only 8 (7%) with secondary subfertility, male factor carried a higher percent 102 (88.7%) than other causes that indicated for ICSI. This finding supported by other research studies who found that male factor of subfertility is predominant than other causes (56.43%)³⁰⁻³¹. The possible explanation for the high incidence of infertility among Iraqi men is that our country environment suffered from many profanations as result of many wars, and males tend to be exposed more directly to hazards of different type of Chemical Warfare Agents as a participates in the wars.

Despite the pregnancy rate reflects the success of the ICSI process, it is better to use live birth rate better to use live birth rate but this needs more time and follow up. For this reason, we considered the embryo quality to be the primary endpoint.

The numbers of follicles in the omega-3 group were significantly higher than that in the Placebo group, and there is a higher number of the retrieved oocyte in the Omega-3 group these findings agree with other findings in prior studieswho reported a high intake of dietary fish oil led to a significant increase in the ovulation and follicles development ³³.

The result of this study also revealed a significant increase in the numbers of the embryo in the Omega-3 group in comparison with the Placebo group. A possible explanation may be due to the fact that PUFAs supplementation associated with suppression of production of PGF2 by compacting with prostaglandin endoperoxide synthase (PGHS) enzyme that required for the change of the Arachidonic acid to the PGF2. It is believed that decreased PGF2 level, elevating the chance of preserving the life of the newly developed embryo ³⁴⁻³⁶. This agrees with Hammicheand Kermack they reported that assessing preconception supplementary n-3 PUFA that results in improving embryo morphology and ART outcomes³⁷⁻³⁸.

CONCLUSION

These findings suggest that supplementation with Omega-3 FAs in IVF techniques could positively influence the final result of the reproductive sequel. However further research studies are required considering racial and ethnic differences response to omega-3 FAs in order to permit future Meta-analysis performance and application in clinical guidelines of management.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to all staff in the Department of Physiology, College of Medicine, University of Kufa, Iraq, they are grateful also to all staff in the Fertility Center, Al-Sadr Teaching Hospital/ Al Najaf, Iraq.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ashok Agarwal; Aditi Mulgund; Alaa Hamada; and Michelle Renee Chyatt. A unique view on male infertility around the globe. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* **13**: 37 (2015).
- 2. Boivin J; Bunting L; Collins JA and Nygren KG. International estimates of infertility prevalence and treatment-seeking: potential need and demand for infertility medical care. *Hum Reprod.*; **22**(6):1506-12 (2002).
- Hsu Yi-Ling; KuoBih-Jaw. Evaluations of Emotional Reactions and Coping Behaviors as

Well as Correlated Factors for Infertile Couples Receiving Assisted Reproductive Technologies. *Journal of Nursing Research*. (2002); doi: 10.1097/01.JNR.0000347610.14166.52.

- 4. Mousa Mohsen Ali; Wasan Ghazi and HayderAamerAbboud, Prevalence of Anovulation in Subfertile Women in Kerbala 2012, a descriptive Cross-Sectional Study. Print ISSN: 2073-8854 & Online ISSN: 2311-6544 Magazin of Al-Kufa University for Biology 2014 / VOL.6/ NO.2.
- 5. Razzak AH, Wais SA. The Infertile couple: A cohort study. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal.*; **8**(2/3) (2002).
- Rowe T. Fertility and a woman's age. *J Reprod Med.*; 51(3):157–63 (2006).
- 7. Homan GF; Davies M and Norman R. The impact of lifestyle factors on reproductive performance in the general population and those undergoing infertility treatments: a review. *Hum Reprod Update.*; **13** (3):209-23 (2007).
- 8. American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Diagnostic evaluation of the infertile male: a committee opinion. *FertilSteril.*; **98**: 294-301 (2012).
- Huang H, Hansen KR, Factor-Litvak P, Carson SA, Guzick DS, Santoro N, *et al.* Predictors of pregnancy and live birth after insemination in couples with unexplained or male-factor infertility. *FertilSteril.*; 97(4):959-967 (2012).
- 10. Esmaeilzadeh AD, Basirat SH. Physical activity and body mass index among women who have experienced infertility. *Arch Med Sci.*; **3**: 499-505 (2013).
- Nadjarzadeh. Azadeh ,RaziehDehghani-Firouzabadi , HooriehDaneshbodi , Mohammad Hassan LotfiNiloofarVaziri , Hassan Mozaffari-Khosravi. Effect of Omega-3 Supplementation on Visfatin, Adiponectin, and Anthropometric Indices in Women with Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome: *J ReprodInfertil.*; 16(4):212-220 (2015).
- Ashlee H, Anne RD, Joo YOH, Aimee L, Victor M. Cell signaling by reactive lipid species: New concepts and molecular mechanisms. *Biochem. J*.; 442:453-464 (2012).
- Moran Lisa J., Victoria Tsagareli, Manny Noakes and Robert Norman. Altered Preconception Fatty Acid Intake Is Associated with Improved Pregnancy Rates in Overweight and ObeseWomen Undertaking in Vitro Fertilisation. *Nutrients.*; 8: 10 (2016). doi: 10.3390/nu8010010.
- 14. Muskiet FA, van Goor SA, Kuipers RS, Velzing-Aarts FV, Smit EN, Bouwstra H, *et al.* Longchain polyunsaturated fatty acids in maternal and

infant nutrition. *Prostaglandins LeukotEssent Fatty Acids.*; **75**:135–44 (2006).

