Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Pathogens Isolated from Various Specimens in Denpasar-Bali: A Two Years Retrospective Study
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ABSTRACT

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is emerging global health problem worldwide. Resistant bacteria generate higher morbidity and mortality rates. Lack of awareness of AMR includes self-antibiotic prescription, lack of access to get the bacteria and antibiograms data were leading factors for AMR development. The objective of the study was to determine the profile of bacteria and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of different specimens among two referred microbial laboratories in Denpasar Bali. A retrospective data from January 2015 to December 2016 of various specimens in two different laboratories were reviewed. Type of clinical specimen, type of bacterial isolate and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern from different isolates were extracted using data extraction format. Of the 760 various specimens analysed, pathogens were identified in 717 (94.3%) specimens. Almost all of the specimens indicated more than 90% positive cultured result. In contrast with the blood specimens which detected only 50% pathogens. The big five bacteria found were *Staphylococcus* spp, *Escherichia coli*, *Streptococcus* spp, *Enterobacter* spp and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. These five bacteria were found to have sensitivity rate more than 60% to gentamycin, around 50% to ciprofloxacin, and very low sensitivity to erythromycin (0-15%). Of 63 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates, 97% exhibited resistance to erythromycin, and 84%, 83% resistance to cefuroxime and amoxicillin, respectively. Similar resistance pattern also showed by *Escherichia coli* whereas 100% of these pathogens resistance to erythromycin, followed by 83% resistance to amoxicillin and 81% resistance to cefuroxime. The highest multidrug-resistance rate was observed in *Staphylococcus* spp isolates (62%), in reverse with only 17% MDR of *Proteus* sp. The five predominant bacteria isolates showed high resistance to erythromycin. Multidrug-resistant was common in the present study in which *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus* spp identified as the most multi drugs resistant pathogens. Gentamycin was the most effective antibiotic against most of the bacteria. Periodic surveillance to determine the pattern of bacteria and antibiotic sensitivity is recommended for generating a local antibiograms for physician guidelines in combating an infection.
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INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistant is the threat rising star to the people particularly in the most poverty countries. Factors contributed to these are antibiotics misuse or widespread use by the health professional, poor drug quality, high incidence of infections, unhygienic condition and lack of AMR...
surveillance\textsuperscript{2,3}. Infections caused by resistant pathogens confer high morbidity and mortality rate by reducing the efficacy of an antibiotic, antiparasitic, antifungal or antiviral drug\textsuperscript{4-7}. Publication of AMR particularly in antibacterial resistance (ABR) has been surprisingly increasing in several decades related to very high rate resistance of bacteria has been observed\textsuperscript{8-11}. One to others studies result refers that the pattern of the organism and resistance was changed over time. Even from one geographical area, the organism and AMR profile difference time to time, specimens to specimens. Escherichia coli was the most (66.7%) bacterial isolated with Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) resistance seen from the urinary specimen in South India\textsuperscript{12}. In contrast with other studies in India and Bangladesh, this found Enterococci as the most bacteria isolated from patients with urinary tract infection\textsuperscript{13, 14}. Different specimens revealed different microorganisms, Acinetobacter baumannii reported as the most common cause of a ventilator-associated pneumonia and confers mortality rate as high as 41.4%\textsuperscript{15}. Coagulase-negative Staphylococci found in septicemic burn patients\textsuperscript{16}. Enterobacteriaceae was found as high as 73.2% in diabetic foot infection and with the increasing of Wagener’s grade, the proportion of gram-negative bacterial infection particularly Pseudomonas was an increase\textsuperscript{17}. Staphylococcus aureus was recovered as high as 14.8%(194/1360)% from different isolate but mainly on the pus/abscess isolate, in which Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) found out 17.4%\textsuperscript{18}.

