In vitro Evaluation of the Effect of Reinforcement with Fiberglass in Class I Restorations with Composite Resin
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ABSTRACT

Marginal microleakage is one of the major disadvantages of resin composite restorations. The purpose of this study was to evaluate in vitro the effect of reinforcement with fiberglass in Class I restorations with composite resin on the marginal microleakage. The sample was composed by 30 human third molars, which were divided into 3 groups: G1 (no fiberglass – control group), G2 (1 layer of fiberglass) and G3 (2 layers of fiberglass). The restorations were subjected to a total of 300 thermal cycles and were immersed in solution of methylene blue 2%. The analysis of microleakage was performed in a stereomicroscope and measured Data were analyzed statistically by the Chi-square and ANOVA tests at 5% significance level. G3 presented the lower infiltration index, but it was not statistically significant (p>0.05), indicating that use of fiberglass did not appears effective against microleakage. Although the use of layers of fiberglass slightly reduces polymerization shrinkage, the incremental technique seems to be more viable clinically.
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INTRODUCTION

Resinous materials have evolved throughout the time, and many difficulties have been arising, especially as regards tooth adherence1,2. Their purpose is to fulfill dental cavity, replacing carious or damaged tissue by a biocompatible material, which may recover the function of the dental element3. Acid-etching of enamel increases bond strength by producing a micromechanical union between the adhesive and the enamel1. Adhesive systems presenting excellent behavior on enamel are still questioned as regards their efficiency on dentin. Those systems have been shown to be present several changes, both in compositions and presentations.

Although composite resin presents work and color properties similar to tooth structure, it is still has enormous disadvantage, once a hermetic marginal sealing is not achieved, leading to microleakage. Restoration sealing failure is an important factor that may result in fillings loss, since it clinically contributes to the occurrence of post-operative sensibility, secondary carious lesions, staining and pulp pathologies.
The magnitude of stresses generated during composite resin polymerization is influenced by several factors related to material, technique and cavity preparation, considering that the interrelationship of these factors dictates the occurrence of contraction for a given restoration. Among the aspects, which favor stresses, generation are: volumetric polymerization shrinkage, elasticity modulus, resin flowage, composite adherence to dental substrate and cavity configuration factor of the restoration\(^4\).

The use of glass fibers aims to increase composite resin physical properties, acting as reinforcement, similarly to a metallic scaffold in the concrete structure\(^5\). These fibers surrounded by a resinous matrix have been used as substructures to receive composite resin cover layers, showing a significant increase in the physical properties over the unreinforced material\(^6\).

The purpose of this study was to in vitro evaluate the influence of glass fibers use on marginal microleakage of composite resin fillings.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

For this research were used 30 human third molars. After extraction, the specimens were stored in aqueous thymol 0.2% for 24 hours for disinfection. Then they were washed in running water and cleaned with curettes and prophy brushes (KG Sorensen Ind. Com. Ltda., Cotia, SP, Brazil) coupled to the handpiece (Kavo do Brasil Ind. Com. Ltda., Joinville, SC, Brazil), associated with a paste of pumice and water.

The roots of the teeth were sealed with light-cured composite resin (Filtek Z350 - 3M/ESPE Brasil, Sumaré, SP, Brazil). Afterwards, the teeth were stored in distilled water at 4°C, replaced weekly for a maximum period of six months. Next, Class I cavities were prepared in dentin, totaling 30 restorations. For the confection of these cavities were standardized its dimensions (depth, width and length), which were achieved through the use of digital calipers, demographic pencil and millimeter probe.

The cavities were prepared with a round end shaped carbide drill 330 (SS White Artigos Dentários Ltda, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) at high-speed refrigerated, being replaced every five teeth. Later, it was established a buccolingual depth of 4 mm, 5 mm in length (mesiodistal) and 4 mm in occluded-pulp way, with aid of a digital caliper (Digimess Instrumentos de Precisão Ltda, São Paulo, SP, Brazil). For the finishing of the walls were used low speed drills.

The division of the 30 teeth was done randomly. The specimens were divided into 3 groups (n=10), in a total of 30 restorations. After the preparation of Class I cavities, the teeth were washed under running water, was held prophylaxis with pumice and water, using a prophy brush at low speed and subsequently, stored in distilled water at 4°C. The using of demineralizing agents, the application of the adhesive system and the preparation of composite resin restorations class I were performed according to the instructions of each manufacturer.