- Chilton, Rahul Dutta, Lindsay M. Reynolds, Susan Sergeant, Rasika A. Mathias and Michael C. Seeds. Precision Nutrition and Omega-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids: A Case for Personalized Supplementation Approaches for the Prevention and Management of Human Diseases, *Nutrients.*; 9: 1165 (2017); doi: 10.3390/nu911116.
- E. Patterson, R.Wall, G. F. Fitzgerald, R. P. Ross, and C. Stanton. Health Implications of High Dietary Omega-6 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids, *Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism*.; Volume, Article ID 539426, 16 pages (2012). doi:10.1155/2012/539426.
- MariaritaDess, Annalisa Noce, PierfrancescoBertucci, Simone Manca di Villahermosa, RossellaZenobi, Veronica Castagnola, Eliana Addessi, and Nicola Di Daniele. Atherosclerosis, Dyslipidemia, and Inflammation: The Significant Role of Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids. *ISRN Inflammation.*; 2013; Volume, Article ID 191823, 13 pages. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/191823.
- Saldeen P and Saldeen T. Obstet Gynecol Surv. Women and omega-3 Fatty acids.; 59(10):722-30; quiz 745-6 (2004).
- Ricciotti. E. and G. A. Fitzgerald. "Prostaglandins and inflammation," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis,* and Vascular Biology.; 31(5):. 986–1000 (2011).
- 20. Tai. C. C. and S. T. Ding. "N-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids regulate lipid metabolism through several inflammation mediators: mechanisms and implications for obesity prevention," *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*.; **21**(5), pp. 357–363 (2010).
- Robinson RS1, Pushpakumara PG, Cheng Z, Peters AR, Abayasekara DR, Wathes DC. Effects of dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids on ovarian and uterine function in lactating dairy cows. *Reproduction.*;124(1):119-931 (2002).
- Claire WD, Robert EAD, John AR. Polyunsaturated fatty acids in male and female reproduction. *Biology of Reproduction*.; 77(2):190-201 (2007).
- 23. Wonnacott KE, Kwong WY, Hughes J, Salter AM, Lea RG, Garnsworthy PC, Sinclair KD. Dietary omega-3 and -6 polyunsaturated fatty acids affect the composition and development of sheep granulosa cells, oocytes and embryos. *Reproduction.;* **139** (1) 57-69 (2010).
- Lazzarin N, Vaquero E, Exacoustos C, Bertonotti E, Romanini ME, Arduini D. Low-dose aspirin and omega-3 fatty acids improve uterine artery blood flow velocity in women with recurrent

miscarriage due to impaired uterine perfusion. *FertilSteril.*; **92**(1): 296-300 (2009).

- 25. Hazlet D. Embryo fragmentation what does it mean Embryology, Infertility, and IVF: embryo, embryology lab, evaluating egg quality. 2011.
- 26. Hui Liu, Haibin Zhao, Guanling Yu, Mei Li, Shuiying Ma, Haozhen Zhang, Keliang Wu. Conventional in vitro fertilization (IVF) or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI): which is preferred for advanced age patients with five or fewer oocytes retrieved? *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics.*; 297(5): 1301–1306 (2018).
- Coccia ME, Comparetto C, Bracco GL, Scarselli G: GnRHantagonists. *European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, andreproductivebiology.*; 115, Suppl 1 0:S44- 56 (2004).
- BodriD, SunkaraSK, CoomarasamyA: Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists versusantagonists for controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in oocytedonors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Fertility and sterility*.; 95(1):164-169 (2011).
- Al-Inany HG, Youssef MA and Aboulghar M et al. GnRH antagonists are safer than agonists: an update of a Cochrane review. Hum. Reprod.; 17(4):435 (2011).
- Al-TurkiHA. Prevalence of primary and secondary infertility from tertiary center in eastern Saudi Arabia.*Middle East Fertility* Society Journal.; 2015; 20(4): 237-240.
- Al-Ghazali,B. S. and Al-Jarrah D.M. Factors Affecting Intra-Cytoplasm Sperm Injection (ICSI) and Pregnancy Outcome in the Fertility Center of Al-Najaf City. *The Iraqi Postgraduate Medical Journal.*; 12, SUPPLEMENT (2013).
- 32. HFEA. Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority, code of practice, 8th Edition. 2009.
- Fleming R, Seifer DB, Frattarelli JL, Ruman J. Assessing ovarian response: Antral follicle count versus anti-Mullerian hormone. *Reprod Biomed Online.*; **31**: 486–496 (2015).
- 34. Ferraretti AP, La Marca A, Fauser BC, Tarlatzis B, Nargund G, Gianaroli L. ESHRE consensus on the definition of 'poor response' to ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization: The Bologna criteria. *Hum Reprod*; **26**:1616–1624 (2011).
- Ray A, Amit S, Anil G, Roy H. Unexplained infertility: An update and review of practice, *Reproductive BioMedicine Online.*; 24: 591–602 (2012).
- Kazemi A, Fatemeh R, Mohammad HN, Ali ASY, Mehdi A. Does dietary fat intake influence oocyte competence and embryo quality by inducing oxidative stress in follicular fluid, *Iran J Reprod Med.*; 11(12): 1005-1012 (2013).
- 37. Hammiche F, Marijana V, Willeke W, Jeanne

HM. de Vries, Nick S. Macklon JSE. Increased preconception omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid intake improves embryo morphology. *Fertility and Sterility*.; **95**(5): 1820-1823 (2011).

38. Kermack. J. Alexandra, Philip C Calder, Franchesca D Houghton1, Keith M Godfrey and Nicholas S Macklon. A randomised controlled trial of a preconceptional dietary intervention in women undergoing IVF treatment (PREPARE trial). Kermack *et al. BMC Women's Health.*; 2014; **14**:130 Page 2 of 7 http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6874/14/130.