Bactericidal antibiotics induced bacterial cell death by inhibiting synthesis of bacterial cell wall, DNA or RNA, proteins, competitive inhibition of folic acid or act as membrane disorganizing agents (19, 20). Mechanism of resistance can be natural/intrinsic or extrinsic that transmitted vertically or horizontally\textsuperscript{21}. The bacteria may resistant to one or more antibiotics. Multiple-drug resistance defines as resistance to \( e^1 \) agent in three or more class or antibiotic category, and the term of Extensively drugs resistant (XDR) used for organisms resistant to \( e^1 \) agent in \( d^2 \) 2 class or antibiotic category\textsuperscript{22}. Isolates with MDR were documented for 40.5% from different grades of diabetic foot infection and XDR accounted for 9.7% of bacteria\textsuperscript{17}. High mortality rate accounted for 76.9% of septic burn patient documented in Jakarta, related to MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa (33.3%) and Klebsiella pneumonia (28.9%)\textsuperscript{23}. The high impact of the resistant microorganism and temporal changes of bacterial isolates from time to time reveal that it is very important to report regularly the bacterial and susceptibility testing of the specimens worldwide to guide the physician to choose the appropriate antibiotic in the management of bacterial infection. The current study aim was to define the pattern of bacterial and susceptibility test result from two referred laboratories in Denpasar-Bali.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Retrospective studies on the data of culture report were collected from two laboratories during 2015 through 2016. The Quantum and Bali Province Laboratories in Denpasar-Bali, are the two referred laboratories who received specimens not only from Denpasar but also other municipalities in Bali. A total of 760 various specimens was annualized from various areas in Bali. Instead type of clinical specimens, we also collected age, sex, type of bacterial isolate, and pattern of antimicrobial susceptibilities were collected using data extraction format.

The culture and identification were done on the specimens according to the Standard Operation Procedure of the Microbiology Department of the laboratories. The blood MacConkey and Chocolate Agar were the culture media used to isolated microorganism on the specimens. After adjustment to 0.5 McFarland, a standard inoculum was swabbed on Muller Hinton agar and accompanied with immersing for 2-5 minutes. The antibiotic disc were conceived and pressed on the media, incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Identification of the microorganism was based on the morphology of the colony and biochemical tests. Antimicrobial resistance testing was carried out by using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion methods and was reported in conformity with Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) guideline. The in vitro antibiotic testing towards the antibiotics such as amoxicillin (30 µg), ampicillin (10 µg), chloramphenicol (30 µg), kanamycin (30 µg), nitrofurantoin (300), nalidixic acid (30 µg), tetracyclin (30 µg), cephalolin (30 µg), trimethoprim (5 µg), norfloxacin (10 µg), amikacin (10 µg), erythromycin
(15 µg), cefuroxime (30 µg), streptomycin (10 µg), neomycin (30 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), gentamycin (10 µg), cefotaxime (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (3 µg), and ceftriaxone (30 µg).

**Drug resistance**

The classification of drug resistance as below:

- One drug resistance is resistant to one class of antibiotic.
- Multidrug resistance is resistant to ≥1 agent in three or more class or antibiotic category.
- Extensively drug resistant (XDR) is resistant to ≥1 agent but two or fewer antimicrobial categories.

**Data analysis**

Data was analyzed with Excel and presented descriptively.

**Ethics statement**

This study was conducted with approval from the Medical Research Ethics Committees of Faculty of Medicine, Udayana University (document number 145/UN.14.2/KEP/2017).

**RESULTS**

A total of 760 specimens were received in the two laboratories during 2015 to 2016, in which 717 (94.3%) pathogens were detected. Urine (175 [23.0%]), pus (164 [21.6%]), and sputum (108 [14.2%]) were the most frequent samples processed. High positive rate (93 to 100%) of microbial isolation observed from all the specimen types, except for blood specimens (50%). Gram negative bacteria were more dominant bacteria found than Gram positive bacteria in the total specimens (68.6% vs 31.4%). The most frequent Gram negative bacteria found was *Escherichia coli* (21%), and the Gram positive bacteria was *Staphylococcus spp.* (32%). Overall, the big five isolates found were *Staphylococcus spp.* (32%), *Escherichia coli* (21%), *Streptococcus spp.* (13%), *Enterobacter sp.* (10%), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (9%).

*Staphylococcus spp.* was the most pathogens found on the blood, skin scratches, and pus specimens, meanwhile *Escherichia coli* was the major pathogens found on the urine and faeces specimens. *Streptococcus spp.* mostly found on the sputum specimens. The more details pathogens pattern in the current study are presented on Table 1.