To prepare the composite resin restoration, the technique was the incremental (where were placed increments of composite resin), in which each increment was light cured for 30 seconds under halogen light intensity of 500 mW/cm\(^2\) (Curing Light POLY 600S – Kavo, (Kavo do Brasil Ind. Com. Ltda., Joinville, SC, Brazil), measured by a radiometer (Gnatus Equip. Médico-Odontológicos, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil). The use of fiber glass was as follows: Group I - no fiberglass, Group II - was used a layer of fiberglass between the increments of resin and Group III - were used two layers of fiberglass; the first layer on the basis of restoration and the second between the layers of composite resin.

Next, the specimens were stored for 24 hours in plastic containers with distilled water and each container properly identified according to the restorative technique and kept in an incubator (Fanen Ltda., Guraulhos, SP, Brazil) at a temperature of 37°C. The samples received 300 cycles of thermal baths at temperatures of 5°C to 55°C in an interval of 30 seconds for each one.

After this step, the specimens were prepared to test the microleakage, applying over them a layer of fast-setting glue (Super bonder, Loctite Agro Ind. Com. Ltda, São Paulo, Brazil), limiting its termination to 1.0 mm from the restoration,
and two layers of red nail polish (Risqué®, Coty Inc., São Paulo, Brazil) to prevent the penetration of the tracer agent.

The specimens were immersed in a solution of methylene blue 2% (tracer agent) for 24 hours and kept in a biological greenhouse. Afterwards, they were washed in running water for 2 hours. Then, the teeth were cut with diamond disc (KG Sorensen Ind. Com. Ltda., Cotia, SP, Brazil) at low speed in mesiodistal way: a cut localized at 0.2 mm from the center of the restoration comprising in two interfaces for evaluation.

The scores to measure the infiltration of the tracer agent in the mesial and distal walls were: Level 0 = No dye penetration; Level 1 = when dye penetration up to half of the surrounding wall; Level 2 = when the dye penetrates into all of wall area; Level 3 = when the dye penetration occurs at surrounding and axial walls.

The readings were made in a stereomicroscope with 30x increase by three previously calibrated researchers, and it was established the Kappa coefficient.

The results of statistical analysis were obtained by means of descriptive and inferential analysis through the tests ANOVA and Chi-square.

RESULTS

We found that inserting one more layer of fiberglass there was a slight decrease in the level of infiltration (Figure 1). However, this difference was not statistically significant by ANOVA analysis. It means that there are sufficient evidences that

![Fig. 1: Level of microleakage marginal by group](image)

![Fig. 2: Distribution of groups according to percentage of marginal microleakage](image)
the infiltration will be the same, irrespective of the number of layers of fiberglass applied to the tooth ($p = 0.32$).

Ninety evaluations were conducted in which only 3.3% were observed total absence of infiltration and the majority has obtained the maximum infiltration represented by score 3 (Figure 2).

The Figure 3 showed the relationship between the groups with their treatments and their proper levels of infiltration/scores, in which was observed in the control group (G1) that 63.3% of teeth had score 3 and none of them score 0 (0.0%).

Therefore, there was evidence that although there was a slight difference between the levels of infiltration, with its gradual decrease through the application of fiberglass, this difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.49$).

**DISCUSSION**

The oral cavity is the adequate environment for predicting the degradation and durability of dental materials, but given the complexity of intra-oral conditions, in vitro studies are performed to simulate the oral environment.

Many authors have analyzed the microleakage between restorations and tooth, in particular, between the restorative material and dentin substrate, which presents with a higher level of marginal microleakage. Dye penetration test is recommended to anticipate material's clinical performance, being therefore the main technique used to evaluate marginal microleakage. The incremental technique favors the reduction of microleakage because the least amount of walls involved, that leads to a larger surface free area generating a relaxation of the tensions.
The choice of one type of restorative material should also be based on its clinical effectiveness proven by judicious clinical trials\textsuperscript{24}. The data generated by this study proved that the insertion of fiberglass in the restoration reduced the microleakage of composite resin, but this result did not justify the superiority of technique. Although there was an improvement, this was little or statistically null and, thus, being not advantageous add the fiberglass in restorations. In addition, it takes the operative procedure more expensive.

In face of the discussion, it was noted the necessity for more research involving microleakage tests, because the demand for esthetic restorations is increasing every day. For that it is necessary a greater knowledge about the behavior of the resin in cavity preparations to promote a longevity and quality of restorative procedures, reaching the promotion of oral health.

In this study, the use of fiberglass did not appear effective against microleakage. Despite studies that have been developed and the changes in formulation of the composite resin, still it was not possible eliminate infiltration of esthetic restorations.

**CONCLUSION**

The insertion of one or two layers of glass fiber showed a slight improvement in rate of infiltration when compared to control group and the incremental technique was more viable than the insertion technique with fiberglass.
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