The in vitro antibiotic resistance test of gram negative bacteria were ranged between 12 to 100%. *Escherichia coli* isolates were 100% resistance to erythromycin, 83% to amoxicillin, and 81% to cefuroxime. Likewise, *Enterobacter sp.* were resistance to erythromycin (100%), (75%) to amoxicillin, and cefuroxime (65%). Isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* also displayed resistance to erythromycin (97%), amoxicillin (83%) and cefuroxime (84%) with addition of resistance to chloramphenicol (75%) and cefotaxime (66%). On the other hand, lower resistance was seen to gentamycin antibiotic (table 2).

The percentage resistance of Gram positive isolates were ranged between 9 to 83%. *Staphylococcus spp.* showed high resistance to erythromycin (83%), ceftriaxone (68%), and amoxicillin (62%). *Streptococcus spp.* revealed high resistance to erythromycin (69%) but not to the other antibiotics. Both types of Gram positive isolates showed quite high sensitivity to Gentamicin which were 62% in *Staphylococcus spp.* and 65% in *Streptococcus spp.* (table 2).

Overall, the study revealed high proportion of resistance of *Staphylococcus spp.*, *Escherichia coli*, *Streptococcus spp.*, *Enterobacter sp.*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* towards erythromycin, with magnitude ranged between 69% and 100%. However, they were still susceptible to gentamycin, in which each bacteria shown sensitivity rate of more than 50%.

Data comparison of 2015 and 2016 showed an increase of antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus spp.* and *Streptococcus spp.* to chloramphenicol, amoxicillin, and ciprofloxacin. Similarly, *Escherichia coli* resistance increased to the three antibiotics, but not to amoxicillin. The resistance rate of *Escherichia coli* to amoxicillin was 52% in 2015 and decreased to 12% in 2016. While, *Enterobacter sp.* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* showed an increase resistance to chloramphenicol.
**Table 1: The pathogens pattern based on specimen type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>Blood (%)</th>
<th>Faeces (%)</th>
<th>Skin scratches (%)</th>
<th>Pus (%)</th>
<th>Sputum (%)</th>
<th>Ear Swab (%)</th>
<th>Throat Swab (%)</th>
<th>Urine (%)</th>
<th>Vagina (%)</th>
<th>Others (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus</em> spp</td>
<td>12 (50)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>23 (61)</td>
<td>79 (52)</td>
<td>21 (20)</td>
<td>6 (38)</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>40 (23)</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
<td>31 (51)</td>
<td>229 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>50 (63)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>17 (11)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>68 (40)</td>
<td>6 (32)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>150 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus</em> spp</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>12 (8)</td>
<td>49 (46)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>18 (37)</td>
<td>7 (4)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
<td>96 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacter</em> sp</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>16 (20)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>12 (8)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>20 (12)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>7 (11)</td>
<td>73 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>5 (21)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>17 (11)</td>
<td>10 (9)</td>
<td>5 (31)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>13 (8)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>63 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella</em> sp</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>7 (5)</td>
<td>14 (13)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>13 (27)</td>
<td>15 (9)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>7 (11)</td>
<td>68 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Proteus</em> spp</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>13 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>25 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>24 (100)</td>
<td>80 (100)</td>
<td>38 (100)</td>
<td>152 (100)</td>
<td>106 (100)</td>
<td>16 (100)</td>
<td>49 (100)</td>
<td>172 (100)</td>
<td>19 (100)</td>
<td>61 (100)</td>
<td>717 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Profile of the sensitivity test of the top eight pathogens**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th><em>Staphylococcus</em> spp</th>
<th><em>Escherichia coli</em></th>
<th><em>Streptococcus</em> spp</th>
<th><em>Enterobacter</em> sp.</th>
<th><em>P. aeruginosa</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S (N,%)</td>
<td>I (N,%)</td>
<td>R (N,%)</td>
<td>S (N,%)</td>
<td>I (N,%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>56 (30)</td>
<td>40 (21)</td>
<td>93 (49)</td>
<td>32 (23)</td>
<td>41 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxycillin</td>
<td>53 (28)</td>
<td>19 (10)</td>
<td>118 (62)</td>
<td>8 (14)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>11 (7)</td>
<td>16 (10)</td>
<td>127 (83)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefuroxime</td>
<td>58 (39)</td>
<td>15 (10)</td>
<td>76 (51)</td>
<td>6 (5)</td>
<td>19 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftazidime</td>
<td>30 (17)</td>
<td>28 (16)</td>
<td>121 (68)</td>
<td>100 (71)</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>96 (53)</td>
<td>16 (9)</td>
<td>70 (39)</td>
<td>60 (46)</td>
<td>17 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>102 (62)</td>
<td>8 (5)</td>
<td>56 (34)</td>
<td>77 (65)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>56 (30)</td>
<td>29 (16)</td>
<td>99 (54)</td>
<td>78 (55)</td>
<td>26 (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 indicates that *Streptococcus spp* revealed relatively lower resistance to all classes of antibiotic year to year than the other isolates.

In general, MDR was identified as high as 342/717 (47.7%) of all isolates. The five types of isolate showed resistance to three or more antibiotic classes with various magnitudes. The most apparent multidrug resistance established by *Staphylococcus spp* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. This multiple drug resistance found in 62% of *Staphylococcus spp*, 56% of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, 49% of *Escherichia coli*, 52% of *Enterobacter sp*, and 28% of *Streptococcus spp*. Dissecting into specimen types, MDR mostly found in urine specimen (26.9%) followed with pus (21.6%), and faeces specimen (11.4%). *Escherichia coli* was the most MDR bacteria found in urine and faeces specimens. In contrast with the MDR’s *Staphylococcus spp*, that mostly found in pus specimen. There was decreasing MDR trend from 30% to 17.7% of the specimens in 2015 to 2016.

### Table 3: Multidrug Resistance Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates (N)</th>
<th>MDR (N, %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus spp</em> (221)</td>
<td>137 (62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em> (59)</td>
<td>33 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacter sp</em> (67)</td>
<td>35 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> (144)</td>
<td>70 (49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella sp</em> (68)</td>
<td>33 (49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus spp</em> (96)</td>
<td>27 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Proteus spp</em> (12)</td>
<td>2 (17%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

Infections are major problem in developing countries such as Indonesia whereas the hygiene and sanitation remain below the international standard rule. Lack of microbial and antimicrobial data are also a problem in guiding the physician in treating the patients with an infection before the definitive treatment applied for the best outcome. Time to time the pattern of infecting microorganism always changes and needs regular investigations in order to provide the update data whether the microorganism itself and also the profile of antimicrobial resistance. Several studies about antimicrobial resistance from Indonesia have been reported from diarrheal patients	extsuperscript{24} various specimens	extsuperscript{11, 25}, burn patients	extsuperscript{23}, and urine	extsuperscript{26}. More specific specimens reported from ear pus discharge	extsuperscript{27}, prosthetic joint infection	extsuperscript{28}, bloodstream infection	extsuperscript{29, 30}.

This study revealed that urine, pus, and sputum were the most frequent samples processed and they also showed high positive rate (93 to 100%) of microbial isolation. While, blood sample was the only specimen with low proportion of positive culture. In contrast to our study, Moolchandani, Sastry	extsuperscript{31} found the tracheal aspirate as the most specimens with positive culture in the intensive care unit, followed by exudate, urine and blood specimens. Different study settings and geographic could be the reason for the difference in the finding.

Gram negative bacteria were found more frequent than Gram positive bacteria in the total specimens (68.6% vs 31.4%). Similar reports were
published by Nurmala, Virgiandhy\textsuperscript{32}, Setiawan\textsuperscript{33} and Sianturi, Hasibuan\textsuperscript{34}. These three studies were conducted in hospital setting in Indonesia and the results indicated that Gram negative bacterial infections are more common in Indonesia.

The main bacteria isolated from the urine specimens was \textit{Escherichia coli}. This result was in line with study by Bitew, Molalign\textsuperscript{35} which also found Escherichia coli as the dominant bacterium in urine samples and has the least susceptibility to erythromycin. Another study found \textit{Escherichia coli} producing \textit{Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase} (ESBL) enzyme. The ESBL \textit{Escherichia coli} confers resistance to the third generation of cephalosporin such as cefotaxime and ceftazidime\textsuperscript{36}. In contrast with other study result that found \textit{Enterococci} from the urine specimen and resistant to more than three class of antibiotics such as amoxicillin, cotrimoxazole, ciprofloxacin, gentamycin, ceftriaxone and cefuroxime\textsuperscript{13}. Overall, \textit{Escherichia coli} in the current study possess high resistant all class of antibiotics particularly to erythromycin (100%), amoxicillin (83%) and cefuroxime (80.6%). \textit{Escherichia coli} producing ESBL found not only in urine specimens but also in various specimens\textsuperscript{11}. \textit{Escherichia coli} also found in febrile patient with septic syndrome.

The main bacteria found in sputum were \textit{Streptococcus spp} that still showed moderate sensitivity to many antibiotics. Its sensitivity rate was more than 50% to amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, cefotaxime, gentamycin, cefazidime, cefuroxime, but only 19.2% to erythromycin. Another study was successfully isolate anaerobes bacteria primarily the genera of \textit{Prevotella}, \textit{Veillonella}, \textit{Propionibacterium} and \textit{Actinomyces} from sputum of the patients with cystic fibrosis\textsuperscript{38}. The difference study results may be due to different time and site of the studies.

Blood stream infections are associated with high morbidity and mortality elsewhere\textsuperscript{37}. The top bacteria isolated from the blood specimen was \textit{Staphylococcus spp}, that possess low sensitivity to chloramphenicol (29.6%), amoxicillin (27.9%), erythromycin (7.1%), cefazidime (16.8%). Methicillin resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} was isolated from blood specimens of septic pediatric patients\textsuperscript{39}. Another study found \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} in febrile patients\textsuperscript{40}.

Gram negative isolates were highly resistant to erythromycin, amoxicillin, and cefuroxime that differ with other study finding in Iran\textsuperscript{30}. The study by \textsuperscript{41} found that the most Gram-negative bacilli were \textit{Escherichia coli}, \textit{Klebsiella pneumonia} and \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} that highly resistance to the third generation of cephalosporin. The contrary data possibly caused by different study setting and different prescription pattern of antibiotic in both countries. Self-antibiotic prescription is quite common behaviour in Bali. However, there is no official report to support this suspicion.

Overall, the isolates showed high resistance to erythromycin and quite high sensitivity to gentamicin. Another study on pus samples of Otitis Media patient support the current finding of the sensitivity to gentamicin\textsuperscript{41}. In general, the use of gentamicin in community setting is very rare since there is no oral dosage form of gentamicin. This fact may explain why the pathogens remain sensitive to gentamicin.

Among the pathogens detected in the current study, \textit{Staphylococcus spp} and \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} were the top two pathogens with multidrug resistance, particularly on the pus specimen. They are the member of ESKAPE (\textit{Enterococcus faecium}, \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, \textit{Klebsiella pneumoniae}, \textit{Acinetobacter baumannii}, \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}, and \textit{Enterobacter species}) pathogens which acts as the leading cause of nosocomial infections worldwide\textsuperscript{42}. Most of them are MDR bacteria. \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, one of the species of \textit{Staphylococcus spp}, is a common cause of infective endocarditis\textsuperscript{43}, skin and soft tissues infection\textsuperscript{44}, pleuropulmonary infection\textsuperscript{45} and others. The methicillin resistance \textit{S. aureus} (MRSA) is associated with poor clinical outcome in numerous infections include prolonged the hospital stay\textsuperscript{45, 46}. A similar retrospective study revealed the overall Gram-positive MDR was 84.6%, but only 12% of \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} was MDR to three different antibiotics\textsuperscript{47}. Lack of \textit{Staphylococcus} species data may explained the MDR results discrepancies with the previous study. Patil and Patil (2017) reported
of total 55 MDR isolates in ventilator-associated pneumonia, 20% was *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and 16.36% was coagulase positive *Staphylococcus aureus*. Again, the difference study result may be due to unavailability of the current study to specify the *Staphylococcus* genus.

A record based retrospective study has been done to evaluate the pattern of microorganism and antimicrobial resistance in intensive care unit. The study found *Escherichia coli* as the predominant microorganism in urine, exudate and sterile fluid specimens. The Gram-negative bacilli found as the most MDR, followed by MRSA as high as 40.6% Moolchandani, Sastry. 48

In conclusion, most of pathogen isolates in Denpasar showed high resistance to erythromycin, but were susceptible to gentamycin. Multidrug-resistant was common in which *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus spp* identified as the most multi drugs resistant pathogens. The incoherent finding among the current study and other studies above reflects the variability and the dynamic of the microorganism in various areas. These finding suggest continuing and periodic evaluation of microbiological pattern and sensitivity test to provide the update data for clinicians in choosing the appropriate antibiotic for the optimum outcome.
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